

# INNOVATIVE DESIGN. CLASSIC RESULTS.

# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

# HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5, 6 & 7

January 2019

Prepared for:
ELITE PROPERTIES OF AMERICA, INC.
6385 CORPORATE DRIVE
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80919

Prepared by:

# CLASSIC CONSULTING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS

619 CASCADE AVENUE, SUITE 200 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 (719) 785-0790

> SF-18-038 SF-18-039

> SF-18-040

Job no. 1116.05



# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS NO. 5, 6 & 7

# DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENT

## DESIGN ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to

the best of my kr	nowledge and belief. Said drainage	report has been prepared according to t	he criteria
established by the	County for drainage report and sai	d report is in conformity with the applical	ble master
plan and drainage	basin. I accept responsibility for	any liability caused by any negligent acts,	errors, or
omissions on my p	part in preparing this report.		
\$0.19	9: 他言	1.1	
0123 1724 1933	3765	2619	
NC 1 1/16 1	C. 1. D. D. Warter	-	
Marc A. Whorton,	Colorado P.E.,#37155	Date	
10 ES	Comment of the state of		
Will	WAL CONSTITUTE		
OWNIEDS /DEV	EL OBEDIC CHAMENED EN		
	ELOPER'S STATEMENT:		
i, the owner/deve	doper, have read and will comply w	ith all of the requirements specified in thi	s dramage
report and plan.			
Business Name:	Feathergrass Investments LLC		
Dusiness Ivallie.	Teathergrass investments LIC		
	Burn M. P.	will 2/5/1	9
	Johnson 1. J	Data	+
Title:	KANDER	Date 7	
Title	- AND CK		
Address:	6385 Corporate Dr., Suite 200		
	and desposate Diff desce 200		
	Colorado Springs, CO 80919		
EL PASO COUN	ITY:		
Filed in accordance	e with the requirements of the Drai	nage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El	Paso County
Engineering Criteri	ia Manual and Land Development C	ode, as amended.	
	APPROVED		
	Engineering Department		
	07/15/2019 2:09:12 PM		
	dsdnijkamp  EPC Planning & Community		
Jennifer Irvine, P.E	Development Department	Date	

County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Conditions:



# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS NO. 5, 6 & 7

## TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSE	Page	4
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Page	4
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page	5
DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page	5
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS	Page	9
ROCK ISLAND TRAIL (BOX CULVERT)	Page	10
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	Page	11
EROSION CONTROL PLAN	Page	11
DRAINAGE FACILITY COST OPINION	Page	11
DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	Page	13
SUMMARY	Page	16
REFERENCES	Page	17

## **APPENDICES**

VICINITY MAP
SOILS MAP (S.C.S. SURVEY)
F.E.M.A. MAP
HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS
SWQ / DETENTION CALCULATIONS
DRAINAGE MAPS



# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS NO. 5, 6 & 7

#### **PURPOSE**

This document is the Final Drainage Report for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filings No. 5, 6 & 7. The purpose of this report is to identify onsite and offsite drainage patterns, storm sewer, inlet locations, and areas tributary to the site, and to safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate detention and water quality facilities while releasing storm water at or below historic rates and in accordance with all applicable master drainage plans. This report will discuss the proposed storm system to be built with Filing 5, 6 and 7 and discuss the final construction details, and more specifically, the final design details of the proposed sub-regional public detention/water quality facility located at the southerly end of Filing 6 that will handle the treatment for Filings 5, 6 and 7. Final design information for the Filing No. 5, 6 and 7 detention/water quality facility included in this report.

#### **GENERAL DESCRIPTION**

The Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass development is a 121.2 acre residential and commercial district within the south half of Section 32, Township 13 South, Range 65 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located on the west side of Akers Drive just north of Constitution Avenue. The existing abandoned Chicago Rock Island and Pacific Railroad sits directly north and west of the site, with Akers Drive bordering the east side and Constitution adjoining the south side of the site. The entire proposed development includes a total of 345 single-family residences and will be developed in seven filings. The Filing No. 5, 6 and 7 are the only remaining areas that are currently undeveloped within the community and was previously re-platted under Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 1. Filing No. 5 includes 55 residential lots on approximately 12.92 acres. Filing No. 6 will include 33 lots on approximately 7.94 acres, and Filing No. 7 is 81 lots on approximately 15.40 acres.

The average soil condition of the entire site and tributary area to the proposed ponds reflects Hydrologic Group "A" (Blakeland, loamy sand) as determined by the "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the National Cooperative Soil Survey (see map in Appendix).



#### **EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS**

The site is located within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. More specifically, it is situated in the north half of the overall Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass residential portion of the development. These last three residential filings makeup nearly all of the Basin A4, as shown on the existing drainage map provided by MVE, Inc. (See Appendix) The abandoned railroad bed along the north edge of the development serves as the northerly basin boundary and Winslow Park Dr. to the south as the southerly basin boundary. The recent construction of Filing 3 improvements included a 6'x10' CBC under Winslow Park Dr. out-falling into a 90" RCP storm. Adjacent to the 6'x10' CBC, a stormwater quality facility (Sand Filter Basin) was also constructed within Tract E, Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing 1. The on-site pre-development drainage patterns sheet flow towards the natural channel through the middle of the property and ultimately into the 6'x10' CBC. This facility was constructed to allow the significant off-site flows from the north, passing under the railroad bed ( $Q_{10} = 360$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 640$  cfs per Sand Creek DBPS) historically, traversing the site within an unimproved natural channel within a drainage easement. These off-site flows will continue to flow through the site as planned with the Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing 3 construction drawings, designed by MVE, Inc., approved October 2017. This concept will be finalized in the Filing 5 construction drawings.

### **DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS**

Given some recent changes in City/County Drainage Criteria, the calculations for these last phases of development now reflect current criteria for stormwater quality requirements. Proposed Pond 1 will be designed as a full spectrum facility to accommodate the developed flows from Filings 5, 6 and 7. This will include the design of concrete forebays, concrete trickle channels, concrete micropool and an outlet structure designed to release flows based on full spectrum criteria. The attached developed conditions drainage map contains many design points related to proposed at-grade and sump conditions. All public Type R inlets have been designed at these various locations to accept both the 5-yr. and 100-yr. developed flows. As stated in the Final Drainage Reports for both Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 1 and Filing No. 3, it is intended that the major drainage corridor within Tracts D and E, Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 1, including all channel improvements and box culvert to be owned and maintained by El Paso County in concert with the public transportation infrastructure through the mechanism of Public Right-of-Way and Public Drainage Easements through the site. Upon construction and acceptance of the all proposed channel improvements, Tracts D and E will be deeded to El Paso County.



All proposed storm facilities within the public Right-of-way will be public with ownership and maintenance by El Paso County. All other proposed storm facilities within easements or tracts and the proposed Pond 1 will be owned and maintained by the Hannah Ridge HOA.

**Design Point 1** ( $Q_5 = 7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 15$  cfs) and **Design Point 2** ( $Q_5 = 0.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 1.4$  cfs) collect developed flows from Basins OS-2, A and F. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and then be conveyed via a 24" RCP storm sewer in a southerly direction towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 2** ( $Q_5 = 7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 16$  cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction directly into a drainage tract that will route the flows towards Pond 1.

**Design Point 3** ( $Q_5 = 9$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 21$  cfs) and **Design Point 4** ( $Q_5 = 2$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 4$  cfs) collect developed flows from Basins OS-3, D and E. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and then be conveyed via a 30" RCP storm sewer towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 4** ( $Q_5 = 11$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 24$  cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is via a natural swale between two lots within a drainage easement and then directly into the natural channel. **Pipe Run 5** ( $Q_5 = 17$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 38$  cfs) represents the combined pipe flows from Design Points 1-4. This 36" RCP storm sewer will route these combined developed flows directly into Pond 1. This pond inflow is designated later in this report as the easterly pond inflow.

**Design Point 5** ( $Q_5 = 9$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 25$  cfs) collects developed flows from Basins OS-4 and J. At this sump condition, a 15' Type R sump inlet will be installed to collect a portion of both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows being collected have a maximum ponding depth up to the crown of the street. The collected flows at this location equal ( $Q_5 = 8$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 8$  cfs) with flow-by of ( $Q_5 = 1$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 17$  cfs) that will overtop the crown and travel into basins K and L. Given the location of the inlet with respect to this "T" intersection, it is assumed that approximately 75% of the flow-by will enter Basin K and 25% of the flow-by will enter Basin L. The downstream design points account for this flow-by assumption.



**Design Point 6** ( $Q_5 = 6$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 24$  cfs) and **Design Point 7** ( $Q_5 = 4$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 12$  cfs) collect developed flows from Basins K, L and the flow-by described above from DP-5. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth up to the crown in Electronic Drive and be conveyed via a 36" RCP storm sewer in a southerly direction towards Design Point 8. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 9** ( $Q_5 = 17$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 44$  cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction over the crown of Electronic Drive towards Design Point 8.

**Design Point 8** ( $Q_5 = 3$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 7$  cfs) collects developed flows from Basin M. At this sump condition, a 10' Type R sump inlet will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and be conveyed via a 36" RCP storm sewer in a southerly direction towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 10** ( $Q_5 = 20$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 49$  cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction directly into a drainage tract that will route the flows towards the Grand Prix cul-de-sac.

**Design Point 9** ( $Q_5 = 9$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 19$  cfs) and **Design Point 10** ( $Q_5 = 2$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 5$  cfs) collect developed flows from Basins OS-5, N and O. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and then be conveyed via a 36" RCP storm sewer in an easterly direction towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 12** ( $Q_5 = 10$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 23$  cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction directly into a drainage tract that will route the flows towards the natural channel. **Pipe Run 13** ( $Q_5 = 30$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 71$  cfs) represents the combined pipe flows from Pipe Runs 10 and 12. This 42" RCP storm sewer will route these developed flows directly into Pond 1. This pond inflow is designated later in this report as the westerly pond inflow.

**Basin OS-1** ( $Q_5 = 0.6$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 1.3$  cfs) develops flows from the existing Akers Dr. roadway, north of the highpoint, that will continue to drain in a northerly direction as curb and gutter flow. **Basin C** ( $Q_5 = 0.5$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 1$  cfs) develops flows from the existing Akers Dr. parkway landscape area adjacent to the



roadway that will sheet flow into the road and continue to travel in a southerly direction. **Basin G** ( $Q_5 = 0.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 1.4$  cfs) develops flows from a small portion of the proposed lots and roadway that cannot be collected on-site. These minor flow will continue to drain in a southerly direction directly into Winslow Park Dr. **Basin B1** ( $Q_5 = 0.1$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 0.9$  cfs) develops flow from the northerly portion of Tract A and does not include any impervious improvements. Thus, this basin will continue to sheet flow off-site. **Basin B** ( $Q_5 = 1$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 3$  cfs), **Basin** H ( $Q_5 = 1$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 2$  cfs) and **Basin P** ( $Q_5 = 2$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 4$  cfs) develop flows from the rear yards of the proposed lots that cannot be reasonably collected by Pond 1. As noted on the drainage map, all impervious roof area within these basins will require roof drains to be routed to the front of the lots and directly into the public roadway. As such, these flows are then treated by Pond 1. Any remaining minor impervious areas not able to be routed to the front of the lot must travel across a grass buffer (sodded rear yard) prior to exiting the lot. **Basin Q** ( $Q_5 = 2$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 6$  cfs) develops flows from rear yards of the proposed lots adjacent to Pond 1 and the facility itself. These flows are all tributary to Pond 1.

The total inflow into Pond 1 equals  $Q_5 = 44$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 103$  cfs per the UD-Detention spreadsheet. (See Appendix) This facility will be constructed with the proposed Filing 7 development and the downstream flows will remain consistent with the previous filings. This facility will have two separate inflow points (westerly and easterly). The westerly inflow ( $Q_5 = 30$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 71$  cfs) will be from a 42" RCP into a concrete forebay with a required size of 566 CF based on 3% of the WQCV from this inflow. The forebay is designed with 18" high walls, 6" notch and a 24" wide concrete trickle channel routing the flows towards the pond outlet. The easterly inflow ( $Q_5 = 17$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 38$  cfs) will outlet from a 36" RCP, down a rip-rap chute into a concrete forebay with a required size of 305 CF based on 3% of the WQCV from this inflow. The forebay is designed with 12" high walls, 5" notch and an 28" wide concrete trickle channel routing the flows towards the pond outlet. These two forebays trickle channels will combine into a 30" wide concrete trickle channel conveying the flows to the outlet structure. The outlet structure consists of a 6'x4' concrete box with an integral 190 SF micropool allowing for 6" initial surcharge depth. The micropool total depth of 3.0' provides the required 0.3% of the WQCV. The outlet box height required to meet the EURV design volume equals 5.75'. (See UD-BMP Spreadsheets in Appendix) The orifice plate on the front of the outlet box consists of a series of 5 holes, 13.8" apart. (See UD-Detention Spreadsheets in Appendix) This facility will be owned and maintained by the Hannah Ridge HOA.



**Pond 1** has the following design parameters as a full-spectrum facility:

0.74 Ac.-ft. WQCV required

2.44 Ac.-ft. EURV required

2.6 Ac.-ft. EURV design with 4:1 max. slopes

4.4 Ac.-ft. 100-yr. storage

Total In-flow:  $Q_5 = 44 \text{ cfs}, \quad Q_{100} = 103 \text{ cfs}$ 

Pond Design Release:  $Q_5 = 0.8 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 38 \text{ cfs}$ 

Pre-development Release:  $Q_5 = 0.8 \text{ cfs}, \quad Q_{100} = 46 \text{ cfs}$ 

#### **HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS**

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014. Individual on-site developed basin design used for inlet sizing and storm system routing was calculated using the Rational Method. Full-Spectrum detention pond modeling developed using UD-Detention spreadsheet ver. 3.07, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District.

The City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County DCM requires the Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process pertains to management of smaller, frequently occurring storm events, as opposed to larger storms for which drainage and flood control infrastructure are sized. Implementation of these four steps helps to achieve storm water permit requirements.

This site adheres to this **Four Step Process** as follows:

1. **Employ Runoff Reduction Practices:** Proposed impervious areas (roof tops, patios) will sheet flow across landscaped yards and through open space areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site.



- 2. Stabilize Drainageways: After developed flows utilize the runoff reduction practices through the front yards, these flows will travel via curb and gutter within the public streets and eventually public storm systems. These collected flows are then routed directly to the full-spectrum detention facility on-site (Pond 1). Where developed flows are not able to be routed to public streets (rear yards), sheet flows will travel towards the natural drainage channel within the open space corridor. This corridor will be protected with rip-rap and erosion control matting as required to reduce velocities to non-erosive levels.
- 3. **Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV):** Runoff from this development will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV in the proposed full-spectrum permanent Extended Detention Basin (Pond 1) designed per current El Paso County drainage criteria.
- 4. Consider need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs: No industrial or commercial uses are proposed within this development. However, a site specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative has been submitted along with the grading and erosion control plan. Details such as site specific source control construction BMP's as well as permanent BMP's were detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters. BMP's will be constructed and maintained as the development has been graded and erosion control methods employed.

#### ROCK ISLAND TRAIL (BOX CULVERT)

Kiowa Engineering Corporation prepared a report titled "Hydrology Analysis, East Fork Sand Creek, Tributary 6", having revision date of January 18, 2007. The report served to amend the Sand Creek DBPS and was reviewed and accepted by El Paso County during the same time frame as the Preliminary Plan approval for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass. The amendment specified that the existing 7'x7' railroad culvert crossing, located just north of the proposed Filing 5, is to remain in place. Said DBPS amendment indicates that the existing ponding area on the upstream side of the railroad embankment is to remain in the current and future drainage conditions, thereby reducing the resultant developed flows trough the properties downstream of the embankment, including flows through the tributary in Hannah Ridge. Flows at this structure are as follows: Inflow  $Q_{10} = 374$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 915$  cfs, Outflow  $Q_{10} = 360$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 640$  cfs



with a 100 yr. upstream storage elevation of 6495.3. The 2007 DBPS Amendment Map is included in the Appendix for easy reference. In accordance with BOCC conditions of approval of the Preliminary Plan, maintenance on this existing structure was to take place in conjunction with the Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing 3 improvements. As such, specified improvements were included in the approved construction drawings for Filing 3 (Sheet 31). These improvements included concrete surface repairs within the box culvert, wingwall reconditioning and addition of rip-rap aprons. To date, these improvements have been completed by Classic Homes with the development of Filing 3 and inspected by El Paso County. The City of Colorado Springs is aware of the recent improvements and is scheduled to inspect them for completion, as they will maintain ownership and maintenance responsibility for this structure and associated improvements. A letter from City acknowledging these improvements will be provided to County Staff.

#### FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a FEMA floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Numbers 08041C0752G and 08041C0539G, with effective dates of December 7, 2018 (See Appendix).

#### **EROSION CONTROL PLAN**

The Drainage Criteria Manual specifies an Erosion Control Plan and associated cost estimate be submitted with the Final Drainage Report. We respectfully request that the Erosion Control Plan and cost estimate be submitted in conjunction with the Overlot Grading Plan and construction assurances posted prior to obtaining a grading permit.

#### DRAINAGE FACILITY COST OPINION

The following exhibits provide a summary based on the allowable reimbursable costs as presented in the Sand Creek DBPS. These documents rectify previous drainage fee credits given for Filings 1-4 as well as proposed credits for the remaining Filings within the Hannah Ridge development. The net result shows the allowable reimbursable costs (2019 dollars) exceed the total drainage fees for the entire development.



TABLE VIII-3:


SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY

cont'd

TRIBUTARY DRAINAGEWAY CONVEYANCE COST ESTIMATE

EAST FORK SAND CREEK TRIBUTARIES

SEGMENT NUMBER	REACH NUMBER	IMPROVEMENT TYPE	IMP. LENGTH	UNIT COST	NUMBER OF GRADE	LENGTH OF GRADE CONTROL	TOTAL REIMBURSABLE	TOTAL
			(FT)	(\$/LF)	CONTROLS	(FT)	COSTS	
EAST FORK SA	ND CREEK							
104	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	450	205	7	350	\$0	\$144,750
8	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	3540	120	4	120	\$442,800	\$442,800
8A	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	1920	234	2	70	\$459,700	\$459,780
6	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	5200	234	4	240	\$1,252,800	\$1,252,800
112	EF-2	EX. SYSTEM TO REMAIN	1150	0	0	0	\$0	50
12A	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	1900	234	2	120	\$462,600	\$462,600
195	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	980	189	1	35	\$190,470	\$190,470
12	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	1730	234	3	150	\$427.320	\$427,320
20	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	3650	234	10	500	\$929,100	\$929,100
17	EF-4	100-YR RIPRAP	1300	205	2	100	\$281,500	\$281,500
124A	EF-4	100-YR RIPRAP	1750	234	2	80	\$421,500	\$421,500
198	EF-4	100-YR RIPRAP	3650	205	4	160	\$722,250	\$772,250
30	EF-4 *	100-YR RIPRAP	4500	205	3	150	\$945,000	\$945,000
75	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	4200	234	10	700	\$1,087,800	\$1,087,800
173	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	1600	234	2	120	\$392,400	\$392,400
72	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	4500	205	8	560	\$1,006,500	\$1,006,500
57	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	3200	234	3	120	\$766,800	\$766,800
55	EF-6	100-YR RIPRAP	2800	234	3	135	\$675,450	\$675,450
31	EF-5	100-YR RIPRAP	2900	205	7	210	\$626,000	\$626,000
144	EF-6	100-YR RIPRAP	2050	189	3	60	\$396,450	\$396,450
82	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5700	85	5	150	\$507,000	\$507,000
83	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5400	93	6	180	\$529,200	\$529,200
194A	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	1900	93	2	60	\$185,700	\$185,700
88	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5500	57	5	150	\$336,000	\$336,000
85	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5900	93	7	210	\$580,200	\$580,200



# HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHER GRASS REIMBURSABLE COST SUMMARY

Job 1116.05 (revised 7-6-19)

DBPS Reimbursable

DBPS	<b>Facility Costs</b>
	E DDDC

				Reimburable	Possible 10%	Reimbursable	From DBPS w/		
		%	Drainage	Drainage Facility	Engineering	Facility Costs	Inflation	Inflation	
Filing	Acreage (AC)	Imprevious	Fee	Estimate	Reimbursable	From DBPS	Factor	Factor	Comment
NO. 1	31.22	38%	\$ 177,954.00	N/A					
4/23/2014*	9.68	38%	\$ 55,176.00						
			(\$15,000/ac)						
NO. 2	9.27	38%	\$ 52,839.00	\$ 159,068.00	\$ 15,906.80	N/A	N/A		Facilities installed - DBPS 12A
10/6/2015			\$ 15,000.00						
NO. 3	8.31	51%	\$ 68,953.89	\$ 589,961.00	\$ 58,996.10	\$462,600.00	\$501,921.00	1.085 (2017)	Facilities installed - DBPS 12A
			\$ 16,270.00						
NO. 4	10.12	51%	\$ 83,972.72	N/A		N/A	N/A		
			\$ 16,270.00						
NO. 5	12.92	49%	\$ 120,079.60	\$ 412,620.00	\$ 41,262.00	\$427,320.00	\$521,330.40	1.223 (2019)	To be installed w/ Fil 5 - DBPS 12
		***	\$ 18,940.00	**					
NO. 6	7.94	48%	\$ 71,593.20	N/A		N/A	N/A		
		***	\$ 18,940.00						
NO. 7	13.71	60%	\$ 155,876.20	incl in above filing 5		\$190,470.00	\$232,373.40	1.223 (2019)	To be installed w/ Fil 7 - DBPS 195
		***	\$ 18,940.00						
Midtown Fil 1	3.26	60%	¢ 27.046.64	N./A	I	N1/A	N./A		1
	3.26	60%	\$ 37,046.64			N/A	N/A		
(estimate)			\$ 18,940.00						I
Midtown Fil 2	9.12	60%	\$ 103,639.68	N/A		N/A	N/A		
(estimate)			\$ 18,940.00						

TOTAL 115.55 \$ 927,130.93 \$ 1,161,649.00 \$ 116,164.90 \$1,080,390.00 \$1,255,624.80

Total possible Credit

per estimates incl

engineeering \$ 1,277,813.90 Fee Offset \$ 927,130.93

Possible Credits in EPC

Sand Creek Basin \$ 350,682.97

NOTE: Total Reimburable Drainage Factous for Filing No. 1 to 7 = \$2,476,616.00 (per MVE approved reports)

\* Revised per Filing No. 2 Correction

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Filing 5 Reimbursable Costs

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> See Fee breakdown based on Imp. Ac.

The proposed Sand Creek Tributary 6 channel improvements as shown in the Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5 construction plans and associated reimbursable costs are as follows and have not been previously deferred in earlier filings:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5 Drainage Improvement Costs (Reimbursable)

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	C	OST
1.	50' BW, 4' H Grass Channel	420 LF	\$165/LF	\$	69,300.00
	(Incl. grading, seeding, E.C)				
2.	62' BW Rip-Rap Channel	415 CY	\$93/CY	\$	38,595.00
	(Type L Rip-Rap D=18")				
3.	74' BW Grouted Rip-Rap	165 CY	\$227/CY	\$	37,455.00
	(Type L Grouted D=18")				
4.	Concrete Box Culvert (6x12)	463 LF	\$520/LF	\$	240,760.00
5.	End Treatment - Headwall	1 EA	\$3,500 EA	\$	3,500.00
6.	End Treatment - Wingwalls	2 EA	\$5,000 EA	\$	10,000.00
7.	End Treatment – Cutoff wall	1 EA	\$500 EA	\$	500.00
8.	36" Wide Conc. Curb Chase	417 LF	\$30 LF	\$	12,510.00
TOTA	<b>AL</b>			\$	412,620.00

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors cannot and does not guarantee that the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable construction costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular.

#### **DRAINAGE & BRIDGE FEES**

This site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. The fees are calculated using the following impervious acreage method approved by El Paso County. All three Filings are re-plats of previously platted tracts within Filing 1. However, these tracts were designated as future development and no fees were paid at time of original platting. Thus, the percent imperviousness for each Filing is calculated below based on the following acreages:



Filing 5: 12.92 ac.

Filing 6: 7.94 ac.

Filing 7: 15.40 ac. However, 1.69 ac. is a re-plat of Tract A, Filing 6 with fees paid at that time

Filing 7 net acreage for drainage/bridge fees = 13.71 ac.

The total development area for each Filing is broken into different residential uses:

PUD zone (5000-6000 SF lots – 60% Impervious)

PUD zone (6000 SF avg. lots – 53% Impervious)

PUD zone Open space/drainage tracts (Greenbelts – 2% Impervious).

The following calculations are based on the 2019 drainage/bridge fees for the Sand Creek Basin:

### FILING 5:

## 6000 SF avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for 6000 SF lots: 53%)

11.93 Ac. x 53% = 6.32 Impervious Ac.

## Open Space Tracts

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for greenbelts: 2%)

0.99 Ac. x 2% = 0.02 Impervious Ac.

Total Impervious Acreage: 6.34 Imp. Ac.

#### **FILING 5 FEE TOTALS:**

### **Bridge Fees**

 $$5,559.00 \times 6.34 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = $35,244.06$ 

## **Drainage Fees**

\$ 18,940.00 x 6.34 Impervious Ac. =  $\frac{$120,079.60}{}$ 

(These Drainage Fees will be paid by developer in the form of credits based on the aforementioned reimbursable drainage costs)



## FILING 6:

## 5000 - 6000 SF avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for 5000 - 6000 SF lots: 60%) 6.25 Ac. x 60% = 3.75 Impervious Ac.

## **Open Space Tracts**

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for greenbelts: 2%) 1.69 Ac. x 2% = 0.03 Impervious Ac.

Total Impervious Acreage: 3.78 Imp. Ac.

#### FILING 6 FEE TOTALS:

## **Bridge Fees**

 $$5,559.00 \times 3.78 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = $21,013.02$ 

## **Drainage Fees**

\$ 18,940.00 x 3.78 Impervious Ac. = \$\frac{\$71,593.20}{}\$ (These Drainage Fees will be paid by developer in the form of credits based on the aforementioned reimbursable drainage costs)

#### FILING 7:

### 5000 - 6000 SF avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for 5000 - 6000 SF lots: 60%) 13.71 Ac. x 60% = 8.23 Impervious Ac.

Total Impervious Acreage: 8.23 Imp. Ac.



#### FILING 7 FEE TOTALS:

## **Bridge Fees**

 $$5,559.00 \times 8.23 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = $45,728.33$ 

# **Drainage Fees**

 $$18,940.00 \times 8.23$  Impervious Ac. = \$155,876.20 (These Drainage Fees will be paid by developer in the form of credits based on the

aforementioned reimbursable drainage costs)

#### **SUMMARY**

This proposed development remains consistent with the previously approved MDDP and Final Drainage Reports for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filings 2, 3 and 4. The existing storm facilities continue to adequately handle both the 5-yr. and 100-yr. developed flows. All proposed detention facilities meet current criteria and provide full spectrum design. The proposed development will not adversely impact surrounding developments.

PREPARED BY: Classic Consulting

Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Project Manager

maw/1116.05/REPORTS/PDR-Fil. 5-6-7.doc



## **REFERENCES**

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual dated October 1991.
- 2. "Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study," Kiowa Engineering Corp, dated March 1996.
- 3. "Master Development Drainage Plan for Hannah Ridge", prepared by MVE, Inc. November 2007
- 4. "Hydrology Analysis, East Fork Sand Creek, Tributary 6", prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corp, dated January 2007
- 5. "Final Drainage Report for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 3", by MVE, Inc. October 2017.
- 6. Drainage Criteria Manual (Volume 3) latest revision April 2008, Urban Drainage and Flood Criteria District.




# **APPENDIX**



# **VICINITY MAP**






VICINITY MAP

SOILS MAP (S.C.S SURVEY)






#### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

#### Soils

Soil Map Unit Polygons



Soil Map Unit Points

#### Special Point Features

Blowout

Borrow Pit

Clay Spot

Closed Depression

Gravel Pit

Gravelly Spot

Landfill

Lava Flow

Marsh or swamp

Mine or Quarry

Miscellaneous Water

Perennial Water

→ Saline Spot

Sandy Spot

Severely Eroded Spot

Sinkhole

Slide or Slip

Sodic Spot

#### \_\_\_\_

Spoil Area

Stony Spot

Very Stony Spot

Wet Spot

Other

Special Line Features

#### Water Features

Δ

Streams and Canals

#### Transportation

Rails

Interstate Highways

US Routes

Major Roads

Local Roads

#### Background

Aerial Photography

#### MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24.000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 10, 2018

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Apr 15, 2011—Jun 17, 2014

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

# **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	119.8	88.4%
97	Truckton sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	15.7	11.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		135.4	100.0%

# El Paso County Area, Colorado

## 8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 369v Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F

Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Blakeland and similar soils: 85 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of

the mapunit.

#### **Description of Blakeland**

#### Setting

Landform: Hills, flats

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or

eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand

C - 27 to 60 inches: sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 1 to 9 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to

very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 5 percent Available water storage in profile: Low (about 4.5 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Ecological site: Sandy Foothill (R049BY210CO)

Hydric soil rating: No

## **Minor Components**

#### Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No


#### **Pleasant**


Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

# **Data Source Information**

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 10, 2018 F.E.M.A. MAP







HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS



 JOB NAME:
 HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7

 JOB NUMBER:
 1116.05

 DATE:
 10/01/18

 CALCULATED BY:
 K. CERJAN

#### FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY

				IMPERVI	OUS AREA /	STREETS					LANDSCAF	PE/UNDEVEL	OPED AREA	S		V	VEIGHTED			WEIGHTED C	A
BASIN	TOTAL	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(10)	C(25)	C(50)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C/E)	C(10)	C(2E)	C(E0)	C(100)	C/2)	C(E)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA/E)	CA/400)
		`	. ,		/	. ,			` /-	C(2)	C(5)		C(25)	C(50)	\ /	C(2)	C(5)		CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)
OS-1	0.23	0.16	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.63	0.65	0.77	0.14	0.15	0.18
OS-2	0.35	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.10	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.64	0.67	0.79	0.22	0.23	0.28
OS-3	0.27	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.27	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.02	0.09
OS-4	3.40	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.40	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.07	0.27	1.19
OS-5	0.36	0.33	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.82	0.83	0.91	0.29	0.30	0.33
A	3.90	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.90	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	1.52	1.68	2.22
В	0.52	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.52	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.20	0.22	0.30
B1	0.28	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.28	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.02	0.10
С	0.21	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.21	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.08	0.09	0.12
D	5.60	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	5.60	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	2.18	2.41	3.19
E	0.96	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.37	0.41	0.55
F	0.26	0.08	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.18	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.54	0.57	0.69	0.14	0.15	0.18
G	0.25	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.15	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.59	0.62	0.73	0.15	0.15	0.18
Н	0.40	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.40	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.16	0.17	0.23
1	Not Used																				
J	5.30	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	5.30	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	2.07	2.28	3.02
K	3.60	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.60	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	1.40	1.55	2.05
L	2.30	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.30	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.90	0.99	1.31
M	1.70	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.70	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.66	0.73	0.97
N	5.10	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	5.10	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	1.99	2.19	2.91
0	1.20	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.20	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.47	0.52	0.68
Р	0.77	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.77	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.30	0.33	0.44
Q	3.20	0.90	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	2.30	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.12	0.18	0.41	0.40	0.57	1.32

JOB NAME:	HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7
JOB NUMBER:	1116.05
DATE:	10/01/18
CALC'D BY:	K. CERJAN

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C,

Type of Land Surface	C,
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field L	5
Riprap (not buried) $r_c = \frac{1}{180} + 10$	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

 $t_i = \frac{0.395 (1.1 - C_5) \sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}} \qquad \qquad V = C_v S_w^{-0.5} \qquad \text{Tc=LV}$ 

	FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY																							
			WEI	GHTED				OVER	RLAND		STRE	STREET / CHANNEL FLOW			Tc	INTENSITY						TOTAL FLOWS		
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(10)	CA(25)	CA(50)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	I(5) (in/hr)	l(10) (in/hr)	l(25) (in/hr)	I(50) (in/hr)	I(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
OS-1	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.08	25	0.5	7.3	230	1.0%	2.0	1.9	9.2	3.39	4.25	4.96	5.66	6.37	7.13	0.5	0.6	1.3
OS-2	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.08	25	0.5	7.3	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	10.0	3.30	4.13	4.82	5.51	6.20	6.93	0.7	1	2
OS-3	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.08	100	10	8.6					8.6	3.47	4.35	5.08	5.80	6.53	7.31	0.02	0.1	0.7
OS-4	0.07	0.27	0.51	0.85	1.02	1.19	0.08	180	10	14.0					14.0	2.89	3.62	4.22	4.83	5.43	6.08	0.2	1	7
OS-5	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.08	10	0.2	4.6	470	2.8%	3.3	2.3	7.0	3.72	4.67	5.45	6.23	7.01	7.84	1.1	1	3
Α	1.52	1.68	1.83	2.03	2.15	2.22	0.43	100	2	9.6	750	1.5%	2.4	5.1	14.7	2.83	3.55	4.14	4.73	5.32	5.96	4	6	13
В	0.20	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.43	75	16	3.8					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	1	1	3
B1	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.08	55	13	4.8					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	0.0	0.1	0.9
С	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.43	20	0.4	4.3					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	0.3	0.5	1
D	2.18	2.41	2.63	2.91	3.08	3.19	0.43	100	2	9.6	575	4.0%	4.0	2.4	12.0	3.08	3.85	4.50	5.14	5.78	6.47	7	9	21
E	0.37	0.41	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.55	0.43	50	1	6.8	375	2.7%	3.3	1.9	8.7	3.46	4.34	5.06	5.78	6.51	7.28	1	2	4
F	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.43	50	1	6.8					6.8	3.75	4.71	5.49	6.28	7.06	7.90	0.5	0.7	1.4
G	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.43	50	1	6.8	60	1.5%	2.4	0.4	7.2	3.68	4.62	5.39	6.16	6.93	7.76	0.5	0.7	1.4
Н	0.16	0.17	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.43	30	0.6	5.3					5.3	4.06	5.09	5.94	6.79	7.64	8.55	1	1	2
I	Not Used																							
J	2.07	2.28	2.49	2.76	2.92	3.02	0.43	100	2	9.6	850	1.5%	2.4	5.8	15.4	2.78	3.48	4.06	4.64	5.22	5.84	6	8	18
К	1.40	1.55	1.69	1.87	1.98	2.05	0.43	100	2	9.6	425	1.5%	2.4	2.9	12.5	3.03	3.79	4.42	5.06	5.69	6.37	4	6	13
L	0.90	0.99	1.08	1.20	1.27	1.31	0.43	100	2	9.6	510	2.5%	3.2	2.7	12.3	3.05	3.82	4.45	5.09	5.73	6.41	3	4	8
М	0.66	0.73	0.80	0.88	0.94	0.97	0.43	50	1	6.8	475	1.5%	2.4	3.2	10.0	3.29	4.12	4.81	5.50	6.19	6.92	2	3	7
N	1.99	2.19	2.40	2.65	2.81	2.91	0.43	100	2	9.6	975	2.0%	2.8	5.7	15.4	2.78	3.48	4.07	4.65	5.23	5.85	6	8	17
0	0.47	0.52	0.56	0.62	0.66	0.68	0.43	50	1	6.8	575	2.0%	2.8	3.4	10.2	3.27	4.10	4.78	5.47	6.15	6.88	1.5	2	5
Р	0.30	0.33	0.36	0.40	0.42	0.44	0.43	50	6	3.8					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	1.2	2	4
Q	0.40	0.57	0.77	1.04	1.19	1.32	0.08	260	5	23.9					23.9	2.26	2.82	3.29	3.76	4.23	4.73	1	2	6

JOB NAME: HANNAH RIDGEAT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7

JOB NUMBER: 1116.05
DATE: 10/01/18

CALCULATED BY: K. CERJAN

# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	Fle	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
1	A, OS-2	1.91	2.50	14.7	3.55	5.96	7	15	10' Type R Sump
2	F	0.15	0.18	6.8	4.71	7.90	0.7	1.4	5' Type R Sump
3	D, OS-3	2.43	3.29	12.0	3.85	6.47	9	21	15' Type R Sump
4	E	0.41	0.55	8.7	4.34	7.28	2	4	5' Type R Sump
5	OS-4, J	2.55	4.21	15.4	3.48	5.84	9	25	15' Type R Sump
6	K, DP-5 Flowby (75%)	1.76	4.20	15.8	3.44	5.78	6	24	10' Type R Sump
7	L, DP-5 Flowby (25%)	1.06	2.03	15.8	3.44	5.78	4	12	5' Type R Sump
8	М	0.73	0.97	10.0	4.12	6.92	3	7	10' Type R Sump
9	N, OS-5	2.49	3.23	15.4	3.48	5.85	9	19	10' Type R Sump
10	О	0.52	0.68	10.2	4.10	6.88	2	5	5' Type R Sump
E'LY INFLOW TO POND	DP1 - DP4	4.90	6.51	15.5	3.47	5.82	17	38	
W'LY INFLOW TO POND	DP-5 - DP-10	8.83	12.46	16.2	3.40	5.72	30	71	
•	OS-2, OS-3, OS-4, OS-5, A, D, E, F, J, K, L, M, N, O, Q		See UD-D	etention Spread	dsheet		44	103	

JOB NAME: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7

 JOB NUMBER:
 1116.05

 DATE:
 10/01/18

 CALCULATED BY:
 K. CERJAN

# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ PIPE ROUTING SUMMARY


					Intensity		Flow			
Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Pipe Size*	Velocity (ft/sec.)
1	DP-1	1.91	2.50	14.7	3.55	5.96	7	15	24" RCP	7.7
2	DP-2, PR-1	2.06	2.68	14.8	3.54	5.94	7	16	24" RCP	11.5
3	DP-3	2.43	3.29	12.0	3.85	6.47	9	21	30" RCP	8.4
4	DP-4, PR-3	2.84	3.83	12.6	3.78	6.35	11	24	30" RCP	16.3
5	PR-2, PR-4	4.90	6.51	15.5	3.47	5.82	17	38	36" RCP	23.6 (Use Class 5 RCP w/ Rstrnts)
6	DP-5 Collected	2.27	1.35	15.4	3.48	5.84	8	8	18" RCP	10.4
7	DP-6, Flow-by from DP-5 (75%)	1.76	4.20	15.8	3.44	5.78	6	24	30" RCP	16.8
8	DP-7, Flow-by from DP-5 (25%)	1.06	2.03	15.8	3.44	5.78	4	12	24" RCP	9.5
9	RP-6, PR-7, PR-8	5.09	7.57	15.9	3.43	5.76	17	44	36" RCP	10.1
10	DP-8, PR-9	5.82	8.54	16.1	3.41	5.73	20	49	36" RCP	10.3
11	DP-10	0.52	0.68	10.3	4.09	6.86	2	5	18" RCP	4.5
12	DP-9, PR-11	3.01	3.92	15.5	3.47	5.83	10	23	36" RCP	6.6
13	PR-10, PR-12	8.83	12.46	16.2	3.40	5.72	30	71	42" RCP	9.5

<sup>\*</sup> PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMUM SIZE REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE Q100 FLOWS AT MINIMUM GRADE. REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SHEETS FOR HYDRAULIC INFORMATION.

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:


# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-1



#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

10/1/2018, 3:38 PM UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-1

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	CDO1 Type K Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type F	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to c	ontinuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or C	urb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L <sub>0</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (	ypical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Gra	e (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical va	lue 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical	value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening	n Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in I	nches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Fig	ure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (	ypically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curl	Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (1	ypical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient	(typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduc	tion (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	<u> </u>	d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Eq	uation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance F	Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.57	1.00	1
Curb Opening Performance Red	uction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.93	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduc	ion Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
			MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception C	apacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q</b> <sub>a</sub> =	8.3	25.5	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Min	or and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	7.0	15.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-1 10/1/2018, 3:38 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:


# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-2

Todown

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-2 10/1/2018, 3:39 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)  CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>0</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.77	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q <sub>a</sub> =	5.4	12.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	0.7	1.4	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-2 10/1/2018, 3:39 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:

# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-3

Tence Torons

T. Toux


Space W T.

Street Crown

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-3 10/1/2018, 3:40 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	i .	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to co	ontinuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or C	urb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L <sub>0</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (t	ypical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grat	e (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical va	lue 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical v	/alue 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	15.00	15.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening i	n Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Ir	nches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Fig	ure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (t	ypically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb	Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (ty	ypical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient	(typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduct	ion (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equ	uation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance R	eduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.57	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Redu	iction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.79	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reducti	ion Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Ca	apacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q <sub>a</sub> =	9.7	39.1	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Min	or and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> =	9.0	21.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-3 10/1/2018, 3:40 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:

# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-4

Todown


T. Todown

T.

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-4 10/1/2018, 3:40 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Type R Curb Opening   ▼	í	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to co	ontinuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or C	urb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (t	ypical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate	e (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical val	lue 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical v	/alue 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in	n Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in In	nches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Fig	ure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (ty	ypically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb	Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (ty	ypical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient	(typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduct	ion (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equ	uation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance R	eduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.77	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Redu	iction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reducti	ion Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
		_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Ca	apacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q</b> <sub>a</sub> =	5.4	12.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Mine	or and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> =	2.0	4.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-4 10/1/2018, 3:40 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:


# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-5

Toronn

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 8.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-5 10/1/2018, 3:40 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Time D Comb On anima		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to contin	uous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb	Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of lo	ocal depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.6	5.6	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L <sub>0</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typic	al values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (ty	pical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_f(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2	2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value	e 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	15.00	15.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inc	ches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inche	s	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure	ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typical	ally the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Op	ening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typica	al value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (type	ical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction	(Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	on	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.30	0.30	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Redu	ction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.53	0.53	
Curb Opening Performance Reductio	n Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.76	0.76	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction F	Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
			MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capa	city (assumes clogged condition)	Q <sub>a</sub> =	8.0	8.0	cfs
WARNING: Inlet Capacity less than	Q Peak for Minor and Major Storms	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	9.0	25.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-5 10/1/2018, 3:40 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:


# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-6

Toman Toman

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = 8.5 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ ft/ft 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-6 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	1	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to co	ontinuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or C	urb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (t	ypical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate	e (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_f(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical val	lue 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical v	ralue 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in	n Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in In	ches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Fig	ure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (ty	pically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb	Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (ty	pical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient	(typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduct	ion (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equ	uation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance R	eduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.57	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Redu	ction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.93	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reducti	on Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
			MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Ca	apacity (assumes clogged condition)	$Q_a =$	8.3	25.5	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Mine	or and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> =	6.0	24.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-6 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:

# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-7

Todown


T. Todown

T.

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-7 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to cont	inuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curt	Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of	local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typi	ical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (	typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value	2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value	ue 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>0</sub> (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in I	nches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inch	es	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure	e ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typi	cally the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb O	pening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typi	cal value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (ty	pical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction	n (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equat	ion	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Red	uction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.77	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Reducti	on Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction	Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
			MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Cap	acity (assumes clogged condition)	Q <sub>a</sub> =	5.4	12.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor	and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	4.0	12.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-7 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:


# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-8

Todown
T. Tuax
Seack
W Tr
STREET
CROWN

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 8.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-8 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening   ■		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>0</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	7
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.33	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.57	0.57	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.93	0.93	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q <sub>a</sub> =	8.3	8.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	3.0	7.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-8 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Inlet ID:


# Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7 DP-9

Toronn

#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-9 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

Version 4.05 Released March 2017




Design Information (Input)	CDOT To a B Court Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to co	ntinuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Cu	urb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
ength of a Unit Grate		L₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (ty	pical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate	e (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical val	ue 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical v	alue 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	_
ength of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in	Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in In	ches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figu	ire ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (ty	pically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb	Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (ty	pical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (	typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
_ow Head Performance Reduct	on (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equ	ation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Re	eduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.57	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Redu	ction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.93	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction	on Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Ca	pacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q</b> <sub>a</sub> =	8.3	25.5	cfs
WARNING: Inlet Capacity less to	nan Q Peak for Minor Storm	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	9.0	19.0	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-9 10/1/2018, 3:41 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)
Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7
DP-10


Project: Inlet ID:



#### Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T<sub>BACK</sub> = 8.5 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) $S_{\text{BACK}}$ ft/ft 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H<sub>CURB</sub> : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T<sub>CROWN</sub> 17.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S<sub>X</sub> = 0.020 ft/ft S<sub>W</sub> Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n<sub>STREET</sub> = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 17.0 17.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 12.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

10/1/2018, 3:42 PM UD-Inlet v4.05.xlsm, DP-10

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	·	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to co	ontinuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or C	urb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (t	ypical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grat	e (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical va	lue 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical v	ralue 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening i	n Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Ir	ches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Fig	ure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (t	pically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb	Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (ty	pical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient	(typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduct	ion (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equ	uation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance R	eduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.77	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Redu	ction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reducti	on Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Ca	apacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q</b> <sub>a</sub> =	5.4	12.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Min	or and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> =	2.0	5.0	cfs


UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, DP-10 10/1/2018, 3:42 PM

#### Version 4.05 Released March 2017

### ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)
Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7
Street Capacity

Project: Inlet ID:



Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)  Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	T <sub>BACK</sub> =	7.5	ft	
Maximum Allowable width for Spread Benind Curb Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	S <sub>BACK</sub> =		π ft/ft	
Side Slope Benind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit benind curb)  Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	_	0.020	IVIC	
maining s roughness benind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	n <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.013	4	
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	H <sub>CURB</sub> =	6.00	inches	
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	T <sub>CROWN</sub> =	17.0	ft	
Gutter Width	W =	2.00	ft	
Street Transverse Slope	S <sub>X</sub> =	0.020	ft/ft	
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	S <sub>W</sub> =	0.083	ft/ft	
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	S <sub>o</sub> =	0.015	ft/ft	
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	n <sub>STREET</sub> =	0.016	]	
	_	Minor Storm	Major Storm	_
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	T <sub>MAX</sub> =	17.0	17.0	ft
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	d <sub>MAX</sub> =	6.0	12.0	inches
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)			~	check = yes
Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread		Minor Storm	Major Storm	_
Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2)	y =	4.08	4.08	inches
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")	d <sub>C</sub> =	2.0	2.0	inches
Gutter Depression (d <sub>C</sub> - (W * S <sub>x</sub> * 12))	a =	1.51	1.51	inches
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline	d =	5.59	5.59	inches
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)	T <sub>X</sub> =	15.0	15.0	ft
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)	E <sub>0</sub> =	0.350	0.350	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T <sub>X</sub>	Q <sub>X</sub> =	8.6	8.6	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W (Q <sub>T</sub> - Q <sub>X</sub> )	Q <sub>W</sub> =	4.7	4.7	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	Q <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread	$Q_T =$	13.3	13.3	cfs
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section	V =	6.1	6.1	fps
V*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth	V*d =	2.8	2.8	]
Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth		Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Theoretical Water Spread	T <sub>TH</sub> =	18.7	43.7	ft
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)	T <sub>X TH</sub> =	16.7	41.7	ft
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)	E <sub>0</sub> =	0.318	0.130	
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $T_{XTH}$	Q <sub>X TH</sub> =	11.5	132.1	cfs
Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance T <sub>CROWN</sub> )	Q <sub>X</sub> =	11.5	91.9	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W (Q <sub>d</sub> - Q <sub>X</sub> )	Q <sub>W</sub> =	5.4	19.8	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	Q <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.0	25.5	cfs
Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)	Q =	16.8	137.2	cfs
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section	V =	6.4	10.8	fps
V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth	V*d =	3.2	10.8	
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor (d ≥ 6") Storm	R =	1.00	1.00	_
Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)	Q <sub>d</sub> =	16.8	137.2	cfs
Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)	d =	6.00	12.00	inches
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)	d <sub>CROWN</sub> =	0.41	6.41	inches
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion	_	Minor Storm	Major Storm	_
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion	Q <sub>allow</sub> =	13.3	137.2	cfs

UD-Inlet\_v4.05.xlsm, Street Capacity 10/4/2018, 12:58 PM

#### **Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1 Project Description** Manning Formula Friction Method Solve For Normal Depth Input Data 0.013 Roughness Coefficient 0.01000 Channel Slope ft/ft 2.00 Diameter ft Discharge 15.00 ft<sup>3</sup>/s Results Normal Depth 1.19 fţ Flow Area 1.95 ft² Wetted Perimeter 3.52 ft Hydraulic Radius 0.55 ft Top Width 1.96 ft Critical Depth 1.40 ft Percent Full 59.5 % 0.00632 Critical Slope ft/ft Velocity 7.70 ft/s Velocity Head 0.92 ft Specific Energy 2.11 ft Froude Number 1.36 Maximum Discharge 24.33 ft<sup>3</sup>/s 22.62 Discharge Full ft³/s Slope Full 0.00440 ft/ft Flow Type **SuperCritical GVF Input Data** Downstream Depth 0.00 ft Length 0.00 ft 0 Number Of Steps **GVF Output Data** Upstream Depth 0.00 ft Profile Description

ft/s

0.00

0.00 %

59.50

Infinity

Profile Headloss

Average End Depth Over Rise

Normal Depth Over Rise

Downstream Velocity

#### **Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1 Project Description** Friction Method Manning Formula Solve For Normal Depth Input Data Roughness Coefficient 0.013 Channel Slope 0.02800 2.00 Diameter ft Discharge 16.00 ft<sup>3</sup>/s Results Normal Depth 0.91 ft Flow Area 1.39 ft² Wetted Perimeter 2.96 0.47 ft Hydraulic Radius Top Width 1.99 ft Critical Depth 1.44 ft 45.4 Percent Full % Critical Slope 0.00661 ft/ft 11.54 Velocity ft/s 2.07 Velocity Head ft Specific Energy 2.98 ft Froude Number 2.44 Maximum Discharge 40.72 ft³/s Discharge Full 37.85 ft3/s Slope Full 0.00500 ft/ft SuperCritical Flow Type **GVF Input Data** 0.00 ft Downstream Depth 0.00 ft Length Number Of Steps 0 **GVF** Output Data 0.00 ft Upstream Depth Profile Description Profile Headloss 0.00 ft Average End Depth Over Rise 0.00

45.38

Infinity ft/s

Normal Depth Over Rise

Downstream Velocity

Project [	Description
-----------	-------------

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

# Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	21.00	ft³/s

### Results

Normal Depth		1.27	ft
Flow Area		2.50	ft²
Wetted Perimeter		3.96	ft
Hydraulic Radius		0.63	ft
Top Width		2.50	ft
Critical Depth		1.56	ft
Percent Full		50.7	%
Critical Slope		0.00519	ft/ft
Velocity		8.41	ft/s
Velocity Head		1.10	ft
Specific Energy		2.37	ft
Froude Number		1.48	
Maximum Discharge		44.12	ft³/s
Discharge Full		41.01	ft³/s
Slope Full		0.00262	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical		

# **GVF Input Data**

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	50.70	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

0.85 ft

Project Description	
Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

### Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.05500	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	24.00	ft³/s

### Results

Normal Depth

•		
Flow Area	1.47	ft²
Wetted Perimeter	3.11	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.47	ft
Top Width	2.37	ft
Critical Depth	1.67	ft
Percent Full	34.0	%
Critical Slope	0.00556	ft/ft
Velocity	16.28	ft/s
Velocity Head	4.12	ft
Specific Energy	4.97	ft
Froude Number	3.64	
Maximum Discharge	103.47	ft³/s
Discharge Full	96.1 <b>9</b>	ft³/s
Slope Full	0.00342	ft/ft

# **GVF Input Data**

Flow Type

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

SuperCritical

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	34.04	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

#### **Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1 Project Description** Friction Method Manning Formula Solve For Normal Depth Input Data Roughness Coefficient 0.013 0.01000 ft/ft Channel Slope Diameter 3.00 ft Discharge 38.00 ft<sup>3</sup>/s Results Normal Depth 1.62 ft Flow Area 3.90 Wetted Perimeter 4.96 ft Hydraulic Radius 0.79 ft Top Width 2.99 ft Critical Depth 2.01 ft Percent Full 54.1 % Critical Slope 0.00524 ft/ft Velocity 9.74 ft/s Velocity Head 1.48 ft Specific Energy 3.10 ft Froude Number 1.50 Maximum Discharge 71.74 ft³/s Discharge Full 66.69 ft³/s Slope Full 0.00325 ft/ft

### **GVF Input Data**

Flow Type

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

SuperCritical

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	54.07	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Project	Description	

Friction Method Manning Formula Solve For Normal Depth

### Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.03400	ft/ft
Diameter	1.50	ft
Discharge	8.00	ft³/s

### Results

Normal Depth		0.67	ft
Flow Area		0.77	ft²
Wetted Perimeter		2.20	ft
Hydraulic Radius		0.35	ft
Top Width		1.49	ft
Critical Depth		1.10	ft
Percent Full		44.8	%
Critical Slope		0.00742	ft/ft
Velocity		10.44	ft/s
Velocity Head		1.69	ft
Specific Energy		2.36	ft
Froude Number		2.57	
Maximum Discharge		20.83	ft³/s
Discharge Full		19.37	ft³/s
Slope Full		0.00580	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical		

# GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	fţ
Number Of Steps	0	

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	44.79	%
Downstream Vetocity	Infinity	ft/s

	<b>Worksheet for Circula</b>	r Pipe - 1
Project Description		
Friction Method	Manning Formula	
Solve For	Normal Depth	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.06000	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	24.00	ft³/s
Results		
Normal Depth	0.83	ft
Flow Area	1.43	ft²
Wetted Perimeter	3.07	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.46	ft
Top Width	2.36	ft
Critical Depth	1.67	ft
Percent Full	33.3	%
Critical Slope	0.00555	ft/ft
Velocity	16.80	ft/s
Velocity Head	4.38	ft
Specific Energy	5.22	ft
Froude Number	3.80	
Maximum Discharge	108.07	ft³/s
Discharge Full	100.47	ft³/s
Slope Full	0.00342	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	
GVF Input Data		
Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	
GVF Output Data		
Upstream Depth	0.00	ft

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	33.27	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

	worksneet to	r Circula	r Pipe - 1	
Project Description				
Friction Method	Manning Formula			
Solve For	Normal Depth			
Input Data				
Roughness Coefficient		0.013		
Channel Slope		0.02000	ft/ft	
Diameter		2.00	ft	
Discharge		12.00	ft³/s	
Results				
Normal Depth		0.85	ft	
Flow Area		1.27	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter		2.84	ft	
Hydraulic Radius		0.45	ft	
Top Width		1.98	ft	
Critical Depth		1.24	ft	
Percent Full		42.4	%	
Critical Slope		0.00558	ft/ft	
Velocity		9.46	ft/s	
Velocity Head		1.39	ft	
Specific Energy		2.24	ft	
Froude Number		2.08		
Maximum Discharge		34.41	ft³/s	
Discharge Full		<b>31.99</b>	ft³/s	
Slope Full		0.00281	ft/ft	
Flow Type	SuperCritical			
GVF Input Data				
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Length		0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps		0		
GVF Output Data				
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Profile Description				
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft	
Average End Depth Over Rise		0.00	%	

42.43 %

Infinity ft/s

Normal Depth Over Rise

Downstream Velocity

Project Description
---------------------

Friction Method Manning Formula Solve For Normal Depth

# Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.03400	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	24.00	ft³/s

#### Results

Normal Depth		0.97	ft
Flow Area		1.75	ft²
Wetted Perimeter		3.36	ft
Hydraulic Radius		0.52	fţ
Top Width		2.44	ft
Critical Depth		1.67	ft
Percent Full		38.7	%
Critical Slope		0.00556	ft/ft
Velocity		13.68	ft/s
Velocity Head		2.91	ft
Specific Energy		3.87	ft
Froude Number		2.84	
Maximum Discharge		81.35	ft³/s
Discharge Full		75.63	ft³/s
Slope Full		0.00342	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical		

# **GVF Input Data**

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

Upstream Depth	0.00	fţ
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	38.71	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

# Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Diameter	3.00	ft
Discharge	44.00	ft³/s

			,0
Results		·	
Normal Depth		1.78	ft
Flow Area		4.37	ft²
Wetted Perimeter		5.27	ft
Hydraulic Radius		0.83	ft
Top Width		2.95	ft
Critical Depth		2.16	ft
Percent Full		59.3	%
Critical Slope		0.00577	ft/ft
Velocity		10.08	ft/s
Velocity Head		1.58	ft
Specific Energy		3.36	ft
Froude Number		1.46	
Maximum Discharge		71.74	ft³/s
Discharge Full		66.69	ft³/s
Slope Full		0.00435	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical		

# **GVF Input Data**

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

0.00	ft
0.00	ft
0.00	%
59.30	%
Infinity	ft/s
	0.00 0.00 59.30

	worksneet for	Circuia	r Pipe - 1	
Project Description				
Friction Method	Manning Formula			
Solve For	Normal Depth			
Input Data				
Roughness Coefficient		0.013		
Channel Slope		0.01000	ft/ft	
Diameter		3.00	ft	
Discharge		49.00	ft³/s	
Results				
Normal Depth		1.91	ft	
Flow Area		4.75	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter		5.55	ft	
Hydraulic Radius		0.86	ft	
Top Width		2.89	ft	
Critical Depth		2.28	ft	
Percent Full		63.7	%	
Critical Slope		0.00630	ft/ft	
Velocity		10.31	ft/s	
Velocity Head		1.65	ft	
Specific Energy		3.56	ft	
Froude Number		1.42		
Maximum Discharge		71.74	ft³/s	
Discharge Full		66.69	ft³/s	
Slope Full	CuparCritical	0.00540	ft/ft	
Flow Type	SuperCritical			
GVF Input Data				
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Length		0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps		0		
GVF Output Data				
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Profile Description				
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft	
Average End Depth Over Rise		0.00	%	
Normal Depth Over Rise		63.70	%	

Infinity ft/s

Downstream Velocity

Project I	Description	
-----------	-------------	--

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

# Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Diameter	1.50	ft
Discharge	5.00	ft³/s

### Results

Normal Depth		0.90	ft
Flow Area		1.11	ft²
Wetted Perimeter		2.66	ft
Hydraulic Radius		0.42	ft
Top Width		1.47	ft
Critical Depth		0.86	ft
Percent Full		60.1	%
Critical Slope		0.00578	ft/ft
Velocity		4.51	ft/s
Velocity Head		0.32	ft
Specific Energy		1.22	ft
Froude Number		0.91	
Maximum Discharge		7.99	ft³/s
Discharge Full		7.43	ft³/s
Slope Full		0.00227	ft/ft
Flow Type	SubCritical		

#### Flow Type SubCritical

# **GVF Input Data**

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	60.08	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

0.00119 ft/ft

Manning Formula		
Normal Depth		
	0.013	
	0.00500	ft/ft
	3.00	ft
	23.00	ft³/s
	1.48	fţ
	3.47	ft²
	4.67	ft
	0.74	ft
	*	Normal Depth  0.013 0.00500 3.00 23.00  1.48 3.47 4.67

Top Width	3.00	ft
Critical Depth	1.54	ft
Percent Full	49.3	%
Critical Slope	0.00431	ft/ft
Velocity	6.63	ft/s
Velocity Head	0.68	ft
Specific Energy	2.16	ft
Froude Number	1.09	
Maximum Discharge	50.73	ft³/s
Discharge Full	47.16	ft³/s

Flow Type	SuperCritical
1 1011 1700	oup or or mour

# **GVF Input Data**

Slope Full

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	fţ
Average End Depth Over Rise 0.00		%
Normal Depth Over Rise	49.27	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

<b>Project Description</b>
----------------------------

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

### Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00650	ft/ft
Diameter	3.50	ft
Discharge	71.00	ft³/s

#### Results

Normal Depth		2.54	ft
Flow Area		7.47	ft²
Wetted Perimeter		7.13	ft
Hydraulic Radius		1.05	ft
Top Width		3.13	ft
Critical Depth		2.64	ft
Percent Full		72.5	%
Critical Slope		0.00591	ft/ft
Velocity		9.50	ft/s
Velocity Head		1.40	ft
Specific Energy		3.94	ft
Froude Number		1.08	
Maximum Discharge		87.25	ft³/s
Discharge Full		81.11	ft³/s
Slope Full		0.00498	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	1.55100	ivit

# **GVF Input Data**

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	72.49	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	<b>^</b>	<b>D</b>	
Project Description	Worksheet for	Circulai	r Pipe - 1	
Friction Method	Manning Formula			
Solve For	Normal Depth			
Input Data				
Roughness Coefficient		0.013		
Channel Slope		0.11290	ft/ft	
Diameter		3.00	ft	
Discharge		38.00	ft³/s	
Results				
Normal Depth		0.84	ft	
Flow Area		1.61	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter		3.34	ft	
Hydraulic Radius		0.48	ft	
Top Width		2.69	ft	
Critical Depth		2.01	ft	
Percent Full		27.9	%	
Critical Slope		0.00524	ft/ft	
Velocity		23.62	ft/s	
Velocity Head		8.67	ft	
Specific Energy		9.50	ft	
Froude Number		5.38		
Maximum Discharge		241.06	ft³/s	
Discharge Full		224.10	ft³/s	
Slope Full		0.00325	ft/ft	
Flow Type	SuperCritical			
GVF Input Data				
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Length		0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps		0		
GVF Output Data				
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Profile Description				
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft	
Average End Depth Over Rise		0.00	%	
- •				

27.86 %

Infinity ft/s

Normal Depth Over Rise

Downstream Velocity

MH 5 SWR 5 - 1 MH 4 SWR 4-1 MH 3 SWR 3-1 MITO SHAO. MH2SWR2.1 MH 95WR 9-1  $MH_{1}SWR_{1-1}$ OUTFAI

## **Westerly Storm Outfall**


## **Sewer Input Summary:**

		Ele	vation	1	Loss C	oeffic	ients	Given Di	mensi	ons
Elemen t Name	Sewer Lengt h (ft)	Downstrea m Invert (ft)	Slop e (%)	Upstrea m Invert (ft)	Manning s n	Ben d Loss	Latera l Loss	Cross Section	Rise (ft or in)	Spa n (ft or in)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	87.66	6464.04	0.6	6464.57	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULA R	42.0 0 in	42.0 0 in
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	73.59	6465.60	1.2	6466.48	0.013	1.06	0.00	CIRCULA R	36.0 0 in	36.0 0 in
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	42.21	6467.48	1.5	6468.11	0.013	1.06	0.00	CIRCULA R	18.0 0 in	18.0 0 in
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	172.08	6465.10	1.0	6466.82	0.013	0.20	1.00	CIRCULA R	36.0 0 in	36.0 0 in
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	71.52	6467.11	1.0	6467.83	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULA R	36.0 0 in	36.0 0 in
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	5.00	6468.33	6.0	6468.63	0.013	1.32	0.00	CIRCULA R	30.0 0 in	30.0 0 in
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	190.00	6468.89	3.4	6475.35	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULA R	18.0 0 in	18.0 0 in
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	25.08	6475.35	1.0	6475.60	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULA R	18.0 0 in	18.0 0 in
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	28.62	6468.83	2.0	6469.40	0.013	0.83	0.00	CIRCULA R	24.0 0 in	24.0 0 i

# **Grade Line Summary:**

**Tailwater Elevation (ft):** 6468.85

	Invert	Elev.	m M	nstrea anhole osses	HG	L		EGL	
Eleme nt Name	Downstre am (ft)	Upstrea m (ft)	Ben d Los s (ft)	Later al Loss (ft)	Downstrea m (ft)	Upstrea m (ft)	Downstrea m (ft)	Frictio n Loss (ft)	Upstrea m (ft)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	6464.04	6464.57	0.00	0.00	6468.85	6469.28	6469.70	0.43	6470.13
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	6465.60	6466.48	0.17	0.00	6470.14	6470.23	6470.30	0.09	6470.39
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	6467.48	6468.11	0.13	0.00	6470.40	6470.49	6470.52	0.10	6470.62
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	6465.10	6466.82	0.15	0.10	6469.63	6470.56	6470.38	0.92	6471.30
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	6467.11	6467.83	0.03	0.14	6470.88	6471.18	6471.48	0.31	6471.79
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	6468.33	6468.63	0.49	0.00	6471.91	6471.92	6472.28	0.02	6472.29
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	6468.89	6475.35	0.02	0.28	6471.77	6476.45	6472.09	4.88	6476.97
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	6475.35	6475.60	0.02	0.00	6476.46	6476.70	6476.99	0.22	6477.22
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	6468.83	6469.40	0.19	0.00	6471.75	6471.83	6471.97	0.08	6472.05



## **Easterly Storm Outfall**

## **Sewer Input Summary:**

	Elevation		Loss C	<b>Loss Coefficients</b>			<b>Given Dimensions</b>			
Elemen t Name	Sewer Lengt h (ft)	Downstrea m Invert (ft)	Slop e (%)	Upstrea m Invert (ft)	Manning s n	Ben d Loss	Latera l Loss	Cross Section	Rise (ft or in)	Spa n (ft or in)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	14.07	6466.64	1.0	6466.78	0.013	0.03	0.00	CIRCULA R	36.0 0 in	36.0 0 in
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	28.60	6466.77	11.3	6470.00	0.013	0.06	0.00	CIRCULA R	36.0 0 in	36.0 0 in
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	56.15	6475.00	1.0	6475.56	0.013	0.05	0.00	CIRCULA R	36.0 0 in	36.0 0 in
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	116.19	6476.00	1.9	6478.21	0.013	0.83	1.00	CIRCULA R	30.0 0 in	30.0 0 in
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	121.85	6478.52	5.5	6485.22	0.013	1.32	1.00	CIRCULA R	30.0 0 in	30.0 0 in
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	35.33	6485.53	1.0	6485.88	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULA R	30.0 0 in	30.0 0 in
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	161.78	6476.62	5.5	6485.52	0.013	1.32	1.00	CIRCULA R	24.0 0 in	24.0 0 in
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	168.18	6485.75	2.8	6490.46	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULA R	24.0 0 in	24.0 0 in
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	35.33	6490.76	1.0	6491.11	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULA R	24.0 0 in	24.0 0 in

# **Grade Line Summary:**

**Tailwater Elevation (ft):** 6468.85

	Invert	Elev.	m M	nstrea anhole osses	HG	L		EGL	
Eleme nt Name	Downstre am (ft)	Upstrea m (ft)	Ben d Los s (ft)	Later al Loss (ft)	Downstrea m (ft)	Upstrea m (ft)	Downstrea m (ft)	Frictio n Loss (ft)	Upstrea m (ft)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	6466.64	6466.78	0.00	0.00	6468.85	6469.07	6469.74	0.00	6469.74
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	6466.77	6470.00	0.03	0.00	6469.10	6475.86	6476.30	0.00	6476.30
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	6475.00	6475.56	0.02	0.00	6476.62	6477.57	6478.10	0.36	6478.45
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	6476.00	6478.21	0.31	0.08	6477.95	6479.88	6479.04	1.58	6480.62
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	6478.52	6485.22	0.49	0.00	6480.37	6486.89	6483.50	4.13	6487.63
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	6485.53	6485.88	0.01	0.09	6486.99	6487.44	6487.89	0.21	6488.10
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	6476.62	6485.52	0.53	0.05	6478.14	6486.96	6480.78	6.86	6487.64
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	6485.75	6490.46	0.02	0.00	6486.98	6491.90	6488.73	3.84	6492.58
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	6490.76	6491.11	0.02	0.05	6491.97	6492.51	6492.87	0.27	6493.14

SWQ / DETENTION CALCULATIONS



#### Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB) UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016) Sheet 1 of 4 Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Designer: Classic Consulting Company: October 3, 2018 HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7 Project: Location: EL PASO COUNTY 1. Basin Storage Volume A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, Ia I<sub>a</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_ 60.0 \_\_\_\_ % i = 0.600 B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I<sub>a</sub> / 100) C) Contributing Watershed Area Area = \_\_\_\_\_ 37.500 ac d<sub>6</sub> = 0.42 in D) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm Choose One E) Design Concept OWater Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) (Select EURV when also designing for flood control) ●Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) V<sub>DESIGN</sub>= 0.738 ac-ft F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time $(V_{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i^3 - 1.19 * i^2 + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)$ G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, V<sub>DESIGN OTHER</sub>= 0.721 ac-ft Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume $(V_{\text{WQCV OTHER}} = (d_6^*(V_{\text{DESIGN}}/0.43))$ H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume \_\_\_ ac-ft V<sub>DESIGN USER</sub>= (Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired) Choose One I) Predominant Watershed NRCS Soil Group O<sub>A</sub> **●**B OC/DJ) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume For HSG A: EURV<sub>A</sub> = 1.68 \* i<sup>1.28</sup> EURV = 2.448 ac-f t For HSG B: EURV<sub>B</sub> = 1.36 \* $i^{1.08}$ For HSG C/D: EURV<sub>C/D</sub> = 1.20 \* $i^{1.08}$ L:W= <u>2.0</u> :1 2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.) 3. Basin Side Slopes Z = \_\_\_\_\_ ft / ft A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes

Concrete Forebays

(Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)

A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated

4. Inlet

inflow locations:

Designer:	Marc A. Whorton, P.E.		
Company:	Classic Consulting		
Date:	October 3, 2018		
Project:	HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7		
Location:	EL PASO COUNTY		
5. Forebay			
	Forebay Volume  IN = 3% of the WQCV)	V <sub>FMIN</sub> = ac-ft	
B) Actual For	rebay Volume	V <sub>F</sub> = ac-ft	
C) Forebay Do (D	epth D <sub>F</sub> = <u>30</u> inch maximum)	D <sub>F</sub> = in	
D) Forebay Di	ischarge		
	i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge	Q <sub>100</sub> = 103.00 cfs	
	ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow $(Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100})$	Q <sub>F</sub> = <u>2.06</u> cfs	
E) Forebay Di	ischarge Design	Choose One OBerm With Pipe  Wall with Rect. Notch OWall with V-Notch Weir	
F) Discharge	Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D <sub>P</sub> = in	
G) Rectangula	ar Notch Width	Calculated $W_N = 9.8$ in	
6. Trickle Chann	nel	Choose One Oconcrete	
A) Type of Tr	rickle Channel	Osoft Bottom	
F) Slope of T	rickle Channel	S =ft / ft	
7. Micropool and	d Outlet Structure		
A) Depth of N	Micropool (2.5-feet minimum)	D <sub>M</sub> = ft	
B) Surface A	rea of Micropool (10 ft² minimum)	A <sub>M</sub> = sq ft	
C) Outlet Typ	pe e		
		Choose One Orifice Plate Other (Describe):	
D) Smallest D (Use UD-D	Dimension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing Detention)	D <sub>orffice</sub> =inches	
E) Total Outle	et Area	A <sub>ot</sub> = <u>15.81</u> square inches	

#### Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB) Sheet 3 of 4 Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Designer: Classic Consulting Company: October 3, 2018 Date: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7 Project: EL PASO COUNTY Location: 8. Initial Surcharge Volume A) Depth of Initial Surcharge Volume D<sub>IS</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_\_6 in (Minimum recommended depth is 4 inches) B) Minimum Initial Surcharge Volume V<sub>IS</sub> = 94.2 cu ft (Minimum volume of 0.3% of the WQCV) V<sub>s</sub>= 95.0 cu ft C) Initial Surcharge Provided Above Micropool 9. Trash Rack A) Water Quality Screen Open Area: $A_t = A_{ot} * 38.5*(e^{-0.095D})$ A<sub>t</sub> = 522 square inches B) Type of Screen (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended in the USDCM, indicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open are to the total screen are for the material specified.) Aluminum Amico-Klemp SR Series with Cross Rods 2" O.C. Other (Y/N): N C) Ratio of Total Open Area to Total Area (only for type 'Other') User Ratio = A<sub>total</sub> = <u>735</u> sq. in. D) Total Water Quality Screen Area (based on screen type) E) Depth of Design Volume (EURV or WQCV) 5.75 feet (Based on design concept chosen under 1E) F) Height of Water Quality Screen (H<sub>TR</sub>) H<sub>TR</sub>= 97 inches G) Width of Water Quality Screen Opening ( $W_{\text{opening}}$ ) W<sub>opening</sub> = 12.0 inches (Minimum of 12 inches is recommended)

	Design Procedure For	m: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Classic Consulting October 3, 2018 HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7 EL PASO COUNTY		Sheet 4 of 4
B) Slope of C	coankment  combankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping:  Diverflow Embankment  al distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Weir with rip-rap	
11. Vegetation		Choose One Olrrigated  Not Irrigated	
12. Access A) Describe S	Sediment Removal Procedures		
Notes:			

UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016)

Sheet 1 of 4

 Designer:
 Marc A. Whorton, P.E.

 Company:
 Classic Consulting

 Date:
 October 2, 2018

 Project:
 HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7

 Location:
 Westerly Pond Inflow

1. Basin Storage Volume A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, Ia I<sub>a</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_ 60.0 \_\_\_\_ % i = 0.600 B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I<sub>a</sub> / 100) C) Contributing Watershed Area Area = \_\_\_\_\_ 22.960 \_\_\_\_ ac d<sub>6</sub> = 0.42 in D) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm Choose One E) Design Concept OWater Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) (Select EURV when also designing for flood control) ●Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) V<sub>DESIGN</sub>= 0.452 ac-ft F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time  $(V_{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i^3 - 1.19 * i^2 + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)$ G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, V<sub>DESIGN OTHER</sub>= 0.441 ac-ft Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume  $(V_{\text{WQCV OTHER}} = (d_6^*(V_{\text{DESIGN}}/0.43))$ H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume \_\_\_ ac-ft V<sub>DESIGN USER</sub>= (Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired) Choose One I) Predominant Watershed NRCS Soil Group O<sub>A</sub> **●**B OC/DJ) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume For HSG A: EURV<sub>A</sub> = 1.68 \* i<sup>1.28</sup> EURV = 1.499 ac-f t For HSG B: EURV<sub>B</sub> = 1.36 \*  $i^{1.08}$ For HSG C/D: EURV<sub>C/D</sub> = 1.20 \*  $i^{1.08}$ L:W= <u>2.0</u> :1 2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.) 3. Basin Side Slopes Z = \_\_\_\_\_ ft / ft A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet Concrete Forebays A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated

inflow locations:

Sheet 2 of 4

 Designer:
 Marc A. Whorton, P.E.

 Company:
 Classic Consulting

 Date:
 October 2, 2018

 Project:
 HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7

 Location:
 Westerly Pond Inflow

5. Forebay	
A) Minimum Forebay Volume $(V_{FMIN} = 3\%$ of the WQCV)	V <sub>FMIN</sub> = ac-ft
B) Actual Forebay Volume	V <sub>F</sub> = <u>0.013</u> ac-ft
C) Forebay Depth (D <sub>F</sub> =18inch maximum)	D <sub>F</sub> = <u>18.0</u> in
D) Forebay Discharge	
i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge	Q <sub>100</sub> = cfs
ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow (Q <sub>F</sub> = 0.02 * Q <sub>100</sub> )	Q <sub>F</sub> =
E) Forebay Discharge Design	Choose One  ○Berm With Pipe
F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D <sub>P</sub> =in
G) Rectangular Notch Width	Calculated W <sub>N</sub> = 6.4 in
Trickle Channel     A) Type of Trickle Channel	Choose One  Concrete  Soft Bottom
F) Slope of Trickle Channel	S = <u>0.0100</u> ft / ft
Micropool and Outlet Structure	
A) Depth of Micropool (2.5-feet minimum)	D <sub>M</sub> = ft
B) Surface Area of Micropool (10 ft <sup>2</sup> minimum)	A <sub>M</sub> = sq ft
C) Outlet Type	Choose One Orifice Plate Other (Describe):
D) Smallest Dimension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing     (Use UD-Detention)	D <sub>orffice</sub> = inches
E) Total Outlet Area	A <sub>ot</sub> =square inches

UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016)

Sheet 1 of 4

 Designer:
 Marc A. Whorton, P.E.

 Company:
 Classic Consulting

 Date:
 October 3, 2018

 Project:
 HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7

Location: Easterly Pond Inflow

1. Basin Storage Volume A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, Ia I<sub>a</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_ 60.0 \_\_\_\_ % i = 0.600 B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I<sub>a</sub> / 100) C) Contributing Watershed Area Area = \_\_\_\_\_11.340 \_\_\_\_ ac d<sub>6</sub> = 0.42 in D) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm Choose One E) Design Concept OWater Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) (Select EURV when also designing for flood control) ●Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) V<sub>DESIGN</sub>= 0.223 ac-ft F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time  $(V_{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i^3 - 1.19 * i^2 + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)$ G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, V<sub>DESIGN OTHER</sub>= 0.218 ac-ft Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume  $(V_{\text{WQCV OTHER}} = (d_6^*(V_{\text{DESIGN}}/0.43))$ H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume \_\_\_ ac-ft V<sub>DESIGN USER</sub>= (Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired) Choose One I) Predominant Watershed NRCS Soil Group O<sub>A</sub> **●**B OC/DJ) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume For HSG A: EURV<sub>A</sub> = 1.68 \* i<sup>1.28</sup> EURV = 0.740 ac-f t For HSG B: EURV<sub>B</sub> = 1.36 \*  $i^{1.08}$ For HSG C/D: EURV<sub>C/D</sub> = 1.20 \*  $i^{1.08}$ L:W= <u>2.0</u> :1 2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.) 3. Basin Side Slopes Z = \_\_\_\_\_ ft / ft A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)

Concrete Forebays

4. Inlet

inflow locations:

A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated

Sheet 2 of 4

 Designer:
 Marc A. Whorton, P.E.

 Company:
 Classic Consulting


 Date:
 October 3, 2018

 Project:
 HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7

 Location:
 Easterly Pond Inflow

5. Forebay	
A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V <sub>FMIN</sub> = 3% of the WQCV)	V <sub>FMIN</sub> = ac-ft
B) Actual Forebay Volume	V <sub>F</sub> = ac-ft
C) Forebay Depth (D <sub>F</sub> =18inch maximum)	D <sub>F</sub> = <u>12.0</u> in
D) Forebay Discharge	
i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge	Q <sub>100</sub> = cfs
ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow (Q <sub>F</sub> = 0.02 * Q <sub>100</sub> )	Q <sub>F</sub> = cfs
E) Forebay Discharge Design	Choose One  ○Berm With Pipe
F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D <sub>P</sub> =in
G) Rectangular Notch Width	Calculated W <sub>N</sub> = 5.1 in
Trickle Channel     A) Type of Trickle Channel	Choose One  ●Concrete  ○Soft Bottom
F) Slope of Trickle Channel	S =ft / ft
7. Micropool and Outlet Structure	
A) Depth of Micropool (2.5-feet minimum)	D <sub>M</sub> = ft
B) Surface Area of Micropool (10 ft <sup>2</sup> minimum)	A <sub>M</sub> = sq ft
C) Outlet Type	Choose One Orifice Plate Other (Describe):
D) Smallest Dimension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing	
(Use UD-Detention)	D <sub>orffice</sub> =inches
E) Total Outlet Area	A <sub>ot</sub> =square inches

#### DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER



equired volume Calculation		
Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	37.50	acres
Watershed Length =	1,700	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.015	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	60.00%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.738	acre-feet

reio	intage i lydrologic doll Group b =	100.070	percent
Percenta	ge Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
	Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
L	ocation for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Q	uality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.738	acre-feet
Excess	Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	2.441	acre-feet
2-y	r Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	2.004	acre-feet
5-	yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	2.697	acre-feet
10-y	r Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	3.518	acre-feet
2	5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	4.635	acre-feet
50-y	r Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	5.434	acre-feet
100-y	r Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	6.483	acre-feet
500-y	r Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.85 in.) =	10.626	acre-feet
Аррі	oximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	1.877	acre-feet
Appr	oximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	2.533	acre-feet
Appro	ximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	3.265	acre-feet
Appro	ximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	3.531	acre-feet
Appro	ximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	3.681	acre-feet
Approx	imate 100-yr Detention Volume =	4.019	acre-feet

1-hr Precipita	1-hr Precipitation		
1.19	inches		
1.50	inches		
1.75	inches		
2.00	inches		
2.25	inches		
2.52	inches		
3.85	inches		

#### Stage-Storage Calculation

acre-fe	0.738	Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =
acre-fe	1.703	Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =
acre-fe	1.578	Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =
acre-fe	4.019	Total Detention Basin Volume =
ft^3	user	Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =
ft	user	Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =
ft	user	Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =
ft	user	Depth of Trickle Channel (H <sub>TC</sub> ) =
ft/ft	user	Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =
H:V	user	Slopes of Main Basin Sides (Smain) =
1	user	Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R <sub>L/W</sub> ) =
•		


ft^2	user	Initial Surcharge Area (A <sub>ISV</sub> ) =
ft	user	Surcharge Volume Length (L <sub>ISV</sub> ) =
ft	user	Surcharge Volume Width (W <sub>ISV</sub> ) =
ft	user	Depth of Basin Floor (H <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =
ft	user	Length of Basin Floor (LFLOOR) =
ft	user	Width of Basin Floor (W <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =
ft^2	user	Area of Basin Floor (A <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =
ft^3	user	Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =
ft	user	Depth of Main Basin (H <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =
ft	user	Length of Main Basin (L <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =
ft	user	Width of Main Basin (W <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =
ft^2	user	Area of Main Basin (A <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =
ft^3	user	Volume of Main Basin (V <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =
acre-fe	user	Calculated Total Basin Volume (Vtotal) =

		1							
Depth Increment =	1	ft				0.00			r
Stage - Storage	Stage	Optional Override	Length	Width	Area	Optional Override	Area	Volume	Volume
Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft^2)	Area (ft^2)	(acre)	(ft^3)	(ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	-	0.00	-		-	100	0.002		
6462	-	0.50	-	-	-	100	0.002	49	0.001
6464	-	2.50	-	-		12,825	0.294	12,975	0.298
6466	-	4.50	-	-		37,534	0.862	63,334	1.454
6468	-	6.50	-	-		46,042	1.057	146,910	3.373
6470	-	8.50	-	-	-	53,898	1.237	246,850	5.667
6471	-	9.50	-	-	-	58,000	1.331	302,799	6.951
			-	-					
	-		-		-				
	-			-	-				
	-		-	-					
	-			-	-				
	-			-	-				
	-			-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-		-				
	-			-	-				
	-		-		-				
	-				-				
				-	-				
	-			-	-				
	-				-				
	-		-	-	-				
	-				-				
				-	-				
	_			-	-				l
	_		_	-	-				
	_			-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
	_		_						
	-			-	-				
	_		-	-	-				
			-	-	-				
			_	-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
				-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
	_		_	-	-				
				-					
	-			-	-				
	_				-				
			-	-	-				
	-								
	_		-	-	-				
	_		-		-				
			-	-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
	-				-				
	-		-	-	-				
	-		_	_	-				
	_		-	-	-				
			-						
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-	-	-			-	-
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-		-				ļ
	=		-	-	-				
			-	-	-			_	
	-		-	=					
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-						
				=					
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-	-	-				-
	-			-	- - - -				
	1111			  	-			_	
				=					
	-		-	-	-				
				-	-				
	-								
	-		-		-				-
			-						
	-		-					_	
	-		-	-	-				
	-		-	-	-				-
				-	_				
	-		-						
	-		-	-					
			-	-	-				
	-		-		-			-	-
				-					

UD-Detention\_v3.07.xlsm, Basin 10/1/2018, 5:02 PM

### DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)




UD-Debenion\_v3.07.xism, Basin 10/1/2018, 5/32 PM

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**

## UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017) Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7

Basin ID: POND



	Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.51	0.738	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	5.58	1.703	Orifice Plate
one 3 (100-year)	7.10	1.578	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
		4.019	Total

#### User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = ft (distance below the filtration media surface) N/A Underdrain Orifice Diameter = N/A inches

19 10	tai		
	Calculate	d Parameters for Un	derdrain
Underdr	ain Orifice Area =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Underdrain	Orifice Centroid =	N/A	feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	5.75	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	13.80	inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Plate						
WQ Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>				
Elliptical Half-Width =		feet				
Elliptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet				
Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>				

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.20	2.40	3.60	4.80			
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	2.43	2.43	2.05	4.45	4.45			

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
epth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice						
	Not Selected	Not Selected				
Vertical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>			
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet			

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and Grate (Flat or Sloped)

De

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	5.75	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	6.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Slope =	4.00	N/A	H:V (enter zero for flat grate)
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	4.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	75%	N/A	%, grate open area/total area
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	<b> </b> %

Calculated	_		
	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Height of Grate Upper Edge, $H_t$ =	6.75	N/A	feet
Over Flow Weir Slope Length =	4.12	N/A	feet
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	5.91	N/A	should be ≥ 4
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	18.55	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =	9.28	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
· ·		•	-

feet

radians

#### User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

nput: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (C	ow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice) Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Pl						te
	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected			Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	l
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	0.50	N/A	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage	= 0 ft) Outlet Orifice Area =	3.14	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	24.00	N/A	inches	Outlet Orifice Centroid =	1.00	N/A	fee
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	24.00		inches Ha	alf-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	3.14	N/A	rad


User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage=	7.50	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	40.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	3.00	H:V
reeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet

Calcula	Calculated Parameters for Spillwa				
Spillway Design Flow Depth=	0.87	feet			
Stage at Top of Freeboard =	9.37	feet			
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =	1.32	acres			

Routed Hydrograph Results									
Design Storm Return Period =	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	0.53	1.07	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.85
Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.738	2.441	2.004	2.697	3.518	4.635	5.434	6.483	10.626
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =									
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.737	2.438	2.002	2.694	3.515	4.631	5.428	6.478	10.618
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.20	0.66	0.91	1.23	2.23
Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.777	7.5	24.8	34.3	46.1	83.6
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	12.1	39.6	32.6	43.7	56.8	74.4	86.9	103.3	166.9
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.849	5.6	17.4	26.7	39.2	104.6
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.3
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3	0.9	1.4	2.0	2.2
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	69	63	72	73	71	70	68	61
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	41	74	68	77	79	79	78	77	74
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	3.41	5.45	5.00	5.71	6.25	6.75	7.04	7.35	8.13
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.55	0.95	0.91	0.98	1.03	1.08	1.10	1.13	1.20
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.683	2.317	1.897	2.558	3.101	3.640	3.945	4.304	5.215

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**



minimum bound maximum bound

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Storm Inflow Hydrographs

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.


1								ed in a separate		
	SOURCE	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.03 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hydrograph	0:10:04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Constant	0:15:05	0.54	1.69	1.40	1.86	2.39	3.06	3.51	4.08	6.06
0.993	0:20:07	1.45	4.63	3.83	5.10	6.59	8.54	9.88	11.61	17.96
	0:25:09	3.72	11.90	9.83	13.10	16.92	21.92	25.38	29.81	46.16
	0:30:11	10.21	32.66	26.99	35.96	46.41	60.09	69.54	81.63	126.09
	0:35:13	12.15	39.60	32.60	43.69	56.78	74.42	86.91	103.28	166.89
	0:40:14	11.60	37.95	31.22	41.89	54.53	71.73	84.02	100.24	164.42
	0:45:16	10.56	34.54	28.42	38.12	49.62	65.38	76.69	91.64	151.12
	0:50:18	9.44	31.04	25.52	34.28	44.68	58.91	69.12	82.62	136.40
	1:00:22	8.15 7.10	27.04	22.19 19.28	29.88 26.02	39.04 34.05	51.57 45.05	60.58	72.51	120.17 105.25
	1:05:23	6.43	23.53 21.31	17.48	23.55	30.77	40.63	52.95 47.70	63.42 57.05	94.24
	1:10:25	5.32	17.79	14.57	19.67	25.75	34.09	40.10	48.08	80.07
	1:15:27	4.35	14.69	12.02	16.26	21.32	28.27	33.28	39.93	66.64
	1:20:29	3.36	11.55	9.42	12.80	16.85	22.44	26.48	31.86	53.58
	1:25:31	2.50	8.84	7.18	9.81	12.98	17.36	20.53	24.76	41.87
	1:30:32	1.81	6.53	5.28	7.27	9.66	12.99	15.41	18.63	31.74
	1:35:34	1.40	4.94	4.01	5.49	7.27	9.72	11.50	13.87	23.44
	1:40:36	1.15	4.01	3.26	4.44	5.86	7.81	9.21	11.08	18.57
	1:45:38	0.98	3.38	2.75	3.75	4.93	6.57	7.74	9.30	15.54
	1:50:40	0.86	2.95	2.41	3.27	4.30	5.71	6.72	8.07	13.44
	1:55:41	0.77	2.64	2.16	2.93	3.85	5.10	6.01	7.20	11.96
	2:00:43	0.71	2.42	1.98	2.68	3.52	4.67	5.49	6.58	10.91
	2:05:45	0.52	1.79	1.46	1.99	2.62	3.49	4.13	4.97	8.41
	2:10:47	0.38	1.30	1.06	1.44	1.90	2.53	2.98	3.59	6.06
	2:15:49	0.28	0.96	0.78	1.07	1.40	1.87	2.21	2.66	4.50
	2:20:50	0.21	0.71	0.58	0.79	1.04	1.39	1.64	1.98	3.34
	2:25:52	0.15	0.52	0.42	0.58	0.76	1.02	1.21	1.46	2.47
	2:30:54	0.10	0.37	0.30	0.41	0.55	0.73	0.87	1.05	1.79
	2:40:58	0.07	0.27	0.22	0.30	0.40	0.53	0.63	0.76 0.54	1.29 0.92
	2:45:59	0.03	0.19 0.12	0.15	0.21	0.28	0.24	0.44	0.35	0.92
	2:51:01	0.03	0.12	0.05	0.13	0.10	0.24	0.29	0.33	0.81
	2:56:03	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.17	0.21	0.37
	3:01:05	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.06
	3:06:07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:11:08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:16:10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:21:12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:26:14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:31:16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:36:17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:41:19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:46:21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:51:23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:56:25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:01:26 4:06:28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:06:28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:11:30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:21:34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:26:35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:31:37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:36:39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:41:41 4:46:43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:46:43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:56:46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:01:48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:06:50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:11:52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:16:53 5:21:55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:21:55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:31:59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:37:01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:42:02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:47:04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:52:06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:57:08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:02:10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00


## **DRAINAGE MAPS**




#### SUMMARY OF DISCHARGES **DESIGN POINT** DRAINAGE Q100/Q10 (cfs) LOCATION AREA (SM) 1 AT N, CAREFREE CIR. 0.34 551/255 915/374 14 AT RAILROAD GRADE (INFLOW) 0.66 14 AT RAILROAD GRADE (OUTFLOW) 640/360 8 AT CONSTITUTION 1.07 1076/457 8A AT CONFLUENCE WITH 2088/925 EF SAND CREEK

SUMMARY OF ROUTING ELEMENTS						
ROUTING ELEMENT	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION				
RT-8	DP 7 TO DP 8A	45' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.7% RIPRAP CHANNEL				
RT-7	DP 6 TO DP 7	45' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.7% RIPRAP CHANNEL				
RT-6/6A	DP 8 TO D 6	30' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.7% RIPRAP CHANNEL				
RT-2A	DP 4A TO DP 8	30' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 1.0% RIPRAP CHANNEL				
RT-2B	DP 14 TO DP 4A	16' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.5% RIPRAP CHANNEL				
RT 3 - RT 3A	SB 3 TO DP4A	60" RCP TO 42" RCP				
RT-1	DP 1 TO DP14	NATURAL CHANNEL, S = 3.0%				
RT-5	SB 5 TO DP1	NATURAL CHANNEL, S = 3.0%				







# SUBTRIBUTARY HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS SAND CREE

Corporation

Kiowa Engineering C 1604 South 21st Street Colorado Springs, Colorado 80904.4208 (719) 630-7342

HYDROLOGIC BASIN MAP EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO **EAST FORK** 

Project No.: 06040 Date: AUG 2006 Design: RNW Drawn: MFA Check: RNW


Fig. 4.2




	EXISTING	SUMMARY RUN	OFF TABLE			
BASIN or DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING ( BASINS	CONTRIBUTING AREA (AC)	5-YR(Q5) RUNOFF (CFS)*	100-YR (Q100) RUNOFF (CFS)		
OSA1 (IN)	1	425	360 *	886 (IN)		
1 (OUT)	OSAI	425	351 -	627 (OUT		
OSA2		1.9	2	5		
OSA3		0.3	.1	2		
OSCI		3.4	5	11		
A4		38,1	31	71		
2	OSA1, OSA2, OSA3 OSC1, A4	3. 468.7	351 •	627		
3	OSFI	105	130 -	283		
OSF2		4.9	4	9		
OSF3		0.5	1	2		
A7		30.2	19	45		
4	OSA1, OSA2, OSA3 OSC1, A4, OSF1, OSF2, OSF3, F7	3. 137.1	393 *	831		
OSBI		0.6	3	5		
A9		33.6	19	46		
OSA8		16.2	40	78		
A16		18.0	12	26		
5	OSA8, A16	34.2	50	103		
OSATI		3.7	12	21		
OSA12		1.7	5	9		
6	OSA1, OSA2, OSA3 OSC1, A4, OSF1, OSF2, OSF3, A7, A9 OSB1, OSA8, A16, OSA11, OSA12		392 •	856		

\* NOTE: MAIN CHANNEL MINOR STORM FLOW RATES ARE 10-YEAR IN ACCORDANCE WITH DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY

## LEGEND





DENI-IMMAN.

THE BENCHMARK FOR THESE PLANS IS THE TOP

OF 84 REBAR, PANEL POINT NO. 1. LOCATED ON

THE SOUTH EDGE OF CONSTITUTION AVE AND


HE WEST EDGE OF THE ROCK ISLAND TRAIL. 535

FEET WEST OF THE CENTERLINE OF SHAWNEE DR.

ELEVATION = 6486.63. (EPC DATUM ELEVATION

= 6485.29).







REVISIONS

DESIGNED BY DRG DRAWN BY TJW CHECKED BY

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass

> **EXISTING** DRAINAGE MAP

MVE PROJECT 60970 MVE DRAWING 60970109

December 12, 2013

