		GENER	RAL APPLICAT	ION FORM	PENDING		Edited 9/25/18
COLORADO	Project Name:	High Plains at Reagan Ranc	h	Existing Zone:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Acreage:	38.06
SPRINGS	Site Address:	0 HIGHWAY 94					
OLYMPIC CITY <b>USA</b>				Direction from Nearest Street	SE corner of H Marksheffel R		and
Tax Schedule Number(s):	5400000280			Intersection:			
TYPE OF PLAN(S) - Check		e: MJ=Major Amendment; MN					
2020 Land Use Map A	mendment		Property BC	oundary Adjust ot Plan	ment CNew C	MICN	
Amendment to Plat Re	estriction			opment Plan	C New C		
Annexation			PUD Zone (	•	• • • • • •	• • •	•
Building Permit to Un	platted Land		Street Nam	e Change			
Building Permit Prior t	o Platting		Subdivision		Prelim ( Pre		al ( <sup>-</sup> Final
	C1 $C2$	<b>C</b> 3	Subdivision		Design (^ Pro		
t		C MN C MM	Use Variance		C New C I	MJ C M	IN C MM
		C MN C MM	Vacation of				
Coordinated Sign Plar			Waiver of R	epiat ge; Proposed Z	7000°		
Development Agreem				ge, Floposeu z			
Historic Preservation (			🗍 FBZ Develo	pment Plan	C New C	MJCM	AN CMM
X Landscape Plan			FBZ Condit	•	C New C	M) CM	AN ( MM
Master Plan (	New CMJ	C MN C MM	FBZ Interim	i Use Plan			
🔲 Nonuse Variance			Langer	Improvement P	'lan		
Preservation Easemen	t Adjustment		FBZ Warran	nt			······
The signature(s) hereby certi any misrepresentation of any issued on the representation revoked without notice if the agrees that he or she is resp landscaping, paving, lighting	y information on t ns made in this su ere is a breach of bonsible for the co	this application may be grou ubmittal, and any approval c representations or condition ompletion of all on-site and	nds for denial of th or subsequently is s of approval. The off-site improvem	his application. I sued building pe e applicant/owne	l agree that if th ermit(s) or othe er by his or her :	is request i r type of p signature u	is approved, it is ermit(s) may be inderstands and
NOD	,,, p	9-30-20	$\square$	Λ.		9/30	)/2020
Signature of Property Owner	•			onsultant			Date
$\rho/\rho_{0}$		1. 20 10	-				
Signature of Developer		<u>9-30-20</u> Date					
	APP	LICANT CONTACT INFOR	MATION (pleas	e print or type	<u>=)</u>		
Property Owner: PIKES PEAI	K INVESTMENTS L	LC	Co	ntact Name: KEL	LY NELSON		
E-Mail: kelly@theequitygrou	up.net			Phone:	(719)	400-7320	
Developer: PIKES PEAK INV	ESTMENTS LLC		Co	ntact Name: KEL	LY NELSON		
E-Mail: kelly@theequitygroup.net Phone: (719) 400-7320							
Consultant/Main Contact na	me: MATRIX DES	IGN GROUP Attn. JASON AL	WINE		Phone:	(719)	575-0100
Address: 2435 RESEARCH PARKWAY, SUITE 300 City: COLORADO SPRINGS							
State: CO Zip Code:	80920 E-Ma	il: Jason.Alwine@matrixdes	igngroup.com				
PLANNER AUTHORIZATION: (CITY USE ONLY)							
X Checklists       X Distribution Form       X Project Blurb       X E-mail to Admin. Initial Review Level:       X AR       CPC       DRB       HP         Payment \$ 8,148.00       Assigned to:       Tasha Brackin       Date: 2/7/2021							
Payment \$ 8,148.00						Date: <u>2 /</u>	7/2021
Receipt No.: 38915		City File No: CPC		J14			



## DEVELOPMENT PLAN, CONDITIONAL USE AND USE VARIANCE SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

**SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST**: This checklist is intended to assist in preparing a complete plan that will address all City development standards, requirements, and review criteria. The following information must be included with the plan submittal. If justified, the City may exempt any requirement. *The Land Use Review Division may require additional information in accordance with City Code section 7.5.202.B.* 

Applicant	<u>Planner</u>
General Development Application Form	
1 copy of a <b>Project Statement</b> containing the following information:	
<ol> <li>Description: Describe the project and/or land uses proposed;</li> </ol>	
2. Justification: Justify the approval of the project and address the review criteria listed at the end of this checklist; &	
3. Issues: Explain how the issues identified during the pre-application process have been addressed or mitigated.	
1 copy of a <b>Development Plan</b> showing all "Plan Contents" below	
Mineral Estate Owner Notification Certification Affidavit (Public Hearing Items ONLY)	
All plans, documents, and reports uploaded to <b>Dropbox folder</b> (Planner to send folder invite link through email)	
<b>REPORTS &amp; STUDIES:</b> (to be determined at the pre-application or LDTC meetings) The reports and/or studies must be prepared appropriate qualified professional.	by the
2 copies of a <b>Geologic Hazard Study</b> (EDRD & LUR)	
2 copies of a Drainage Study (WRE)	
2 copies of a Traffic Impact Analysis (EDRD)	
Submittal of the Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) Request Form to Colorado Springs Utilities (CSU)	
Email completed form and map to waterplanning@csu.org or fax to 719-668-5651prior to application submittal.	
Submittal of the Wastewater Facilities Master Report to Colorado Springs Utilities (CSU)	
Email completed form and map to wwmasterplansubmit@csu.org prior to application submittal.	

**PLAN CONTENTS**: All plans should be neat, clear, legible and drawn to a standard Engineer's scale. Inaccurate, incomplete, and poorly drawn plans may be rejected. Plans must not exceed 24 in. x 36 in. and should be folded no larger than 9 in. x 14 in. with the lower right-hand corner exposed.

Each **Plan Sheet** should show the following information:

Development Plan name	
City File Number	
Sheet number (i.e. 1 of X, 2 of X, etc.)	
North arrow	
Scale, both written and graphic	
Space for City stamp in the bottom right corner	
Provide the following information on the <b>Cover Sheet</b> :	
🗌 Vicinity Map	
Sheet Index Map (for multiple sheets)	
Project name and description	
Owner, Developer, and Applicant name	
Date of preparation	
Total development plan area in acres or square feet	
Legal description	

#### **PLAN CONTENTS**: continued from previous page

<u>Applicant</u>

Applicant	<u>Planner</u>
Site address, if known	
Tax Schedule Number	
Name of master plan and City File Number (if applicable)	
Name of concept plan and City File Number (if applicable)	
FEMA floodplain statement including community map number and date. Indicate whether the site is or is not located w a designated floodplain.	ithin
Proposed land uses and buildings with respective footprint and gross square footage and/or acreage	
Notes describing any existing or proposed easements permitting the use of property by others	
Parking information: Indicate the City Code formula used for the total number of parking spaces, the number of compac spaces, and the number of handicapped spaces both required and provided.	t
Zone district and any applicable conditions of record with City Ordinance number	
Notes describing additional standards for specific uses (if applicable)	
Notes describing any approved variances which apply to the property, including City file number and approval date	
Notes describing the project's inclusion within a special district, improvement incorporation and/or its subjectivity to a development agreement (if applicable)	
Notes describing the use, ownership and maintenance of common areas, tracts, no-build and/or preservation areas and easements (if applicable)	
Geologic Hazard Study disclosure statement (if applicable): "This property is subject to the findings summary and conclu of a Geologic Hazard Report prepared by dated, which identified the following specific geologic haza the property: A copy of said report has been placed within file # or within the subdivision file of the City of Colorado Springs Planning and Development Team. Co the Planning and Development Team, 30 South Nevada Avenue, Suite 105, Colorado Springs, CO, if you would like to re said report."	rd on
If within an airport overlay, the following note must be added: "An avigation easement effecting the subject property development is therein established by the "Subdivision Plat Name" subdivision plat. This easement is subject to the term conditions as specified in the instrument recorded under reception no. 217069667 of the records of El Paso Co Colorado."	s and 👝
Approximate schedule of development	
Public Facilities - A note shall be placed on the site plan making reference to the public facilities requirements for the installation and construction and/or contributions.	
<u>PUD Projects</u> : indicated the City ordinance number and approved land use types, maximum building heights and the intensity or density of development.	
Residential Projects: indicate the potential housing types and the number of lots and/or units, maximum density r ininimum lot area and width, minimum front, side and rear setbacks, maximum building height, and maximum lot cover Indicate the average lot size for DFOZ overlay and small lot PUD projects.	
Non-residential Projects: Indicate the potential land use types and approximate site area and building use, floor minimum lot area and width, minimum front, side and rear setbacks, building height and percent of lot coverage. Ince the total percent of site covered with both structures and impervious surfaces.	
The following categories explain the graphic components required. The information may be shown on multiple shee	ts.
LAND USE:	
City boundaries (when the development plan area is adjacent to a city boundary)	
Property boundaries and dimensions	
Existing and proposed lots and tract lines, with dimensions	
Existing and proposed land uses within the property boundaries. Include area, dimensions, and densities (if applicable).	
Existing and proposed zone district boundaries	
Existing and proposed public or private open space and common areas. Provide sizes and dimensions.	

### **PLAN CONTENTS**: continued from previous page.

#### <u>er</u>

Applicant	<u>Planne</u>
Existing streams and other natural features as shown in the approved Land Suitability Analysis. Show preservation easements and/or protection areas.	
Existing historic sites and resources	
Existing and proposed topography at two-foot maximum contour intervals	
Show existing and proposed easements, indicating dimensions, use and maintenance information	
Location and dimensions of building and landscape setbacks and buffers	
Subdivision name labels for all lots adjacent to the site	
Show the locations of any water quality features	
STREETS & ALLEYS:	
Existing and proposed streets, intersections, street names, classifications with the exact location and widths of right-of-ways and pavement types, curb types and other street improvements	
Identify all streets as "public" or "private"	
Show and label all access points to the property from adjacent streets and alleys	
Show and label all speed line of sight visibility areas at all street intersections	
All existing and proposed medians, traffic islands, traffic control devices, and roundabouts. Provide dimensions and size and identify maintenance responsibilities	
Show all existing and proposed acceleration and deceleration lanes, including dimensions, length and width	
Show any existing or proposed encroachments into the public right-of-way that require a <u>Revocable Permit</u>	
Provide typical cross-sections for all proposed streets and alleys	
SIDEWALKS & TRAILS:	
Show all existing and proposed locations, dimensions and surface materials of all sidewalks, trail and bicycle pathways. Note the condition of these facilities.	
Show pedestrian ramps at all pedestrian crossings, at all intersections with reference made to City standard type	
Show any and all sidewalks connecting building entries to exterior and public sidewalks	
Show and label existing and proposed public improvement easements for sidewalks and pedestrian ramps outside of dedicated right-of-way areas	
For detached sidewalks, show the distance from the back of curb to the edge of sidewalk	
If applicable, show the size and location and provide a detail of bicycle storage/parking racks	
INTERNAL TRAFFIC CIRCULATION, DRIVEWAYS, AISLES, & FIRE LANES:	
Provide location, grade, dimensions and pavement material for all access travel-ways including driveways, drive aisles, fire lanes, curb cuts, and intersections. Call out City standard details if within City right-of-way or easements.	
Show and label any access easements, existing or proposed	
Show the location and dimensions of all loading and maneuvering areas and stacking lanes. Provide pavement type, as well.	
Identify location and type of any curbs and/or sides of roadways and extents thereof to be marked as fire lane(s)	
For residential projects, indicate the minimum length of driveways from garage door to property line and to back of sidewalk	
Show any noise mitigation methods (i.e. sound barrier walls, etc.), if applicable	
PARKING LOTS, AREAS, & SPACES:	

Location and dimensions of parking lots/areas and drive aisles. Indicate pavement material.	
Location and number of all regular, compact, and handicapped spaces and access aisles.	
Provide a typical or detail with dimensions of typical regular and compact parking spaces types	

Applicant	Planner
Provide a detail with dimensions of typical handicap parking spaces, side aisles, ramp design and location, and signage	
Indicate the type of curbs and provide details for the perimeter enclosure for parking areas and landscape islands	
ADA SITE ACCESSIBILITY:	
Provide ADA accessible route from public right-of-way with clear identification of the corridor (Note: 60% of all public entrances must meet the ADA Standards 206.4.1)	
$^{igstaclustcolor}$ (Note: 60% of all public entrances must meet the ADA Standards 206.4.1)	
Provide ADA accessible parking stalls (location and quantity with adjacent aisles and signage. Include clear identification of ADA route from stalls to designated ADA building entry	
Provide ADA accessible ramps along all ADA accessible corridors	
Provide ADA Design Professional Standards notes on plan, per below:	

The parties responsible for this plan have familiarized themselves with all current accessibility criteria and specifications and the proposed plan reflects all site elements required by the applicable ADA design standards and guidelines as published by the United States Department of Justice. Approval of this plan by the City of Colorado Springs does not assure compliance with the ADA or any other Federal or State accessibility laws or any regulations or guidelines enacted or promulgated under or with respect to such laws. Sole responsibility for compliance with Federal and State accessibility laws lies with the property owner.

#### **BUILDINGS & STRUCTURES:**

Indicate the use for all buildings	
Show the exact location, dimensions, footprint, size and height of buildings	
Show the exact distance to the closest property line(s)	
Location and type for all freestanding and low-profile signs	
Location, type, materials, size and height with detailed exhibits for all fences, retaining walls and sound barrier walls	
Location, type, materials, size and height with detailed exhibit for all trash enclosures	

#### **BUILDING ELEVATION DRAWINGS:**

Show all sides of the building, indicating height, scale, design, materials, and colors. Note: The purpose for reviewing building elevations during development plan review is to ensure compatibility between the proposed structures and the surrounding properties. It is understood that the proposed building design will evolve and may not be finalized until time of building permit. At that time, the City will review the elevations for substantial compliance. Major changes may be considered administratively.

#### **SITE LIGHTING:**

_	Location of all site exterior light fixtures including freestanding and those attached to a building if known (if attached to a
	building, show also on the elevation drawings)

Indicate the type of light (e.g. metal halide)

Ensure all lighting is full cut-off and provide a detail of each light with mounting or pole height details, wattage and lumens of each fixture

Show the type and location of existing and proposed street-lights, if this information is available

A photometric plan may be required for certain uses that are adjacent to other less intensive uses, uses that are often extensively lit, such as gas canopies, convenience food stores, and auto sales. This plan will be required on a case by case basis, and the planner will notify the applicant as early in the process as possible

If no exterior lights are proposed, then a note shall be added to specifically state that no site lighting will be provided for the project

#### PHASING PLAN:

Phase area boundaries and sequence

Provide phase timing and sequencing information for the construction of the project and the construction and installation of public utilities, facilities, and site improvements for each phase area.

Show barriers at edges of each phase to prevent vehicles from entering and parking on unpaved areas (if applicable)

 $\square$ 

#### ADDITIONAL PLAN COMPONENTS:

#### Annlicant

Applicant	<u>Planner</u>
Preliminary Grading Plan	
Preliminary Utility and Public Facility Plan	
Preliminary or Final Landscape Plan	
Coordinated Sign Plan (CSP)	
Hillside or Streamside Compliance Plan	
Land Suitability Analysis	

#### **DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA:**

The City will review the development plan using the following criteria. A development plan shall be approved when the plan complies with all of the requirements of the zone district in which it is located, is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code and is compatible with the land uses surrounding the site.

- 1. Will the project design be harmonious with the surrounding land uses and neighborhood?
- 2. Will the proposed land uses be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood? Will the proposed development overburden the capacities of existing streets, utilities, parks, schools and other public facilities?
- 3. Will the structures be located to minimize the impact of their use and bulk on adjacent properties?
- 4. Will landscaping, berms, fences and/or walls be provided to buffer the site from undesirable views, noise, lighting or other off-site negative influences and to buffer adjacent properties from the negative influences that may be created by the proposed development?
- 5. Will vehicular access from the project to the streets outside the project be combined, limited, located, designed and controlled to channel traffic to and from such areas conveniently and safely and in such a manner which minimizes traffic friction, noise and pollution and promotes free traffic flow without excessive interruption?
- 6. Will all the streets and drives provide logical, safe and convenient vehicular access to the facilities within the project?
- 7. Will streets and drives within the project area be connected to streets outside the project area in such a way that discourages their use by through traffic?
- 8. Will adequately sized parking areas be located throughout the project to provide safe and convenient access to specific facilities?
- 9. Will safe and convenient provision for the access and movement of handicapped persons and parking of vehicles for the handicapped be accommodated in the project design?
- 10. Will the design of streets, drives and parking areas within the project result in a minimum of area devoted to asphalt?
- 11. Will pedestrian walkways be functionally separated from vehicular traffic and landscaped to accomplish this? Will pedestrian walkways be designed and located in combination with other easements that are not used by motor vehicles?
- 12. Does the design encourage the preservation of significant natural features such as healthy vegetation, drainage channels, steep slopes and rock outcroppings? Are these significant natural features incorporated into the project design?

#### **CONDITIONAL USE REVIEW CRITERIA**

The Planning Commission may approve and/or modify a conditional use application in whole or in part, with or without conditions, only if all three (3) of the following findings are made:

- A. Surrounding Neighborhood: That the value and gualities of the neighborhood surrounding the conditional use are not substantially injured.
- B. Intent of Zoning Code: That the conditional use is consistent with the intent and purpose of this Zoning Code to promote public health, safety and general welfare.
- C. Comprehensive Plan: That the conditional use is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan of the City.

#### **USE VARIANCE REVIEW CRITERIA**

The following criteria must be met in order for a Use Variance to be granted:

- 1. That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to the property or class of uses in the same zone so that a denial of the petition would result in undue property loss; and
- 2. That such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a property right of the petitioner; and also,
- 3. That such variance will not be detrimental to the public welfare or convenience nor injurious to the property or improvements of other owners of property.

## PROJECT STATEMENT

#### HIGH PLAINS at REAGAN RANCH DEVELOPMENT PLAN

High Plains at Reagan Ranch will be developed within the Colorado Springs' city limits generally located at the intersection of State Highway 94 and Marksheffel Road. The site is approximately 38 acres of vacant grazing land with a proposed use of single family detached residential. The site is bordered by State Highway 94 to the north; Space Village Ave and vacant land to the south and east; and Marksheffel Road to the west. The site is currently part of the Banning Lewis Ranch Master Plan originally approved in 1988. To permit the proposed residential land use a Master Plan Amendment was recently submitted for review to the City of Colorado Springs. This Master Plan Amendment along with a Rezone and PUP Concept Plan is currently under review.

The applications being submitted to the City of Colorado Springs for consideration include:

- PUD Development Plan w/ Preliminary Landscape Plan
- Right-of-Way Vacation (Separate Application and Submittal)

#### **Banning Lewis Ranch Master Plan**

The pending Master Plan Amendment for Reagan Ranch, submitted for City approval on September 15, 2020 seeks to introduce land uses more consistent with market demands that are expected to continue for the foreseeable future. They include removing industrial development uses and repurposing them with commercial, retail, research & development, and residential uses. This Master Plan Amendment is still under review with approval pending.

#### **Development Plan**

The development plan proposes 181 single-family residential units on 38.06 acres for a density of 4.76 DU/ Acre. Additionally, 15.27 Acres of future PBC zoned parcel are shown as roadway and utility connections are required through this parcel to serve the High Plains at Reagan Ranch development. These parcels are being included for the purposes of right-of-way dedication and utility easements. Any future uses will require review and approval of lot specific development plans prior to construction. The proposed High Plains residential project will be developed as a single phase and will include a community park, trail connections, and common open space areas. Full movement access to the site is proposed with new roundabouts at Reagan Ranch Parkway and Saddle Maker Road connecting to Space Village Avenue (Minor Arterial). No direct vehicular from individual lots will be permitted access onto Highway 94 or Space Village Avenue.

#### **Development Plan Review Criteria**

1. *Will the project design be harmonious with the surrounding land uses and neighborhood?* The project will be designed to harmoniously blend with the adjacent land uses and neighborhoods through desired planning practices, architectural palettes, and landscape materials.

Will the proposed land uses be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood? Will the proposed development overburden the capacities of existing streets, utilities, parks, schools and other public facilities? Currently there are no existing developments surrounding the proposed High Plans at Reagan Ranch development. The pending Concept Plan, submitted for City approval on September 15, 2020 includes comparable uses to that of the approved master plan on file.

The proposed land uses will not overburden capacities of existing or planned streets as this area has been master planned since 1988 with anticipated uses of varied intensity including residential, commercial, and industrial. A community park site, common open space, and a perimeter trail system are being provided to ensure adequate recreation, buffering and green space. There are currently no school sites proposed or shown as part of this phase of development. The developer will work with the School District to ensure all school land dedications or fees in lieu of are adequately addressed as more detailed, future land development applications are submitted.

- 2. Will the structures be located to minimize the impact of their use and bulk on adjacent properties? Structures will be of similar size, height and bulk as other single-family developments found within the City of Colorado Springs.
- 3. Will landscaping, berms, fences and/or walls be provided to buffer the site from undesirable views, noise, lighting or other off-site negative influences and to buffer adjacent properties from the negative influences that may be created by the proposed development? Landscaping and fencing will be provided per the City of Colorado Springs Landscape Code and Policy Manual. This includes split rail fencing with screening and buffering achieved through a mix of deciduous and evergreen plant material throughout the site. A final landscape plan will be submitted in the future prior to building permit approval detailing the proposed landscape treatments.
- 4. Will vehicular access from the project to the streets outside the project be combined, limited, located, designed and controlled to channel traffic to and from such areas conveniently and safely and in such a manner which minimizes traffic friction, noise and pollution and promotes free traffic flow without excessive interruption?

The project shall have direct access from Space Village Avenue via two proposed roundabouts at Reagan Ranch Parkway and Saddle Maker Road. The main entrance located at Reagan Ranch Parkway will serve future commercial uses to the west of this development as well as provide access to the southern areas of development. A secondary access is being provided at Saddle Maker Road. This access also provides future access into the adjacent parcels to the southeast. There is no direct vehicular connectivity proposed to Highway 94.

- 5. Will all the streets and drives provide logical, safe and convenient vehicular access to the facilities within the project? The internal streets were designed to promote pedestrian connectivity while discouraging cut through traffic. The main roadway, Saddle Maker Road, is proposing a modified cross section to eliminate parking but provide dedicated striped bike lanes. This will help create safe, user friendly streets while promoting cycling/ walking within the community. All roadways are proposed as public roads to be dedicated to the City of Colorado Springs.
- 6. Will streets and drives within the project area be connected to the streets outside the project area in such a way that discourages their use by through traffic? Yes, the site has been designed to mitigate cut through traffic and the inclusion of traffic calming devices will help slow down any cut through traffic that may arise.
- 7. Will adequately sized parking areas be located throughout the project to provide safe and convenient access to specific facilities? Ample parking has been designed into the site layout to

include garage parking, driveway parking, on-street parking and additional guest surface parking throughout the site.

- 8. Will safe and convenient provision for the access and movement of handicapped persons and parking of vehicles for the handicapped be accommodated in the project design? Handicap accessible sidewalks and walkways have been provided within the public rights of way as well as throughout the site to access the two proposed park areas. ADA ramps have been provided throughout the site at intersections as required.
- 9. *Will the design of streets, drives and parking areas within the project result in a minimum of area devoted to asphalt?* The street system is designed to accommodate the anticipated traffic and provide ample parking for residents in the most efficient manner possible.
- 10. Will pedestrian walkways be functionally separated from vehicular traffic and landscaped to accomplish this? Will pedestrian walkways be designed and located in combination with other easements that are not used by motor vehicles? The primary pedestrian system is in conformance with the City Subdivision design standards and ADA guidelines. A modified street section is proposed for Residential Road A by decreasing the parkway planting strip between back of curb and sidewalk, widening the sidewalk width from 5-foot to 6-foot, and increasing the green space between the back of sidewalk and adjacent lot lines. Interior sidewalk connections have been included providing access to the internal open space areas including a perimeter an 8-foot wide trail system for an enhanced pedestrian experience.
- 11. Does the design encourage the preservation of significant natural features such as healthy vegetation, drainage channels, steep slopes and rock outcroppings? Are these significant natural features incorporated into the project design? N/A, there are no natural features found on site.

#### **CERTIFICATION of PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS** (To be submitted in conformance with Policies 312, 313 and 314)

# I hereby certify that I am qualified to prepare the type of plan(s) indicated below. Circle one or more types of plans you are qualified to submit. Complete the necessary information, sign and date.

		TYPE PLAN:		
PR	OFESSIONAL QUALIFICATION	Landscape Plan	Landscape Grading Plan	Irrigation Plan
1.	Licensed Landscape Architect	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Registered Professional Engineer	No*	Yes	Yes
3.	Licensed Architect	No*	Yes	No
4.	I.A. Certified Irrigation Designer	No	No	Yes
5.	City Recognized Qualified Designer – Landscape	Yes	No	No
6.	City Recognized Qualified Designer – Irrigation	No	No	Yes

## **REQUIRED INFORMATION: (Please check one box, and complete all the information)**

X	Licensed Landscape Architect		
	Licensed Architect		
	<b>Registered Professional Engine</b>	eer	
	State <u>CO</u>	License or Registration # #248	
	State Agency Phone No. for ve	rification: ()	
	<u>Certified Irrigation Designer</u> (	by The Irrigation Association) <i>Certification must</i>	be Active.
	Year of Certification:	Is Certification Active? (Circle one)	YES / NO
Jas	on Alwine		11/03/2020
Name	(PRINT)	Signature	Date

Notes:

- Qualifications to prepare Plans initially became effective November 1, 1999; and have since been revised to reflect the 2008 Practice Act for Landscape Architecture (CRS 12-45). School Degrees have been removed from the list. All other Professions have not changed.
  - \* Incidental work will be considered, for these two professions, for private development. Experience and proficiency may need to be exemplified, on a case by case basis.
- 2) Qualification to prepare Irrigation Plans initially became effective November 1, 2000, and has not changed: RLA, CID, or PE (with the correct discipline, i.e. Hydro or Agricultural Engineering, etc).

#### LAND USE REVIEW DIVISION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT



## PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLAN CHECKLIST

All submitted plans shall contain the following information. This checklist is provided as informational only and does not need to be submitted with the submittal.

**PROJECT NAME** High Plains at Reagan Ranch FILE #: APPLICANT **PLANNER** 1. General Submittal and Plan Requirements Appendix I: Certification of Professional Qualifications (attach to Application) Χ\_\_\_\_\_ a. Preliminary Landscape Plan Check List (attach to Application) Х b. Х Base Information: North arrow, Vicinity Map, Scales, Street Classifications, All Zoning c. Х Title Block Information: Correct plan title (Preliminary LP, current date(s), file number) d. Х Plant Schedule: Appendix G format, plant list, and symbols е Х f. Appendix E: Schematic Landscape Diagram (includes hydrozones, plant communities, water use) Х Appendix F: Site Category Calculations – Measurements (If, sf), <u>Required</u> plants, shrub substitutes g. Site Categories: Label & dimension site categories, and identify required screening locations Х h. Х Wall locations and heights (screen, community and retaining walls, & general material) i. Х Fence locations and heights (general description, i.e. wrought iron, wood, vinyl, etc.) j. Х Ground Plane Legend: Identify i.e. wood & rock mulch, turf, meet all required % by site category\_\_\_\_\_ k. Х Maintenance Responsibility: District or HOA for landscape, medians, fence, walls 1. Х Appendix L: Alternative Compliance – Provide format with justification for consideration and file m. 2. Soil Х Soil Type(s): Identify types (i.e. MAP 3: General Vegetation & Soil Assoc, or USDA maps) a. (Soil Analysis is submitted with Final LP with Building Permit) 3. Grading and Drainage Preliminary Landscape Grading Plan (Code 313) (as practical on Preliminary Landscape Plan) Х a. Label slopes 6:1 and over, show contours for i.e. berms, swales, drainage ponds, and water quality elements) 4. Conservation Measures (Includes Codes 315 Soils and Drainage, 316 On-Site Plants) a. Show existing major vegetation to be retained and removed, by size and species, with elevation NA of retained plants, and protection measures. Х b. Identify Natural Features, such as rock outcrops, ponds, lakes and streams Х c. Hillside Overlay: Provide all pertinent information on the plan regarding existing vegetation and natural features. A separate plan can be helpful to clearly communicate the required information. NA d. Streamside Overlay: Identify, per Streamside Manual, all buffers and flood plain lines required and integrate the proposed landscape with the Streamside natural feature and vegetation. When not in the Streamside delineate the 100 year flood plain on the plan as applicable. Х

x e. Incorporate design elements which reduce storm water run off (volume or rate) and/or increases groundwater LAST MODIFIED: 12/10/12

	re-charge. Effective Low Impact Development concepts & Civil Engineering coordination are encouraged		
5. Landscape	Notes		
X	a. Soil Preparation includes amendment, tilling, and any necessary de-compaction or excavation		
X	b. Slope protection, reclamation and erosion control (Code 315) as needed over and above SWMP Permit for re-vegetation and establishment of Native Seed (or comparable) within the landscape process.		
X	c. Provide this note in bold: (DRE Fee Calculator available on line for this separate submittal)		
	A FINAL LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION PLAN SHALL BE SUBMITTED AND REVIEWED CONCURRENT WITH BUILDING PERMIT SUBMITTAL AND APPROVED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT.		
6 Site Eleme	nts or Amenities to be identified on the plan (and any not mentioned):		
X	a. Structures;		
X	b. Park and Open Space areas (pocket park, tract common areas, larger designated parks or OS)		
NA	c. Landscape Lighting (Designate fixture types (bollard/pole/down lights, and rough locations)		
Х	Walks, paths and pedestrian-oriented areas (plaza, public art, water features, etc)		
	Strong streetscape design is encouraged and provides a good alternative compliance option for downtown urban projects in lieu of the landscape Development Standard: Internal site category.		
7. Irrigation			
_X	a. General Irrigation Note: Identify proposed irrigation system for each landscape treatment		

**NOTE**: In preparation for the Irrigation Plan, water conservation tools and techniques are required to achieve comprehensive best water management practices including implementation, establishment and long term maintenance planning. Coordination between the Landscape Architect, Irrigation Designer, installer, and the maintenance company is highly recommended to achieve a strong water management plan.

#### 1) System Design

Components: Sensors, smart controllers, new technology, etc

Schedules: <u>Application rates</u> for turf types (new, established, long range reductions), seasonal adjustments, slopes, sun orientation & micro climates (north vs. south facing), and soil types.

Short Term and Long Term water schedule reductions, annual maintenance such as audits, part replacements, etc



## LAND USE REVIEW DIVISION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

#### MINERAL ESTATE OWNER NOTIFICATION CERTIFICATION AFFIDAVIT

APPLICANT: Pikes Peak Investments LLC

PROJECT: Reagan Ranch

#### CITY PLANNING FILE NUMBER(S): \_

The Applicant certifies that he has complied with the applicable provisions of the City of Colorado Springs <u>Mineral Estate Owner Notification process</u>. The Applicant certifies that the records, including filed requests for surface development notification forms, of the El Paso County Tax Assessor and the Clerk and Recorder were examined. The Applicant further certifies the following:

<u>Yes</u>, a separate mineral estate owner(s) was identified and the Applicant certifies that 1.) the mineral estate owner(s) was notified by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by a nationally recognized overnight courier of the initial City Planning Commission public hearing not less than thirty (30) days prior to the hearing; 2.) he has attached a listing of the Mineral Estate Owner(s) with mailing addresses, and 3.) has attached a copy of the notice that was mailed. Said notice contained the time and place of the public hearing, the nature and subject of the hearing, legal description of the property and the name of the applicant.

Yes a separate mineral estate owner(s) was identified, but the Applicant certifies that 1.) no mailing addresses of record(s) are known; 2.) he has attached a listing of the Mineral Estate Owner(s); and 3.) no further action was taken.

No separate mineral estate owner(s) were identified and no further action was taken.

Pursuant to 24-65.5-103(4), C.R.S., I certify that above is true and accurate and that I have acted in good faith to comply with the applicable provisions of the City of Colorado Springs Mineral Estate Owner Notification process.

day of PT, 20 20 . 0 Dated this Signature Notary Certificate: STATE OF COLORADO) ) sis COUNTY OF EL PASO)

The foregoing certification was acknowledged before me this 10th day of September, 2020 by

Witness my hand and official seal.

My commission Expires: 06/20

Notary Public

Svenja Olland-Griswold NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF COLORADO NOTARY ID 20184025608 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JUNE 20, 2022

PRE-	APPLICATION MEE	TING SUMM/	ARY	
COLORADO SPRINGS		Area: So		ate: 5/6/2020
OLYMPIC CITY <b>USA</b>			ication No.: TKB	
Applicant(s) Present: Jason Alwine			multiple - see b	
Site Location: 7768 Space Village				00280, 54000002 +
Project Description: <u>Zone change, plattin</u>	g, development of commercial and re	<u>esidential</u> zone: <u>m</u>	ultiple - see belo	DW
APPLICATION(S) REQUIRED: No ap	plication to the Planning Departmen	t required		
<ul> <li>2020 Land Use Map Amendment</li> <li>Administrative Relief</li> <li>Amendment to Plat Restriction</li> <li>Annexation</li> <li>Building Permit to Unplatted Land</li> <li>CMRS No.</li> <li>Concept Plan <ul> <li>MJ <ul> <li>MN <ul> <li>MM</li> </ul> </li> <li><i>X</i> Conditional Use <ul> <li>MJ <ul> <li>MN <ul> <li>MM</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Minor Improvement Plan</li> <li>Nonuse Variance / Warrant</li> <li>Preservation Easement Adjustm</li> <li>Property Boundary Adjustm</li> </ul>	MN MM Subdiv Subdiv MN MM Use Va Vacatio X Vacatio ustment Waiven hent X Zone C	vision Waiver ( ariance ( on of Plat on of Public Rigl r of Replat Change	PP ●FP ○PFP Design ○Process MJ ○MN ○MM ht-of-Way
MJ = Major Amendment, MN = Minor Amendmen		application forms and chee		
NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATION:				
Neighborhood Association/Contact:			🗌 Neighborł	nood Meeting
Note: Applicant will be required to pay for postage at time of poster pick-up. ADDITIONAL STUDIES/MATERIALS TO E Geo-Hazard Report Contact: Patrick Morris, 719-385-5075 X Hydraulic Grade Line X Elevation Drawings	<ul> <li>Postcard</li> <li>Buffer Distance:</li> <li>150 ft.</li> <li>SE SUBMITTED WITH APPLICATION:</li> <li>Traffic Impact Analysis</li> <li>Contact: Zaker Alazzeh, 719-385</li> <li>Wastewater Master Facility Restriction</li> <li>Mineral Estate Owner Notification</li> </ul>	Image: S-5468   Image: S-5468     S-5468   Contact: Jack     Image: Seport   Image: Seport	UNO Public Custom di ge Report <u>onathan Schere</u> uitability Analysi	r, 719-385-5546
LDTC MEETING: X Yes No	Date: TBD	Time:		
<b>COMMENTS:</b> (This is a preliminary listing of issues - 5408000054 is 19.96 acres, already in Cir - 5400000280 is 77.62 acres, already in Cir - 5400000279 is 28.48 acres, already in Cir - All parcels are within the Banning Lewis - Be aware of restrictions associated with - Prior application file numbers include: C - Proposed development requires the app - Contact staff reviewers listed above for report, traffic impact analysis, and utilitie information and staff names. Also, conce - See email transmitting this summary for -All items must be uploaded to a shared I at an application intake meeting. Please Dropbox folder for uploading electronic	ty, zoning is PIP2/CR AO APZ2 ty, zoning is PIP2/CR AO APZ1 ty, zoning is PIP2/CR AO APZ1 Ranch Master Plan area; master plan APZ1 and APZ2 overlays; Community PC CP 16-00153; CPC ZC 16-00152A; plications checked above; PIP zoning specific questions regarding the resp s items. Utilize LDTC Comment Log h ptual layout does not appear to addre r links to website where application for Dropbox folder prior to submittal, and coordinate with your planner to sche	APZ2; property split by r amendments require a y Center is a Conditional CPC MP 87-00381-A15M does not permit resider ective areas of specialty handout provided via em ess storm water detentio prm and submittal check d printed copies of plans	roadway Fiscal Impact Ar I Use in the PBC AJ16; ntial uses. r: drainage repor nail that has all c on requirements klists are availab s must be provice	nalysis zone. t, geologic hazard contact s. le. ded to the planner
NOTE: The above information is intended to assist in th not a complete list of submittal requirements. Refer to the appropriate application checklists for further inform	the Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances and		<b>1a Brackin, AIC</b> Senior Planner Land Use Review	CP
This form and the information contained herein is	valid for 6 months.		Community Develo	pment
Fee Estimate: <u>\$TBD pending details such</u> Number of Plans: <u>one electronic, one prir</u>	30 S. Nevada Avenue, Suite P.O. Box 1575, MC 155 Colorado Springs, CO 80901	5 Fa>	ne: (719) 385-5369 k: (719) 385-5167 in@springsgov.com	

Date: February 5, 2021 Planner: Tasha Brackin Planner email: <u>Tasha.Brackin@coloradosprings.gov</u> Planner phone number: (719) 385-5369 Applicant Email: <u>jason\_alwine@matrixdesigngroup.com</u> Applicant Name: Matrix Design Group/Jason Alwine TSNS: 540000280 Site Address (to be used on postcard): No Address Assigned – location is "Southeast of

Site Address (to be used on postcard): No Address Assigned – location is "Southeast corner of Highway 94 and Marksheffel Road"

## **PROJECT:**

Pre-application Notice	Standard Notification
Pre-application Neighborhood Meeting Notice	Standard with Neighborhood Meeting Notice
No notice	Poster only

## PUBLIC NOTICE:

150 feet 500 feet

X 1,000 feet ☐ Modified (attach modified buffer) ☐ No public notice

## **PROJECT BLURBS**

Provide a project blurb for each application type, adjust language as needed. Note code sections where applicable for variances.

## Vacation of Right-of-Way

Request by Pikes Peak Investments, LLC, with representation by Matrix Design Group, for approval of a right-of-way vacation. If approved the proposed application would vacate a portion of existing excess right-of-way (ROW) and relocate the planned roadway and ROW dedication to the south to establish an appropriate ROW width of 90 feet. The site is currently zoned PIP2/CR/APZ1/APZ2/AO (Planned Industrial Park 2 /Conditions of Record/Accident Potential Zone 1/Accident Potential Zone 2/Airport Overlay), is 38.06 acres, and is located at the southeast corner of State Highway 94 and Marksheffel Road.

## **PUD Development Plan**

Request by Pikes Peak Investments, LLC, with representation by Matrix Design Group, for approval of the Reagan Ranch PUD Development Plan. If approved the proposed application would establish the land use layout for 181 residential dwelling units, along with a community park, trails, and open space. The site is currently zoned PIP2/CR/APZ1/APZ2/AO (Planned Industrial Park 2 /Conditions of Record/Accident Potential Zone 1/Accident Potential Zone 2/Airport Overlay), is 38.06 acres, and is located at the southeast corner of State Highway 94 and Marksheffel Road.

## POSTCARD

Include 3-5 highlighted points to best describe the project.

- Development Plan for 181 single-family residential dwelling units
- A community park, trails, and open space areas are also proposed.
- New roads and utilities to serve the development are included.

## [Type text]

Neighborhood Meeting Information:

• tbd

## **POSTER**

Fill out applicable information below:

What type of project is proposed? (large bold letters on poster, approx. 35 characters):

• PUD Development Plan for 181 single-family residential dwelling units

## Planning and Development Distribution Form PUD Development Plan

<u>Directions:</u> Planners select <u>at least one</u> check box under each section to determine the application distribution.

Planner Intake Date: 2/5/2021

Admin Receive Date: [2/8/21]

Project Name: High Plains at Reagan Ranch

**<u>1. PUBLIC NOTICE:</u>** (see Project Blurb to establish noticing parameters)

2. Date buckslip comments are due (21 calendar days after submittal): February 26, 2021

## <u>3. HOA: N/A</u>

## 4. STANDARD DISTRIBUTION:

S Include all standard distribution recipients (either check here or individually check boxes below)

ID#	Division Name	Email/Distribution Notes
	None	
85	Utilities Development Services	Buckslips@csu.org
9	Fire Prevention	Steven.Smith@coloradosprings.gov
24	DR&S	development.review@coloradosprings.gov
21	Michelle Ontiveros, CSPD (MC 1565)	Michelle.Ontiveros@coloradosprings.gov
17	Cory Sharp, Land Surveyor (MC 155)	Cory.Sharp@coloradosprings.gov
19	Century Link	Patti.Moore@CenturyLink.com Bea.Romero@centurylink.com Melissa.Spencer@CenturyLink.com
77	CSU Customer Contract	Buckslips@csu.org
11		bjones2@springsgov.com
13	Parks & Recreation	Britt.Haley@coloradosprings.gov
		Constance.Schmeisser@coloradosprings.gov Emily.Duncan@coloradosprings.gov
23	Enumerations	addressing@pprbd.org
29	Flood Plain	Keith@pprbd.org
98	USPS	Elaine.f.kelly@usps.gov

45	Zaker Alazzeh, Traffic – School Safety	development.review@coloradosprings.gov
65	Zaker Alazzeh, Traffic Eng (MC 460)	development.review@coloradosprings.gov
48	Street Division	Corey.Rivera@coloradosprings.gov Cole.Platt@coloradosprings.gov
60	Transit	Roger.Austin@coloradosprings.gov
25	County Health Department	catherinemcgarvy@elpasoco.com
88	Parking Enterprise	Scott.Lee@coloraodosprings.gov
3		rdavis@cscono.org
92	Forestry	Jeff.Cooper@coloradosprings.gov
30	Comcast	Jason_Jacobsen@comcast.com DENNIS_LONGWELL@comcast.com WSTMWR_MDSubmissions@comcast.com
56	PlanCOS	PlanCOS@coloradosprings.gov

# 5. LANDSCAPE PLAN:

1/D: - (-1) ...

ID#	Division Name	Email/Distribution Notes
	None None	
<mark>35</mark>	Preliminary LS	Daniel.Gould@coloradosprings.gov
		Checklist, professional qualifications, alternative
		compliance request
82	🗌 Final LS	Daniel.Gould@coloradosprings.gov
		Checklist, professional qualifications, alternative
		compliance request

## 6. SCHOOL DISTRICT:

D#	Division Name	Email/Distribution Notes
	None	
36	School District # 2	mwilsey@hsd2.org
68	School District # 3	dgish@wsd3.org

37	School District # 11	Terrance.Johns@d11.org Terry.Seaman@d11.org
38	School District # 12	cooper@cmsd12.org
39	School District # 20	tom.gregory@asd20.org
<mark>69</mark>	School District # 22	chrissmith@esd22.org
41	School District # 49	mandrews@d49.org

## 7. MILITARY INSTALLATION (if within a 2 mile buffer):

ID# Division Name Email/Distribution Notes		
	None	
84	Fort Carson	john.j.sanders71.civ@mail.mil Thomas.j.wiersma.civ@mail.mil
46	NORAD	Michael.kozak.2@us.af.mil Michael.Shafer.4@us.af.mil joseph.ems@us.af.mil 21CES.CENB.BaseDevelopment@us.af.mil
26	USAFA	<u>corine.weiss@us.af.mil</u> <u>craig.johnson.35.ctr@us.af.mil</u> <u>steven.westbay.ctr@us.af.mil</u> <u>elizabeth.dukes.3.ctr@us.af.mil</u> <u>21CES.CENB.BaseDevelopment@us.af.mil</u>
<mark>75</mark>	<mark>⊠ Peterson</mark>	PAEK, AYOKA B GS-12 USSF AFSPC 21 CES/CENB <ayoka.paek@spaceforce.mil> Joseph.Elms@us.af.mil 21CES.CENB.BaseDevelopment@us.af.mil</ayoka.paek@spaceforce.mil>

## 8. OPTIONAL DISTRIBUTION (Depending on Location of Site):

ID#	Division Name	Email/Distribution Notes
	None	
59	StratusIQ – AKA Falcon	jlandis@stratusig.com
	Broadband	tking@stratusiq.com
		cotrin@stratusiq.com
		BLR & Flying Horse (ONLY)

<b>E</b> 4	Dudget/Finance Field Impact	hudget@eeleredeepringe.gev
54	Budget/Finance – Fiscal Impact Analysis	budget@coloradosprings.gov For Major MP Amendments
<mark>27</mark>	CDOT (adjacent to CDOT ROW)	Valerie.sword@state.co.us
34	Colorado Geological Survey	cgs_lur@mines.edu
33	SECWCD, Garrett Markus	garrett@secwcd.com
18	Streamside Area Overlay	Tasha.Brackin@coloradosprings.gov
15	Hillside Overlay	Kerri.Schott@coloradosprings.gov
42	Historic Preservation Area     Overlay	Daniel.Sexton@coloradosprings.gov
44	Development Review Enterprise	Kurt.Schmitt@coloradosprings.gov Plans to Kurt if Submitted
<mark>20</mark>	Airport	Kandrews@coloradosprings.gov
<mark>63</mark>	El Paso County Dev. Services	NinaRuiz@elpasoco.com Review of Plans within ½ mile of a County/City Border
43	Wescott Fire District (adjacent only)	admin@wescottfire.org
<mark>5</mark>	Metro District	<mark>Metro District Email</mark> Reagan Ranch
71	Falcon Fire Protection District	tharwig@falconfirepd.org
72	Black Forest Fire Protection District	chief@bffire.org
81	Broadmoor Fire Protection	chief@broadmoorfire.com
	District	noalsperran@gmail.com
80	CSURA – Urban Renewal	Jwalker@springsgov.com;
<mark>65</mark>	<mark>⊠ Kate Brady, Bike Planning,</mark> Traffic	Kate.Brady@coloradosprings.gov
9	Fire Prevention, Jessica Mitchell	<u>Jessica.Mitchell@coloradosprings.gov</u> If DP, CP is accompanying an Annexation
31	Housing and Community Development, Steve Posey	Steve.Posey@coloradosprings.gov Review of plans for all affordable housing proposals AND new proposals that would displace existing low income residents.
53	UCCS Review – North Nevada Overlay zone	mwood@uccs.edu
49	Chelsea Gaylord, Economic Development	Chelsea.Gaylord@coloradosprings.gov QOZ
	Mike Kilabrew – ADA – Downtown Area	Michael.Kilabrew@coloradosprings.gov

Traffic Report, Drainage Report, Geo-Hazard Report

Special notes or instructions: