

Development Services Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Phone: 719.520.6300
Fax: 719.520.6695
Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REVIEW AND DECISION FORM

Procedure # R-FM-051-07 Issue Date: 12/31/07 Revision Issued: 00/00/00

שפע	SU FILE NO.:						

General Property Information:						
Address of Subject Property (Street Number/Name):Saddlehorn Rar	nch 824 Acı	re, SE of Ju	<u>ıdge Orr</u>	and C	urtis Ro	oad_
Tax Schedule ID(s) #: 4300000561, 4400000562, 4300000556						
Legal Description of Property: See Attached Document						
Subdivision or Project Name: Saddlehorn Ranch	<u>Pr</u>	eliminary F	<u>ʻlan</u>			
Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: Standard Drawi	ings for Ru	ral Local R	oadways	3		
Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought:: ECM criteria	for minimu	m rural loc	al center	rline rad	<u>dius</u>	
Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: Applicant wishes to use	a 200 ft. ra	<u>adius at fοι</u>	<u>ır locatic</u>	ns sho	wn on	
Attachment 1.						
Applicant Information:						
Applicant:William Guman and Associates, Ltd Email Ad	ddress:k	oill@gumar	ı,net			
Applicant is:OwnerConsultant _xContractor						
Mailing Address: 731 North Weber Street, Ste 10, Colorado Springs	State	:CO	Postal	Code:	80903_	
Telephone Number: 719-633-9700	Fax N	lumber:				
Engineer Information:						
Engineer:Mike Bramlett Email A	ddress:	mbramle	tt@jreng	jineerin	g.com_	
Company Name:JR Engineering					-	
Mailing Address: _5475 Tech Center Dr, Ste 235, Colorado Springs_	State	:_CO	- Postal	Code:	_80919	9
Registration Number: 32314		of Registra	ation:	СО		
Telephone Number:719-593-2593		lumber:				
	<u> </u>					
Explanation of Request (Attached diagrams, figures and other d	locumenta	tion to cla	rifv rea	uest):		
Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: Standard Dra				-		
	3					
Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought:: ECM criteria	for minimu	m rural loc	al center	rline rad	dius.	
Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: _ Applicant wishes to use	the urban I	ocal center	rline radi	ius in 4	location	ns
within the property as opposed to the rural local centerline radius of						
See Attachment 2_for ECM criteria for rural local centerline radius						
See Attachment 3 for ECM criteria for urban local centerline radius for	or comparis	son				
Reason for the Requested Deviation: Applicant believes the redu	iced radius	is appropr	iate for t	he road	<u>lway</u>	
geometry at the four locations requested.						
Comparison of Proposed Deviation to ECM Standard: See Attachm						
centerline radius at proposed locations. The proposed deviation is a	a 200 ft. ce	nterline rac	lius as c	ompare	ed to the	<u>e</u>
ECM standard radius of 300 ft. centerline radius.						

El Paso County Procedures Manual Procedure # R-FM-051-07

Issue Date: 12/31/07 Revision Issued: 00/00/00 Applicable Regional or National Standards used as Basis: N/A, Propose using the urban local ECM criteria for centerline radius at the four locations. **Application Consideration:** CHECK IF APPLICATION MEETS CRITERIA FOR JUSTIFICATION **CONSIDERATION** The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation. x Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical In the four locations where the deviation is requested, the natural features of the site (floodplain constraints and conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that nearby Curtis Road).lends itselfto the use of a "reduced can accomplish the same design objective is available radius" to create an efficient layout. Each area serves less than ten lots. Use of the required 300 ft. centerline radius and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. would create the need for either excessively long flag lots or excessively large lots for the underlying R2.5 zoning x A change to a standard is required to address a Use of standard radius curves would create excessively specific design or construction problem, and if not large lots in these specific areas or create the need for modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship excessively long flag lots or create excessively large lots. If on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the deviation granted applicant would reduce the posted speed from 30 mph (rural local) to 25 mph (urban local)._The daily public. traffic volume on these streets is minimal, each location only serves 10 or less nearby lots. If at least one of the criteria listed above is not met, this application for deviation cannot be considered. Criteria for Approval: PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA HAVE BEEN SATISFIED BY THIS REQUEST The request for a deviation is This request is not based on financial consideration, but rather the lack of a "low volume reduction" in geometrical standards similar to ECM urban criteria. not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation will achieve the This deviation achieves a superior lot layout that improves the subdivision. The intended result with a applicant believes excessively long flag lots are less desirable than the reduced comparable or superior design centerline radius._ and quality of improvement. Falcon Fire Protection District (Trent Harwig) has accepted the originally The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. proposed knuckle layout,. Applicant can sign roadway to reduce speed to 25 MPH consistent with urban local speeds. The deviation will not adversely Maintenance of the roadway will not be affected. affect maintenance and its associated cost. The deviation will not adversely The use of the reduced roadway radius does not adversely affect aesthetic affect aesthetic appearance. appearance as compared to the use of excessively long flag lots. El Paso County Procedures Manual Procedure # R-FM-051-07 Issue Date: 12/31/07

Revision Issued: 00/00/00

DSD File No.

Owner, Applicant and Engineer Declaration:
To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is
true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be
grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and
filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the
agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked
on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.
//· M
1501/Juma 08/01/19
Signature of owner (or authorized representative) Date
5.11 M
1000 / Jum 08/01/19
Signature of applicant (if different from owner) Date
While Bre tott allo
Signature of Engineer Date
Old Indiana Continued Date
Engineer's Seal
ONDO NEGO
BRANCE!
HS/4 METER
The state of the s
Review and Recommendation:
APPROVED by the ECM Administrator
Date
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. A deviation from Section
of ECM is hereby granted based on the justification provided. Comments:
Additional comments or information are attached.
The state of the s
DENIED by the ECM Administrator
Date
This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. A deviation from Section of ECM is hereby denied. Comments:
or Colaris Heleby defiled. Comments.
<u> </u>
Additional comments or information are attached
Additional comments or information are attached.

El Paso County Procedures Manual Procedure # R-FM-051-07 Issue Date: 12/31/07 Revision Issued: 00/00/00 DSD File No.



X:\2510000.all\2514200\Drawings\Presentations\2019-07-08 Knuckle V

Attachment 2

Chapter 2 Transportation Facilities

Adopted: 12/23/2004 Revised: 12/13/2016

REVISION 6

Section 2.3.2-2.3.2

Centerline Grade (MinMax.)	1-5%	1-5%	1-5%	1-5%	1-6%
Intersection Grades (MinMax.)	1-2%	1-2%	1-3%	1-3%	1-4%
				•	

Assumes 4% superelevation, 6% for 70 MPH design speeds

Table 2-5. Roadway Design Standards for Rural Collectors and Locals

	Collectors		Lo	cal
Criteria	Major	Minor	Local	Gravel
Design Speed / Posted Speed (MPH)	50 / 45	40 / 35	30 / 30	50/45
Clear Zone	20'	14'	7'	12'
Minimum Centerline Curve Radius	930'2	565'	300'	As Approved
Number of Through Lanes	2	2	2	2
Lane Width	12'	12'	12'	12'
Right of Way	90'	80'	70' ³	70' ³
Paved Width	32'	32'	28'	n/a
Median Width	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Outside Shoulder Width (paved/gravel)	8'(4'/4')	6'(4'/2')	4'(2'/2')	4'(0'/4')
Inside Shoulder Width (paved/gravel)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Design ADT	3,000	1,500	750	200
Design Vehicle	WB-67	WB-67	WB-50	WB-50
Access Permitted	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Access Spacing	n/a	Frontage	Frontage	Frontage
Intersection Spacing	¼ mile	660'	330'	330'
Parking Permitted	No	Yes	Yes	No
Minimum Flowline Grade	1%	1%	1%	1%
Centerline Grade (MinMax.)	1-8% ¹	1-8%1	1-8%1	1-8%
Intersection Grades (MinMax.)	1-4%	1-4%	1-4%	1-4%

^{10%} maximum grade permitted at the discretion of the ECM Administrator

² Pavement width in each direction for divided roadways

² Assumes 4% superelevation, 6% for 70 MPH design speeds

³ 60-foot right-of-way plus two 5-foot Public Improvements Easements granted to El Paso County

Adopted: 12/23/2004 Revised: 12/13/2016 REVISION 6

Section 2.3.3-2.3.3

Table 2-7. Roadway Design Standards for Urban Collectors and Locals

rable 2-7. Roadway Design Standards		ctors	Local		
Criteria	Non-		Local	Local⁴	
	Residential	Residential		(low volume)	
Design Speed / Posted Speed (MPH)	40 / 35	40 / 35	25 / 25	20 / 20	
Clear Zone	14'	14'	12'	7'	
Minimum Centerline Curve Radius	565'	565'	200'	100'	
Number of Through Lanes	2	2	2	2	
Lane Width	12'	12'	12'	12'	
Right-of-Way	80'	60'	60' ³	60¹³	
Paved Width (Excluding Gutter Pan)	48'	36'	30'	24'	
Median Width (Including Curb & Gutter)	12'	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Shoulder Width (Ext., Excluding Gutter)	6'	6'	n/a	n/a	
Shoulder Width (Int., Excluding Gutter)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Required Curb/ Gutter Type (Vertical)	6"	6"	6" (or ramp)	6" (or ramp)	
Sidewalk Width (@ FL)	5' detached	5' detached	5' attached	5' attached	
Design ADT	20,000	10,000	3,000	300	
Design Vehicle	WB-50	WB-50	WB-50	SU-30	
Bike Lanes Permitted	No	Yes	No	No	
Access Permitted	No ⁵	No ⁵	Yes	Yes	
Access Spacing	See	See	Frontage	Frontage	
	Table 2-35	Table 2-35			
Intersection Spacing	660' ²	660¹²	175'	150'	
Parking Permitted	No	No	Yes	Yes	
Minimum Flowline Grade of Curb	.50%	.50%	.50%	.50%	
Centerline Grade (MinMax,)	0.5-6%1	0.5-8% ¹	0.5-8% ¹	0.5-8% ¹	
Intersection Grades (MinMax.)	0.5-4%	0.5-4%	0.5-4%	0.5-4%	
1					

^{1 10%} maximum grade permitted at the discretion of the ECM Administrator

2.3.3 **Horizontal Alignment**

A. General Criteria

Proper roadway alignment provides for safe and continuous operation at a uniform design speed. Proposed road layouts shall have a logical relationship to existing or platted roads and fit within the overall transportation plan.

² 330 feet when intersecting local roadways

³ 50-foot right-of-way plus two 5-foot Public Improvements Easements granted to El Paso County

⁴ Section can be used for cul-de-sacs, or roads with two ways out having a maximum of 300 ADT and a maximum length of 1,200 feet

⁵ Where no local public or private roadway can provide access, temporary or partial turn movement parcel access may be permitted

Adopted: 12/23/2004 Revised: 12/13/2016 REVISION 6

Section B.3.2-B.3.2

transportation network to be studied. The analysis shall use procedures described in the Highway Capacity Manual. Factors for intersections will be by approach and those used for roadways will be by facility unless otherwise directed by the ECM Administrator.

1. Existing and Short-Range Horizon

Use calculated peak hour factors or 0.85, whichever is higher, and

2. Long-Range Horizon

A peak hour factor of 0.95 may be used for the Long-Range Horizon. Greater values may be used if approved by the ECM Administrator.

C. Roadway Links

Roadway links shall be analyzed. Acceptable maximum traffic volumes allowed for the specific class of roadway are shown in Table B-1.

Table B-1. Threshold Capacity

Facility Type	Lanes	ADT Threshold Capacity (Urban/Rural)
Local (low volume)/Local (rural)	2	300/750
Collector-Non-Residential	2	20,000
Local (urban)/Minor Collector (rural)	2	3,000/1,500
Major Collector	2	10,000/3,000
Minor Arterial	4	20,000/10,000
Principal Arterial (4-lane)	4	40,000/40,000
Principal Arterial (6-lane)	6	40,000/40,000
Expressway (4-lane)	4	48,000/48,000
Expressway (6-lane)	6	48,000/48,000

B.3.2 Background Traffic

A. Short-Range Volume Projections

The traffic forecast for the short-range planning horizon shall be the sum of existing traffic volumes plus cumulative development traffic from approved land use actions (projects with reserved intersection capacity established through a certified Full TIS), plus background growth (as adjusted to avoid duplicative consideration of the identified development traffic from the approved land use already considered). The cumulative development traffic shall be based, in part, on the A.M. and P.M. peak hour and (ADT) data established and accepted from planned and approved land use actions within and near the study area. The assumed baseline surface transportation network shall reflect existing facilities (without the proposed project improvements) plus any committed improvements within the study area.

The short-range planning horizon background traffic growth rate shall be based: