

# Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Colorado P.E. Number: 29794

PCD File No.

Updated: 6/26/2019

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

<b>PROJECT</b>	INFOR	MATION
----------------	-------	--------

Project Name: Forest Lakes Filing No. 7

Schedule No.(s): 71000-00-454

Legal Description: Forest Lakes Filing No. 7

#### **APPLICANT INFORMATION**

Company: FLRD #2, LLC

Name: Jim Boulton (Vice President)

Mailing Address: 2138 Flying Horse Club Drive

Colorado Springs, CO 80921

Phone Number: 719-592-9333 FAX Number: 719-457-1442

Email Address: jboulton@classichomes.com

#### **ENGINEER INFORMATION**

Company: Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors

Name : Kyle Campbell

Mailing Address: 619 N Cascade Avenue, Suite 200

Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Phone Number: 719-785-0790 FAX Number: 719-785-0799

Email Address: kcampbell@classicconsulting.net

#### OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

Signature of owner (or authoriz	ed representative)		Date
Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature	Γ	٦	Add "SF-21-049"
	L	L	

**DEVIATION REQUEST (**Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is reque	
Cul-de-sacs shall have a maximum length of 750 feet for urban co	onditions.
State the reason for the requested deviation:	
	lash Court is 141.60' longer. The cul-de-sac provides for a more efficient serves less than 25 lots. The Fire District has reviewed and approved this
K	
	Attach the fire district  letter at the end of
	this document.
as basis):	standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used
Existing topographic constraints (steep terrain) precluded any add	ditional street connections to shorten the cul-de-sac length.

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION (At least one of the conditions listed below m	ust be met for this deviation request to be considered.)
alternative that can accomplish the same o  ☐ A change to a standard is required to a	ne particular situation.  Igraphical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.  Industrial design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will not with little or no material benefit to the public.
Provide justification:	
Adequate provisions for access and fire protect	ction are proposed.
	State additional length has been approved by
	fire district. Please address each of the points
	in the indicated statement above. Please add
	more information to justify the undue hardship
	to construct additional roads that would most
	likely exceed the standards.
The additional 141.60' of cul-de-sac length do	sult with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement. es not detrimentally affect public safety or surrounding property.  Please add additional explanation, such as additional length has support of fire district and does not impede their ability to service area.
The deviation will not adversely affect safe	aty or operations
There are no safety or operation concerns with	
	Please add additional explanation as to how
	this is achieved and include discussion on
	how snow removal would be accomplished

process.

and this deviation would not impact the

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.
No adverse impacts to maintenance or its associated costs will be realized. 🦟
Please add additional
explanation as to how this
/ is achieved.
/
/
/
/
/
The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.
No adverse impacts to aesthetics will be realized.
·
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.  Design intent and purpose of the ECM standards is not compromised.
Design intent and purpose of the ECM standards is not compromised.
Design intent and purpose of the ECM standards is not compromised.  Please add additional
Please add additional explanation as to how
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not
Please add additional explanation as to how
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  compromised.
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.  Please add statement that
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.  Please add statement that
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.  Please add statement that
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.  Please add statement that
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.  Please add statement that
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.  Please add statement that
Please add additional explanation as to how standards are not compromised.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  Proposed longer cul-de-sac length than allowed by the ECM criteria is not applicable to the control measure requirements of the County's MS4 permit process.  Please add statement that

# **REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:**

Approved by the ECM Administrator		
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. hereby granted based on the justification provided.	A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	Т	
<b>Denied by the ECM Administrator</b> This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. hereby denied.	A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Г	٦	
L	L	
	_	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:		

## 1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

### 1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

#### 1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

#### 1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
  on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
  available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
  modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
  the public.

### 1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

## 1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

### 1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

Page	6	of	6
------	---	----	---