

**Briargate Bridge at Sand Creek
Design Report
Sand Creek Drainageway
El Paso County, Colorado**

Prepared for:
SR Land, LLC
20 Boulder Crescent Suite 200
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903

Prepared by:
Celebrating 30 years
Kiowa
Engineering Corporation
7175 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 2200
Lakewood, Colorado 80235
Ph: (303)692-0369

Kiowa Project No. 19032

March 8, 2022

CDR-21-013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents..... ii

Appendix Table of Contents..... iii

I. Executive Summary 4

II. General Location and Description..... 4

III. Project Background..... 5

IV. Previous Reports and Jurisdictional Requirements..... 5

V. Site Description..... 5

VI. Hydrology 6

VII. Hydraulics 6

VII. Hydraulic Design and Criteria 7

VIII. Hydraulic Modelling Results..... 9

IX. Scour Analysis 11

X. Construction PEmitting 11

XI. Drainage and Bridge Fees 11

XII. Phasing..... 12

XIII. Conclusions 12

Appendix Table of Contents..... 13

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A: Floodplain

Figure 1 Vicinity Map

Figure 2 Briargate Bridge Floodplain Workmap

Annotated FIRM

Appendix B: Hydrology

2018 MDDP Hydrology Existing Conditions Map

Appendix C: Drop Structure and Riprap Calculations

Appendix D: HECRAS Hydraulic Modelling

Appendix E: Contech Bridge Design Analysis

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This design report presents descriptions and design calculations for the Briargate Parkway crossing of Sand Creek in the Sterling Ranch Development. The crossing consists of a bridge with associated upstream and downstream channel improvements that will provide a transition to the natural channel of Sand Creek. A separate report by others will address design, drainage, and water quality design of the Briargate Parkway. Design elements in the descriptions below and associated documents in the Appendix include floodplain analysis, hydrology, design calculations, hydraulic modelling results.

II. GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The proposed crossing consists of a 42-foot wide Conspan precast bridge sized to convey 100-year frequency flows without resulting in increases to the effective base flood elevations (BFEs) for Sand Creek. Two grouted sloping boulder (GSB) drop structures are proposed upstream of the bridge crossing to provide necessary grade control for the bridge. The current incised natural channel upstream and downstream of the bridge will be graded to provide stable 4:1 side embankment slopes and adequate capacity for major storm flows. The proposed channel revision, including the 228-foot long Conspan bridge crossing, will extend for approximately 625 feet along Sand Creek. The proposed channel and bridge improvements lie within El Paso County. The location of the site is shown on Figure 1 of the Appendix.

Upon the completion of the crossing and acceptance by El Paso County and Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District, easements and or tracts will be dedicated for the purposes of maintenance access. The bridge and channel work will occur adjacent to Tracts A, B, and D of Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1. Operation and maintenance of the bridge will be performed by El Paso County while the channel will be the responsibility of the Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District. A "No-Rise" floodplain certification study will be conducted in lieu of a CLOMR submittal to FEMA. However, a LOMR submittal will be required after construction to account for the floodplain revision. No residential lots within future Sterling Ranch Filings that will lie within the 100-year floodplain.

The bridge over Sand Creek at Briargate Parkway is included within the design plans. The bridge consists of a Conspan precast structure that have the capacity to pass the 100-year discharge. The typical road right-of-way is 130 feet for Briargate Parkway. The ultimate roadway section for Briargate Parkway as shown on the roadway design plans includes four 12-foot lanes and a 16-foot raised median, Type A curb and gutter, and 8-foot and 10-foot detached sidewalks. Protective guardrails as shown on the drawings have been designed in conformance with Colorado Department of Transportation M-standards.

Once the bridge and roadway facilities are completed and accepted by El Paso County, El Paso County will assume maintenance responsibility for the structures and roadways. A deed will be provided to transfer ownership to the County. The developer intends to request reimbursement for the cost to construct the bridges and drainageway facilities, or request credit against future drainage and bridge fees. Reimbursement will be processed in accordance with sections 1.7 and 3.3 of the Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM). The drainageway facilities will be operated and maintained by the Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District.

III. PROJECT BACKGROUND

Sand Creek within Sterling Ranch is a natural drainageway at his time that was shown to be stabilized in the Sterling Ranch Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP). The MDDP showed Sand Creek to be reconfigured into a trapezoidal channel section capable of conveying the 100-year discharge as listed in the MDDP. The original channel design was a benched trapezoidal channel with numerous drop structures to provide grade control. However after subsequent consideration by El Paso County and the Army Corps of Engineering, the decision was made to provide a design mimicking the current natural configuration of the channel. The present average slope of the drainageway within the design reach is 1.8 percent. As seen from the Briargate Bridge Plan and Profile, two drop structures upstream of the bridge were designed to reduce the channel slope through the bridge reach to 0.2 percent. Riprap channel and embankment lining through the bridge reach will provide erosion protection during major storm events.

IV. PREVIOUS REPORTS AND JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The basis for the development of the design has been developed from referencing the following reports:

1. *Sterling Ranch Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP), prepared by M & S Civil Consultants, July 2018.*
2. *Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study (DBPS), prepared by Kiowa Engineering, 1996.*
3. *City of Colorado Springs and El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, 1987.*
4. *El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, most current version.*
5. *City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual, May 2014.*
6. *The City of Colorado Springs and El Paso County Flood Insurance Study (FIS), prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, effective 2018.*
7. *Sterling Ranch Channel Improvements and Mitigation Plan, prepared by Core Consultants, October 2015.*

V. SITE DESCRIPTION

The Sand Creek floodplain within the Briargate Bridge reach is well vegetated with native grasses that are in fair to good condition that exists on the floodplain overbanks and within the greater valley in general. There is little evidence of active invert degradation or bank sloughing except for the channel bends that occur at the location of future Sterling Ranch Road. Current longitudinal slope is approximately 1.4 percent. There is presently no base flow in this segment. There are presently no developed lots that lie within the 100-year floodplain. Lots in the Homestead at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2 and Branding Iron at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2 subdivisions do not encroach into the 100-year floodplain.

A 24-inch water line is proposed to cross the drainageway just upstream of future Briargate Boulevard. The water and wastewater facilities that may impact the drainageway are all owned and maintained by the Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District.

VI. HYDROLOGY

Hydrology for use in determining the typical channel sections shown on the plans were obtained from Reference 6. The 100-year discharges shown in Reference 6 is 2,600 cubic feet per second. The 100-year peak discharges from references 1 and 2 were reviewed as well. A comparison of peak discharges is presented below.

Existing Development Condition Peak Discharges Sand Creek at Sterling Ranch

| Location: South Property Line (cfs) | 5yr | 10yr | 100yr |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|
| City of Colorado Springs FIS | NR | 1,200 | 2,600 |
| Sand Creek DBPS | NR | 770 | 2,620 |
| Sterling Ranch MDDP | 435 | 713 | 1,912 |

The above listed discharges all assume existing, or pre-development conditions. The hydrology used in the FIS was obtained from a Soil Conservation Service study conducted in 1975 for the Sand Creek watershed using the "SCS method. The hydrology developed in the DBPS also used the SCS method and obtained similar results. The MDDP used the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-1 hydrograph model and the SCS curve numbers to develop the peak discharges shown above. The MDDP applied a Type II storm distribution as proposed to the Type IIA distribution applied in the FIS and DBPS. This will typically cause peak discharges to decrease 10 to 15 percent. As the difference in the peak discharges cause relatively small differences in the hydraulic design the channel and the bridges, the FIS 100-year discharge was used in the hydraulic design of the channel and bridge improvements. According to the criteria set forth in Reference 4, the low flow channel was sized using 10 percent of the 100-year discharge, or 260 cubic feet per second.

The assumption that FSD will be required for all future development is reflected in the use of the existing development discharges in this design. There is a good correlation between the FIS and 1996 DBPS 100-year discharges for the segment of Sand Creek subject to this design. The future FSD's within Sterling Ranch will be publicly operated and maintained facilities by the Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District.

VII. HYDRAULICS

The goal of the bridge crossing design was to provide adequate conveyance capacity for the effective 100-yr frequency flows per FEMA and avoid any increase in the effective BFEs for the Sand Creek Floodplain. In addition, the proposed crossing was designed to produce flow characteristics that meet El Paso County criteria. Two grouted sloping boulder drop structures are proposed upstream of the crossing to lower the channel invert and provide grade control through the crossing reach. In addition to the grouted boulders, the entire invert upstream, through the proposed bridge, and downstream outlet are to be riprap lined. The bridge, a Conspan C42T, will convey flows at a depth of 4 to 7 feet with freeboard to the crown in excess of 14 feet. The excess height of the bridge was required to match the roadway grade for Briargate Parkway and provide necessary invert elevation for the channel.

The hydraulic design of the bridge crossing of Sand Creek performed using with US Army Corps of Engineers HEC-RAS modeling system version 6.1. A corrected effective model was developed to establish existing conditions and provide a basis for comparison with the proposed conditions model. The downstream tie-in with the effective FIS model is located at section 63+79 of project mapping which corresponds to FIS section DG shown on the FIRM. Starting water surface elevations for the proposed model were taken from the effective model (NGVD 29 elevation datum to match project mapping). The upstream tie-in occurs at section 74+11 and corresponds to FIS section DI shown on the FIRM. The 100-year water surface elevation of the corrected effective and proposed models match the effective within 0.0 feet. The corrected effective model 100-year delineation closely matches the effective floodplain as shown on the Annotated FIMR in the Appendix.

The model was used to determine the 100-year hydraulic grade line shown on the plan and profiles. The 100-year profile for the FIS hydrology has been determined. The location for the proposed 100-year floodplain using FIS hydrology has been presented on the plan view of the design plans and on the grading plan. Appendix A of this report has the floodplain maps that show the effective 100-year floodplain. The locations for HEC-RAS cross-sections are shown on the design profile. The HEC-RAS model cross-sections are also contained within Appendix A. The summary output for the 10-, 50-, 100-year and 500-year recurrence intervals have been included in the Appendix of this report.

year, and rogue period

A riprap apron is included on the downstream end of the bridge to prevent channel degradation and undercutting of the bridge and wingwalls. A sheet pile cutoff wall is included on the downstream end of the riprap apron extending one foot above the proposed 100-year water surface.

VII. HYDRAULIC DESIGN AND CRITERIA

A “No-Rise” floodplain certification study will be submitted in lieu of a CLOMR submittal to FEMA. However, a LOMR submittal will be required after construction to account for the floodplain revision. There are no residential lots within future Sterling Ranch Filings that will lie within the 100-year floodplain.

Freeboard (between bridge low chord and 100-year design flow water surface) for the Briargate bridge is in excess of 10 feet and well above the 2-foot minimum per section 6.4.2 of the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual bridge.

Analysis of bridge scour was performed at upstream and downstream cross sections. Since the Conspan crossing structure is entered as a culvert, the bridge scour analysis was not available in the HEC-RAS program. Therefore, the shear force variable, also referred to as tractive force, was used to determine the adequacy of riprap erosion protection shown on the design plans.

Presented on the design plans associated with this design memorandum are the proposed drainageway conditions. Design criteria for the project are summarized as follows:

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Channel design slope: | 0.2 percent |
| Maximum drop height: | 4 feet |
| Manning’s n-values: | .025-.035 |
| Froude number-(excluding crests of drops): | 0.75 |

Permissible shear stress: channel and embankment:

Type M soil riprap

5.0 psf

Drop Structure Design

The drops will be constructed using grouted boulders. The selection of grouted boulders was chosen to address long-term durability of the drop. The Grouted Sloping Boulder (GSBD) design follows the criteria included in the Mile High Flood District's Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (USDCM). Two 4-foot-high GSBD's are proposed for this reach of Sand Creek. The longitudinal slope of the drop face is designed at 5:1 (USDCM Criteria is 4:1 maximum). Calculations were performed to determine the boulder size within the grouted sloping boulder drops. The minimum boulder size for the drop structures will be 30-inches. These boulders must be carefully placed to create a stepped appearance which helps to increase roughness. The boulders will be placed on either undisturbed soil, compacted subgrade or shallow bedrock (where encountered). Full penetration of grout around the lower one-half of the rock is essential for successful grouted boulder performance. The grout should be injected to a depth equal to one-half of the boulders being used and keep the upper one-half ungrouted and clean. Typically, the grout will not extend to the top of the boulders.

A grout cutoff wall will be located at the upstream end of each drop approach, for the full width of the drop, to minimize seepage from occurring under the structure and possible uplift forces. The cutoff wall will be installed to the specified depth below the proposed channel invert. A 30-inch to 36-inch grouted boulder sill will be installed at the downstream end of the drops. Weep drains will be installed in the drops to release hydrostatic pressure from under the drops and reduce the uplift forces on the grouted channel lining.

HEC-RAS and specific force calculations under both supercritical and subcritical flow regimes were used to determine the hydraulic jump location along the drops, and the stilling basin length and depth. The analysis was completed using varying flowrates such as for the 100-year, 10-year and low flow conditions, to determine the controlling hydraulic jump location (located the farthest downstream) and longest jump length for each drop. The controlling storm event for each drop is included in Appendix C. The 100-year storm event was the controlling condition for the upstream drop. However, due to backwater effects of the arch culvert, the downstream drop is submerged during a 100-year storm. The 10-year storm event is therefore the controlling condition for sizing the drop basin. Riprap will be placed downstream of the sill for a minimum distance of 10-feet to minimize erosion that may occur due to secondary currents.

Seepage analyses using the Lane's Weighted Creep Method were completed to determine the upstream cutoff depth required at each drop. Due to the drops being in either close proximity to or within bedrock, a low Creep Ratio of 1.6 was used. Calculations show that a cutoff depth in addition to what the boulders provide is not needed. However, a minimum 2-foot cutoff depth below the bottom of boulders (or 4.5-feet below the channel invert elevation) is still recommended, and will help key each structure into the shallow bedrock where encountered.

Based upon the hydraulic calculations and USDCM, the following design criteria have been established for the grouted sloping boulder drops.

- Drop height (H_d)(elevation difference between crest and top of sill): 4.0-ft
- Typical trapezoidal or composite channel section to continue through drop. Grouted boulders to extend to 1.0-ft vertically above the 100-year water surface elevation.

- Drop face slope: 5:1
- Boulder size: 30-inch minimum, with 30-inch to 36-inch boulders for sill.
- Grouted boulder bedding: Undisturbed soil or compacted subgrade. For areas where shallow bedrock is encountered, bedding will be a minimum 12-inch thick layer of 1-1/2" to 2-1/2" crushed rock.
- Approach length: 10-ft grouted boulders followed by 10-ft Type M soil riprap (2.0-ft thick), not buried along the channel bottom.
- Upstream cutoff wall depth: 4.5-ft grout cutoff wall, placed monolithically with grout placed for boulders.
- Weep drain system: Yes
- Stilling basin depth: 2.0-ft
- Stilling basin length: 20-ft
- Downstream length of riprap protection: Minimum of 10 linear feet of Type M soil riprap (2.0-ft thick), not buried along channel bottom.


Refer to Appendix C for drop structure design and rock sizing calculations.

Wherever soil riprap linings are proposed, rock sizing and freeboard criteria followed is in accordance with Chapter 8 of the Mile High Flood District's Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Equation 8-11.


A geotechnical investigation was conducted to support the design of the foundation for the bridge at Sterling Ranch Road and Briargate Parkway. The geotechnical report is included with this submittal. Two soil borings were drilled near the locations of the proposed footings for the bridges. Bedrock is shallow at Sterling Ranch Road and Briargate Parkway, so spread footings will be used. A precast bridge section has been chosen that has a 43-foot clear span and a 24.5-foot rise. The 100-year discharge can be passed through the bridge at a maximum depth of approximately 7.6 feet and headwater to depth of 0.31. The velocity during a 100-year event at the upstream and downstream reach of the bridge is 5.9 feet per second and 12.1 feet per second, respectively. A Type M void-filled riprap invert will be provided at each bridge crossing. The construction of the improvements shown on the plans will prevent erosion due to changes in the channel hydraulic characteristics of the bridge and extend downstream to an extent where current conditions are matched.

VIII. HYDRAULIC MODELLING RESULTS

HECRAS model output including tables and sections are included in the Appendix. The results indicate that the proposed Briargate crossing has conveyance capacity is well in excess during 100-year storm events. As seen in the following, the 100-year water surface elevations are below those of corrected effective model throughout the revised channel reach. Freeboard from the crown of the Conspan crossing is well in excess of 2 feet per El Paso County criteria. HECRAS model output is included in the Appendix.



HECRAS profile comparison of proposed (blue filled) and existing 100-yr WSEL



Upstream face Conspan crossing 100-yr WSEL.

IX. SCOUR ANALYSIS

Scour analysis was performed to determine if bridge foundations and channel drop structures are susceptible to undermining during major storm events. Per CDOT Drainage Manual Section 10.4.3, the 500-year storm was used for scour analysis of the Conspan crossing abutment and foundation. Scour analysis in HECRAS is limited to bridges and therefore not available for the Conspan crossing modelled as a culvert. Therefore the shear stress variable calculated in HECRAS was used to determine the likelihood of scour. The crossing design includes riprap lining of the channel invert with added protection for the embankment on the downstream end of the crossing. This is shown on Figure 2 of Appendix A.

As seen from Table 1 below, shear stress through the bridge reach is well below 5 lbs/ft² tolerance for the type M soil riprap lining of the channel bottom and embankments during 100-year and 500-year simulations. Velocities downstream of the bridge are comparable to existing conditions and will not result in an increase of erosive conditions.

Table 1 HECRAS Shear Stress and Velocity at Proposed Conspan Crossing

| | | 100-Yr Profile | | 500-Yr Profile | |
|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| Location | Section | Maximum Velocity (ft/s) | Shear Stress Channel(lb/ft ²) | Maximum Velocity (ft/s) | Shear Stress Channel(lb/ft ²) |
| 30' Upstream of Bridge | 7205 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| Upstream Bridge Face | 7175 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 6.8 | 1.3 |
| Downstream Bridge Face | 6929 | 12.1 | 4.0 | 13.7 | 4.7 |
| 40' Downstream of Bridge | 6889 | 10.4 | 1.5 | 11.4 | 1.7 |
| 69' Downstream of Bridge | 6760 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 10.2 | 1.4 |

Note: Permissible shear stress Type M soil riprap is 5 lb/ft²

X. CONSTRUCTION PERMITTING

The following permits are anticipated to allow for the construction of the project as shown on the design plans. A copy of the Sterling Ranch 404 Permit is included within the Appendix.

USACE notification of project in conformance with 404 permit - USACE

No-Rise Floodway Certification, Floodplain Development Permit – Pikes Peak Regional Building Department

Grading and Erosion Control Permit (ESQCP) – El Paso County

Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit – CDPHE

Construction Dewatering Permit - CDPHE

Letter of Map Revision (post construction) - FEMA

XI. DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

The Sterling Ranch Development and specifically Sterling Ranch East lies wholly within the Sand Creek drainage basin. Drainage and bridge fees have been established by the County for the

Sand Creek drainage basin for assessment against platted land within the watershed. The bridge will be public and owned and maintained by the El Paso County upon acceptance. The costs for the public drainageway improvements are reimbursable or creditable against drainage and bridge fees owed when land within Sterling Ranch is platted. Reimbursement of drainage and bridge improvements require approval through the DCM reimbursement process. Construction of the bridge at Sterling Ranch Road and at Briargate Parkway will be creditable against bridge fees owed pending approval through the DCM reimbursement process.

The 2021 DBPS identifies the project section as unimproved SC1R11 channel with potential maintenance of future problems at \$700 per length foot. The total length of the proposed bridge and channel improvements is approximately 630 feet, resulting in an estimated cost of \$441,000.

The current 2021 drainage and bridge fees for the Sand Creek drainage basin are as follows:

| | |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| Drainage Fee: | \$20,387 per impervious acre |
| Bridge Fee: | \$ 8,339 per acre |

XII. PHASING

Construction of the drainage and bridge facilities shown on the plans is to be completed all at once and no phasing of the construction is proposed. The construction will commence prior to or concurrent with the subdivisions east of Sand Creek.

XIII. CONCLUSIONS

The development of the future subdivisions to the east requires the Briargate Bridge crossing of Sand Creek. Per direction of El Paso County and the Army Corps of Engineers, improvements to Sand Creek through the Sterling Ranch Development were limited to stabilize the channel upstream and downstream reach of the proposed Briargate Boulevard Bridge. Results of hydraulic analysis demonstrate that the channel and Conspan crossing have adequate capacity to carry effective 100-year flows without causing an increase to existing water surface elevations. Shear stress analysis indicates that the riprap channel protection is sufficient to prevent undermining of the structure during major storm events and will not result in adverse impacts to the downstream natural channel compared to existing conditions.

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A: Floodplain

Figure 1 Vicinity Map

Figure 2 Briargate Bridge Floodplain Workmap

Annotated FIRM

Appendix B: Hydrology

2018 MDDP Hydrology Existing Conditions Map

Appendix C: Drop Structure and Riprap Calculations

Appendix D: HECRAS Hydraulic Modelling

Appendix E: Contech Bridge Design Analysis

Appendix A: Floodplain

Figure 1 Vicinity Map

Figure 2 Briargate Bridge Floodplain Workmap

Annotated FIRM







FIGURE 1
VICINITY MAP
BRAIRGATE BRIDGE



Kiowa
 Engineering Corporation
 7175 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 2200
 Lakewood, Colorado 80235
 (303) 692-0369





| LEGEND | |
|---|------------------------------|
|  | EFFECTIVE 100-YEAR |
|  | CORRECTED EFFECTIVE 100-YEAR |
|  | PROPOSED 100-YEAR |

FIGURE 2
 FLOODPLAIN WORKMAP
 BRIARGATE BRIDGE AT SAND CREEK



SAND CREEK ANNOTATED FIRM
BRIARGATE BRIDGE
PLAN NAME


MARCH 2022
PROJECT NO. 19032

Kiowa
Engineering Corporation

7175 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 2200
Lakewood, Colorado 80235
(303) 692-0369


Appendix B: Hydrology

2018 MDDP Hydrology Existing Conditions Map



LEGEND

- BASIN ID - SC3-77
- DESIGN POINT - 87
- REACH IDENTIFIER - RT-17A
- BASIN BOUNDARY - - - - -
- EAST FORK SAND CREEK - - - - -
- BASIN BOUNDARY - - - - -
- FLOW DIRECTION - →



Discharge Summary
Sand Creek

DP-63
So PL 1912

DP 69
@ Brandywine 1870

DP 71
No PL 1637

BASIN SUMMARY

| BASIN | CN | AREA (acres) | AREA (sq mi) | Q ₁₀ (cfs) | Q ₅ (cfs) | Q ₂ (cfs) | Q ₁ (cfs) | Q _{0.5} (cfs) | Q _{0.2} (cfs) |
|--------|----|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| EX-0 | 62 | 23.8 | 0.037 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 13.0 | 19.6 | 25.7 | 32.2 |
| EX-1 | 62 | 25.7 | 0.040 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 12.4 | 18.7 | 24.5 | 30.9 |
| EX-2 | 62 | 5.5 | 0.009 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 7.1 |
| EX-3 | 62 | 136.8 | 0.214 | 22.0 | 36.4 | 57.6 | 86.9 | 114.0 | 143.1 |
| EX-3A | 61 | 188.1 | 0.294 | 28.3 | 47.4 | 75.7 | 115.1 | 152.2 | 192.6 |
| EX-4 | 62 | 192.0 | 0.300 | 30.1 | 49.9 | 79.1 | 119.5 | 157.0 | 197.3 |
| EX-4A | 62 | 151.5 | 0.237 | 24.7 | 40.8 | 64.4 | 97.0 | 127.2 | 160.1 |
| EX-5 | 62 | 153.9 | 0.240 | 24.2 | 40.0 | 63.4 | 95.9 | 125.9 | 158.2 |
| EX-6 | 62 | 90.2 | 0.141 | 15.3 | 25.5 | 40.1 | 60.7 | 79.9 | 100.5 |
| EX-7 | 56 | 165.0 | 0.258 | 11.6 | 21.5 | 37.5 | 60.9 | 83.1 | 107.4 |
| EX-8 | 45 | 42.0 | 0.066 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 20.5 |
| EX-9 | 54 | 131.9 | 0.206 | 12.2 | 23.9 | 43.1 | 70.9 | 97.0 | 125.2 |
| EX-10 | 60 | 270.7 | 0.423 | 32.7 | 56.0 | 91.1 | 140.1 | 185.9 | 236.1 |
| EX-10A | 41 | 179.3 | 0.280 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 17.4 | 29.1 | 43.1 |
| EX-11 | 43 | 209.3 | 0.327 | 18.0 | 29.8 | 47.7 | 73.4 | 98.3 | 126.1 |
| EX-12 | 51 | 39.5 | 0.062 | 2.2 | 5.1 | 10.1 | 17.7 | 25.1 | 33.3 |
| EX-13 | 55 | 89.3 | 0.139 | 7.7 | 15.2 | 27.1 | 44.2 | 60.5 | 78.4 |
| EX-20 | 62 | 143.4 | 0.224 | 25.4 | 42.1 | 66.7 | 100.7 | 132.3 | 166.2 |
| EX-20A | 64 | 179.7 | 0.281 | 32.2 | 51.9 | 80.5 | 119.8 | 155.9 | 194.6 |
| EX-21 | 65 | 33.3 | 0.052 | 8.6 | 13.5 | 20.7 | 30.5 | 39.4 | 49.0 |
| EX-24 | 59 | 63.1 | 0.099 | 9.5 | 16.6 | 27.5 | 42.9 | 57.4 | 73.0 |
| EX-25 | 43 | 54.4 | 0.085 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 10.7 | 17.2 | 25.1 |
| EX-73 | 63 | 90.0 | 0.141 | 16.4 | 26.4 | 41.3 | 62.1 | 81.3 | 102.0 |
| EX-74 | 63 | 119.7 | 0.187 | 22.3 | 36.5 | 57.3 | 85.9 | 112.3 | 140.7 |
| EX-75 | 63 | 79.3 | 0.124 | 13.1 | 21.5 | 33.7 | 50.5 | 66.1 | 82.8 |
| EX-76 | 63 | 86.4 | 0.135 | 14.2 | 23.1 | 36.4 | 54.6 | 71.4 | 89.6 |
| EX-77 | 62 | 230.6 | 0.360 | 34.7 | 56.9 | 90.6 | 137.5 | 180.9 | 227.7 |
| EX-78 | 63 | 155.6 | 0.243 | 28.1 | 45.3 | 70.6 | 106.2 | 139.1 | 174.5 |
| EX-79 | 63 | 189.0 | 0.295 | 34.9 | 57.0 | 89.5 | 134.3 | 175.6 | 220.1 |
| EX-80 | 63 | 147.7 | 0.231 | 27.3 | 44.3 | 69.6 | 104.5 | 136.8 | 171.4 |
| EX-81 | 62 | 262.9 | 0.411 | 42.6 | 70.2 | 111.0 | 167.4 | 219.6 | 275.7 |
| EX-82 | 62 | 117.8 | 0.184 | 20.0 | 33.2 | 52.8 | 80.0 | 105.1 | 132.3 |
| EX-88 | 62 | 139.2 | 0.217 | 22.2 | 36.7 | 58.0 | 87.6 | 115.0 | 144.4 |

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY (PEAK FLOW)

| DESIGN POINT | AREA (ac) | Q ₁₀ (cfs) | Q ₅ (cfs) | Q ₂ (cfs) | Q ₁ (cfs) | Q _{0.5} (cfs) | Q _{0.2} (cfs) | LOCATION |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| DP-74 | 0.371 | 39.3 | 65.3 | 104.8 | 158.9 | 209.1 | 262.8 | |
| DP-75 | 1.413 | 141.2 | 235.1 | 376.6 | 566.6 | 750.9 | 950.5 | |
| DP-78 | 0.538 | 59.7 | 98.4 | 154.0 | 232.6 | 306.2 | 385.3 | |
| DP-73 | 2.528 | 225.9 | 380.7 | 618.0 | 957.0 | 1260.4 | 1582.3 | |
| DP-71 | 2.669 | 229.3 | 388.9 | 629.7 | 978.8 | 1277.3 | 1637.9 | STERLING RANCH NORTHERN BNDY |
| DP-69 | 3.209 | 253.0 | 434.8 | 707.7 | 1100.0 | 1453.3 | 1870.4 | |
| DP-63 | 3.446 | 251.4 | 430.7 | 713.1 | 1113.2 | 1496.2 | 1911.5 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-10 | 0.508 | 36.5 | 56.0 | 106.4 | 162.9 | 220.6 | 287.2 | COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO BNDY |
| DP-9A | 0.557 | 55.3 | 94.3 | 150.3 | 227.7 | 299.5 | 380.5 | VOLLMER/TAHITI DRIVE |
| DP-9 | 0.505 | 52.8 | 88.8 | 142.1 | 214.2 | 281.0 | 351.4 | VOLLMER/LOCHWINNOCH LN |
| DP-8A | 0.139 | 7.7 | 15.2 | 27.1 | 44.2 | 60.5 | 78.4 | D/S STERLING RANCH EASTERN BNDY |
| DP-8 | 0.528 | 24.2 | 45.1 | 77.8 | 124.4 | 169.5 | 220.9 | D/S STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-7 | 0.703 | 32.4 | 57.1 | 97.3 | 156.1 | 213.8 | 277.9 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-6 | 0.206 | 12.2 | 23.9 | 43.1 | 70.9 | 97.0 | 125.2 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-5 | 0.066 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 20.5 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-4 | 0.258 | 11.6 | 21.5 | 37.5 | 60.9 | 83.1 | 107.4 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-3 | 0.009 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 7.1 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-2 | 0.040 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 12.4 | 18.7 | 24.5 | 30.9 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-1 | 0.037 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 13.0 | 19.6 | 25.7 | 32.2 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-60A | 3.545 | 247.7 | 430.2 | 707.1 | 1113.0 | 1496.6 | 1913.5 | FUTURE MARKSHEFFEL X-ING |
| DP-56 | 0.466 | 23.2 | 42.5 | 71.9 | 115.6 | 157.4 | 202.9 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-53A | 4.138 | 282.1 | 454.0 | 763.2 | 1196.5 | 1609.8 | 2061.5 | SAND CREEK AND POND 3 |

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY (VOLUME)

| DESIGN POINT | AREA (ac) | V ₁₀ (ac-ft) | V ₅ (ac-ft) | V ₂ (ac-ft) | V ₁ (ac-ft) | V _{0.5} (ac-ft) | V _{0.2} (ac-ft) | LOCATION |
|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| DP-74 | 0.371 | 5.9 | 9.0 | 13.6 | 19.8 | 25.5 | 31.6 | |
| DP-75 | 1.413 | 22.7 | 34.5 | 51.7 | 75.4 | 97.1 | 120.5 | |
| DP-78 | 0.538 | 8.9 | 13.5 | 20.1 | 29.3 | 37.7 | 46.7 | |
| DP-73 | 2.528 | 40.4 | 61.5 | 92.1 | 134.3 | 173.1 | 214.9 | |
| DP-71 | 2.669 | 42.5 | 64.9 | 97.1 | 141.6 | 182.5 | 228.6 | STERLING RANCH NORTHERN BNDY |
| DP-69 | 3.209 | 50.7 | 77.4 | 116.1 | 169.4 | 218.6 | 271.4 | |
| DP-63 | 3.446 | 54.1 | 82.6 | 123.8 | 180.8 | 233.3 | 289.9 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-10 | 0.508 | 7.6 | 11.7 | 17.6 | 25.8 | 33.4 | 41.6 | COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO BNDY |
| DP-9A | 0.557 | 9.3 | 14.1 | 21.1 | 30.7 | 39.4 | 48.8 | VOLLMER/TAHITI DRIVE |
| DP-9 | 0.505 | 8.4 | 12.7 | 19.0 | 27.6 | 35.5 | 44.0 | VOLLMER/LOCHWINNOCH LN |
| DP-8A | 0.139 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 8.9 | D/S STERLING RANCH EASTERN BNDY |
| DP-8 | 0.528 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 11.1 | 16.8 | 22.3 | 28.4 | D/S STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-7 | 0.703 | 6.1 | 10.0 | 15.9 | 24.3 | 32.4 | 41.3 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-6 | 0.206 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 9.6 | 12.7 | 16.0 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-5 | 0.066 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-4 | 0.258 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 6.7 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 17.2 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-3 | 0.009 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-2 | 0.040 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.4 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-1 | 0.037 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.1 | STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDY |
| DP-60A | 3.545 | 55.3 | 84.4 | 126.4 | 184.6 | 239.5 | 296.6 | FUTURE MARKSHEFFEL X-ING |
| DP-56 | 0.466 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 14.9 | 19.8 | 25.1 | SAND CREEK AND POND 3 |
| DP-53A | 4.138 | 63.0 | 96.4 | 144.7 | 211.8 | 273.9 | 340.9 | SAND CREEK AND POND 3 |

Q₁₀₀ FIS = 2600 cfs

EFSC DBPS DESIGN POINT SUMMARY (PEAK FLOW)

| DBPS DESIGN POINT | AREA (ac) | Q ₁₀ (cfs) | Q ₅ (cfs) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| DP-50 | 0.32 | 47.0 | 195.7 |
| DP-51 (BASIN 86) | 0.33 | 17.7 | 74.1 |
| DP-52 | 1.67 | 80.5 | 458.5 |
| DP-56 | 0.79 | 63.6 | 265.0 |



20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 110
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
PHONE: 719.955.5485

2018 STERLING RANCH MDDP
EXISTING HYDROLOGIC CONDITIONS MAP

PROJECT NO. 09-002 FILE: \\dmg\Eng Exhibits\2018-MDDP-ExistCOND\SHMap.dwg
DESIGNED BY: DLM SCALE: DATE: 08-22-18
DRAWN BY: DLM HORIZ: NTS
CHECKED BY: VAS VERT: NTS

DM1

File: G:\09002A\Sterling Ranch District\Eng Exhibits\2018-MDDP-ExistCOND\SHMap.dwg Plotstamp: 11/13/2018 1:52 PM

FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES
FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 1-800-922-1987

Appendix C: Drop Structure and Riprap Calculations

Briargate Bridge at Sand Creek
Hydraulic Jump and Basin Length Calculations

4' Drop Structure A (Crest Station 73+60)

| Hec Ras Mixed Flow Analysis (100-year) | | Supercritical Analysis | | | | | | | Subcritical Analysis | | | | | | |
|--|---------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| River Sta | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | Vel Chnl | Froude # Chl | Max Chl Dpth | Specif Force | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | Vel Chnl | Froude # Chl | Max Chl Dpth | Specif Force | |
| | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/s) | | (ft) | (cu ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/s) | | (ft) | (cu ft) | |
| Drop Crest | 7360 | 2600 | 7097.00 | 7099.17 | 7099.72 | 11.38 | 1.45 | 2.17 | 1151.50 | 7099.72 | 7099.72 | 8.82 | 1.00 | 2.72 | 1088.12 |
| | 7359 | 2600 | 7096.80 | 7099.47 | 7099.57 | 9.26 | 1.07 | 2.67 | 1094.59 | 7099.57 | 7099.57 | 8.86 | 1.01 | 2.77 | 1092.22 |
| | 7358 | 2600 | 7096.60 | 7099.16 | 7099.42 | 9.98 | 1.19 | 2.56 | 1110.86 | 7099.42 | 7099.42 | 8.89 | 1.01 | 2.82 | 1096.46 |
| | 7357 | 2600 | 7096.40 | 7099.28 | 7099.28 | 8.92 | 1.01 | 2.88 | 1101.01 | 7099.28 | 7099.28 | 8.90 | 1.01 | 2.88 | 1100.98 |
| | 7356 | 2600 | 7096.20 | 7098.91 | 7099.14 | 9.85 | 1.16 | 2.71 | 1116.11 | 7099.14 | 7099.14 | 8.93 | 1.01 | 2.94 | 1105.68 |
| | 7355 | 2600 | 7096.00 | 7098.64 | 7098.99 | 10.43 | 1.25 | 2.64 | 1134.70 | 7098.99 | 7098.99 | 8.95 | 1.01 | 2.99 | 1110.59 |
| | 7354 | 2600 | 7095.80 | 7098.81 | 7098.85 | 9.13 | 1.03 | 3.00 | 1116.09 | 7098.85 | 7098.85 | 8.98 | 1.00 | 3.05 | 1115.66 |
| | 7353 | 2600 | 7095.60 | 7098.45 | 7098.70 | 10.00 | 1.17 | 2.85 | 1132.47 | 7098.70 | 7098.70 | 9.01 | 1.01 | 3.10 | 1120.80 |
| | 7352 | 2600 | 7095.40 | 7098.20 | 7098.55 | 10.52 | 1.25 | 2.80 | 1150.24 | 7098.56 | 7098.56 | 9.01 | 1.00 | 3.16 | 1126.17 |
| | 7351 | 2600 | 7095.20 | 7098.37 | 7098.41 | 9.22 | 1.03 | 3.16 | 1132.02 | 7098.41 | 7098.41 | 9.07 | 1.01 | 3.21 | 1131.59 |
| Jump Begins | 7350 | 2600 | 7095.00 | 7098.02 | 7098.25 | 10.05 | 1.16 | 3.02 | 1148.10 | 7098.26 | 7098.26 | 9.09 | 1.01 | 3.26 | 1137.28 |
| | 7349 | 2600 | 7094.80 | 7097.76 | 7098.12 | 10.57 | 1.24 | 2.96 | 1165.81 | 7098.12 | 7098.12 | 9.11 | 1.00 | 3.32 | 1142.91 |
| | 7348 | 2600 | 7094.60 | 7097.93 | 7097.96 | 9.30 | 1.03 | 3.33 | 1149.10 | 7097.97 | 7097.97 | 9.15 | 1.01 | 3.37 | 1148.70 |
| | 7347 | 2600 | 7094.40 | 7097.57 | 7097.81 | 10.14 | 1.16 | 3.17 | 1165.50 | 7097.98 | 7097.82 | 8.65 | 0.92 | 3.58 | 1157.82 |
| | 7346 | 2600 | 7094.20 | 7097.31 | 7097.67 | 10.68 | 1.24 | 3.11 | 1183.78 | 7098.04 | 7097.67 | 8.05 | 0.83 | 3.84 | 1178.62 |
| | 7345 | 2600 | 7094.00 | 7097.48 | 7097.52 | 9.41 | 1.03 | 3.48 | 1166.90 | 7098.09 | 7097.53 | 7.58 | 0.76 | 4.09 | 1207.68 |
| | 7344 | 2600 | 7093.80 | 7097.12 | 7097.37 | 10.24 | 1.16 | 3.32 | 1183.37 | 7098.12 | 7097.38 | 7.18 | 0.71 | 4.32 | 1242.90 |
| | 7343 | 2600 | 7093.60 | 7096.86 | 7097.23 | 10.78 | 1.23 | 3.25 | 1201.65 | 7098.15 | 7097.23 | 6.84 | 0.66 | 4.55 | 1283.53 |
| | 7342 | 2600 | 7093.40 | 7097.03 | 7097.07 | 9.52 | 1.03 | 3.63 | 1185.01 | 7098.17 | 7097.07 | 6.54 | 0.61 | 4.77 | 1328.30 |
| | 7341 | 2600 | 7093.20 | 7096.67 | 7096.93 | 10.35 | 1.15 | 3.47 | 1201.32 | 7098.19 | 7096.93 | 6.27 | 0.58 | 4.99 | 1376.75 |
| | 7340 | 2600 | 7093.00 | 7096.40 | 7096.77 | 10.89 | 1.23 | 3.40 | 1219.05 | 7098.21 | 7096.78 | 6.03 | 0.55 | 5.21 | 1429.02 |
| | 7339 | 2600 | 7092.80 | 7096.57 | 7096.62 | 9.65 | 1.04 | 3.77 | 1202.93 | 7098.22 | 7096.63 | 5.82 | 0.52 | 5.42 | 1483.67 |
| | 7338 | 2600 | 7092.60 | 7096.20 | 7096.47 | 10.49 | 1.16 | 3.60 | 1219.34 | 7098.24 | 7096.48 | 5.62 | 0.49 | 5.64 | 1542.41 |
| | 7337 | 2600 | 7092.40 | 7096.32 | 7096.32 | 9.52 | 1.01 | 3.92 | 1213.62 | 7098.25 | 7096.32 | 5.43 | 0.47 | 5.85 | 1603.32 |
| | 7336 | 2600 | 7092.20 | 7095.92 | 7096.17 | 10.46 | 1.14 | 3.72 | 1228.56 | 7098.26 | 7096.17 | 5.27 | 0.45 | 6.06 | 1666.79 |
| | 7335 | 2600 | 7092.00 | 7095.64 | 7096.02 | 11.04 | 1.22 | 3.64 | 1246.66 | 7098.27 | 7096.02 | 5.11 | 0.43 | 6.27 | 1733.43 |
| | 7334 | 2600 | 7091.80 | 7095.81 | 7095.86 | 9.81 | 1.03 | 4.01 | 1230.89 | 7098.28 | 7095.86 | 4.96 | 0.41 | 6.48 | 1802.53 |
| | 7333 | 2600 | 7091.60 | 7095.44 | 7095.72 | 10.65 | 1.15 | 3.84 | 1246.72 | 7098.29 | 7095.71 | 4.83 | 0.39 | 6.69 | 1872.97 |
| | 7332 | 2600 | 7091.40 | 7095.17 | 7095.56 | 11.19 | 1.23 | 3.77 | 1263.96 | 7098.29 | 7095.56 | 4.70 | 0.38 | 6.89 | 1946.54 |
| | 7331 | 2600 | 7091.20 | 7095.34 | 7095.41 | 9.96 | 1.04 | 4.14 | 1247.38 | 7098.30 | 7095.41 | 4.58 | 0.36 | 7.10 | 2021.13 |
| Drop Toe | 7330 | 2600 | 7091.00 | 7094.98 | 7095.25 | 10.79 | 1.16 | 3.98 | 1263.40 | 7098.31 | 7095.25 | 4.47 | 0.35 | 7.31 | 2098.36 |
| | 7329 | 2600 | 7091.00 | 7094.96 | 7095.25 | 10.85 | 1.17 | 3.96 | 1264.56 | 7098.30 | 7095.26 | 4.47 | 0.35 | 7.30 | 2098.11 |
| | 7328 | 2600 | 7091.00 | 7094.94 | 7095.25 | 10.92 | 1.18 | 3.94 | 1265.58 | 7098.30 | 7095.25 | 4.46 | 0.35 | 7.30 | 2098.21 |
| | 7327 | 2600 | 7091.00 | 7094.93 | 7095.25 | 10.94 | 1.18 | 3.93 | 1265.97 | 7098.30 | 7095.25 | 4.46 | 0.35 | 7.30 | 2097.58 |

Jump begins at Sta. 73+45 which is on the drop face, 15' upstream of the drop toe (Sta. 73+30). Calculate minimum drop basin length starting from drop toe:

Hydraulic Jump Length, Figure 15-4 (Chow)

$$F_1 = 1.24 \quad L/Y_2 = 3.5$$

$$Y_2 \text{ (ft)} = 7.31 \quad L \text{ (ft)} = 25.59$$

$$60\%L \text{ (ft)} = 15.35$$

(Minimum required length from toe for protection, minimum Basin Length) = **15.4'** **use 20'**

- Froude No. at beginning of hydraulic jump
- Specific Force (cu ft) at beginning of hydraulic jump (at location where Specific Force (subcritical) > Specific Force (supercritical))
- Maximum Channel Depth (ft) at approximate downstream end of hydraulic jump

Briargate Bridge at Sand Creek
Hydraulic Jump and Basin Length Calculations

4' Drop Structure B (Crest Station 72+55)

| Hec Ras Mixed Flow Analysis (10-year) | | Supercritical Analysis | | | | | | | Subcritical Analysis | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| River Sta | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | Vel Chnl | Froude # Chl | Max Chl Dpth | Specif Force | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | Vel Chnl | Froude # Chl | Max Chl Dpth | Specif Force | |
| | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/s) | | (ft) | (cu ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/s) | | (ft) | (cu ft) | |
| Drop Crest | 7255 | 1200 | 7093.00 | 7094.98 | 7094.98 | 7.56 | 1.00 | 1.98 | 430.85 | 7094.97 | 7094.97 | 7.57 | 1.01 | 1.97 | 430.86 |
| | 7254 | 1200 | 7092.80 | 7094.62 | 7094.82 | 8.55 | 1.19 | 1.82 | 438.99 | 7094.82 | 7094.82 | 7.60 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 433.33 |
| | 7253 | 1200 | 7092.60 | 7094.36 | 7094.66 | 9.15 | 1.30 | 1.76 | 448.88 | 7094.66 | 7094.66 | 7.66 | 1.01 | 2.06 | 436.01 |
| Jump Begins | 7252 | 1200 | 7092.40 | 7094.14 | 7094.50 | 9.59 | 1.38 | 1.74 | 458.36 | 7094.51 | 7094.51 | 7.68 | 1.00 | 2.11 | 438.76 |
| | 7251 | 1200 | 7092.20 | 7094.35 | 7094.35 | 7.70 | 1.00 | 2.15 | 441.66 | 7094.39 | 7094.35 | 7.57 | 0.98 | 2.19 | 441.77 |
| | 7250 | 1200 | 7092.00 | 7093.99 | 7094.20 | 8.72 | 1.19 | 1.99 | 450.43 | 7094.46 | 7094.20 | 6.79 | 0.83 | 2.46 | 451.73 |
| | 7249 | 1200 | 7091.80 | 7093.73 | 7094.04 | 9.32 | 1.29 | 1.93 | 460.78 | 7094.50 | 7094.04 | 6.23 | 0.73 | 2.70 | 468.85 |
| | 7248 | 1200 | 7091.60 | 7093.50 | 7093.88 | 9.80 | 1.38 | 1.90 | 471.20 | 7094.53 | 7093.89 | 5.80 | 0.66 | 2.93 | 490.68 |
| | 7247 | 1200 | 7091.40 | 7093.73 | 7093.73 | 7.88 | 1.01 | 2.33 | 454.37 | 7094.55 | 7093.73 | 5.44 | 0.60 | 3.15 | 516.22 |
| | 7246 | 1200 | 7091.20 | 7093.36 | 7093.57 | 8.89 | 1.18 | 2.16 | 463.35 | 7094.57 | 7093.57 | 5.13 | 0.55 | 3.37 | 544.91 |
| | 7245 | 1200 | 7091.00 | 7093.10 | 7093.42 | 9.47 | 1.28 | 2.10 | 473.72 | 7094.58 | 7093.42 | 4.88 | 0.51 | 3.58 | 575.78 |
| | 7244 | 1200 | 7090.80 | 7093.26 | 7093.26 | 8.01 | 1.01 | 2.46 | 464.66 | 7094.60 | 7093.27 | 4.64 | 0.47 | 3.80 | 609.70 |
| | 7243 | 1200 | 7090.60 | 7092.90 | 7093.11 | 9.01 | 1.18 | 2.29 | 473.81 | 7094.61 | 7093.11 | 4.44 | 0.44 | 4.01 | 645.31 |
| | 7242 | 1200 | 7090.40 | 7092.64 | 7092.95 | 9.58 | 1.27 | 2.24 | 483.78 | 7094.62 | 7092.96 | 4.26 | 0.42 | 4.22 | 683.08 |
| | 7241 | 1200 | 7090.20 | 7092.80 | 7092.80 | 8.13 | 1.00 | 2.60 | 475.60 | 7094.62 | 7092.80 | 4.10 | 0.39 | 4.42 | 721.84 |
| | 7240 | 1200 | 7090.00 | 7092.43 | 7092.65 | 9.14 | 1.17 | 2.43 | 484.70 | 7094.63 | 7092.65 | 3.96 | 0.37 | 4.63 | 762.36 |
| | 7239 | 1200 | 7089.80 | 7092.17 | 7092.49 | 9.73 | 1.26 | 2.37 | 494.89 | 7094.64 | 7092.50 | 3.82 | 0.35 | 4.84 | 804.61 |
| | 7238 | 1200 | 7089.60 | 7092.34 | 7092.34 | 8.29 | 1.01 | 2.74 | 486.94 | 7094.64 | 7092.34 | 3.70 | 0.34 | 5.04 | 846.99 |
| | 7237 | 1200 | 7089.40 | 7091.96 | 7092.18 | 9.30 | 1.17 | 2.56 | 495.95 | 7094.65 | 7092.19 | 3.59 | 0.32 | 5.25 | 891.70 |
| | 7236 | 1200 | 7089.20 | 7091.69 | 7092.03 | 9.94 | 1.26 | 2.48 | 506.56 | 7094.65 | 7092.03 | 3.49 | 0.31 | 5.45 | 936.76 |
| | 7235 | 1200 | 7089.00 | 7091.88 | 7091.88 | 8.43 | 1.00 | 2.88 | 498.25 | 7094.65 | 7091.88 | 3.40 | 0.30 | 5.65 | 982.83 |
| | 7234 | 1200 | 7088.80 | 7091.51 | 7091.72 | 9.40 | 1.15 | 2.71 | 506.42 | 7094.66 | 7091.72 | 3.31 | 0.29 | 5.86 | 1029.37 |
| | 7233 | 1200 | 7088.60 | 7091.23 | 7091.57 | 10.03 | 1.24 | 2.63 | 516.38 | 7094.66 | 7091.57 | 3.23 | 0.28 | 6.06 | 1076.65 |
| | 7232 | 1200 | 7088.40 | 7091.41 | 7091.41 | 8.64 | 1.01 | 3.01 | 509.33 | 7094.66 | 7091.42 | 3.16 | 0.27 | 6.26 | 1125.33 |
| | 7231 | 1200 | 7088.20 | 7091.04 | 7091.26 | 9.58 | 1.14 | 2.84 | 517.30 | 7094.66 | 7091.26 | 3.09 | 0.26 | 6.46 | 1173.67 |
| | 7230 | 1200 | 7088.00 | 7090.77 | 7091.11 | 10.20 | 1.23 | 2.76 | 527.06 | 7094.66 | 7091.11 | 3.02 | 0.25 | 6.66 | 1222.33 |
| | 7229 | 1200 | 7087.80 | 7090.92 | 7090.96 | 8.93 | 1.02 | 3.12 | 520.52 | 7094.67 | 7090.96 | 2.96 | 0.24 | 6.87 | 1271.51 |
| | 7228 | 1200 | 7087.60 | 7090.57 | 7090.81 | 9.79 | 1.15 | 2.97 | 528.58 | 7094.67 | 7090.81 | 2.91 | 0.24 | 7.07 | 1320.91 |
| | 7227 | 1200 | 7087.40 | 7090.31 | 7090.65 | 10.35 | 1.22 | 2.91 | 537.54 | 7094.67 | 7090.66 | 2.85 | 0.23 | 7.27 | 1371.09 |
| | 7226 | 1200 | 7087.20 | 7090.48 | 7090.51 | 9.09 | 1.02 | 3.28 | 531.54 | 7094.67 | 7090.51 | 2.81 | 0.22 | 7.47 | 1419.63 |
| | Drop Toe | 7225 | 1200 | 7087.00 | 7090.14 | 7090.36 | 9.93 | 1.14 | 3.13 | 539.29 | 7094.67 | 7090.36 | 2.76 | 0.22 | 7.67 |
| 7224 | | 1200 | 7087.00 | 7090.13 | 7090.36 | 9.94 | 1.14 | 3.13 | 539.41 | 7094.67 | 7090.36 | 2.76 | 0.22 | 7.67 | 1468.88 |
| 7223 | | 1200 | 7087.00 | 7090.13 | 7090.37 | 9.96 | 1.14 | 3.13 | 539.54 | 7094.67 | 7090.36 | 2.76 | 0.22 | 7.67 | 1468.50 |
| 7222 | | 1200 | 7087.00 | 7090.13 | 7090.36 | 9.97 | 1.14 | 3.13 | 539.61 | 7094.67 | 7090.37 | 2.76 | 0.22 | 7.67 | 1468.44 |

Jump begins at Sta. 72+51 which is on the drop face, 26' upstream of the drop toe (Sta. 72+25). Calculate minimum drop basin length starting from drop toe:

Hydraulic Jump Length, Figure 15-4 (Chow)

$$F_1 = 1.38 \quad L/Y_2 = 3.5$$

$$Y_2 \text{ (ft)} = 7.67 \quad L \text{ (ft)} = 26.85$$

$$60\%L \text{ (ft)} = 16.11$$

(Minimum required length from toe for protection, minimum Basin Length) = **16.1'** **use 20'**

- Froude No. at beginning of hydraulic jump
- Specific Force (cu ft) at beginning of hydraulic jump (at location where Specific Force (subcritical) > Specific Force (supercritical))
- Maximum Channel Depth (ft) at approximate downstream end of hydraulic jump

Briargate Bridge at Sand Creek Hydraulic Jump and Basin Length Calculations

Hydraulic jump locations were calculated using criteria from the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Vol. II, Hydraulic Structures section 2.3.4
 Hydraulic jump lengths were calculated using criteria from the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Vol. II, Hydraulic Structures section 2.3.5
 and from Open Channel Hydraulics by Ven Te Chow
 HEC-RAS was used for the frontwater (supercritical profile analysis) and for the backwater (subcritical profile analysis)

To determine the location of the hydraulic jump, a tailwater elevation has to be established by water surface profile analysis that starts from a downstream control point and works upstream to the drop basin. This backwater analysis is based upon entire cross sections for the downstream waterway. The hydraulic jump, in either the low-flow, trickle channel, or the main drop, will begin to form where the unit specific force of the downstream tailwater is greater than the specific force of the supercritical flow below the drop. Special consideration must be given to submerged hydraulic jumps because it is here that reverse rollers are most common. For submerged jumps, the resulting downstream hydraulics should be evaluated (Cotton 1995).

The determination of the jump location is usually accomplished through the comparison of specific force between supercritical inflow and the downstream subcritical flow (i.e., tailwater) conditions:

$$F = \left(\frac{q^2}{gV} \right) + \left(\frac{y^2}{2} \right) \quad \text{(HS-6)}$$

in which:

F = specific force (ft²)

q = unit discharge (determined at crest, for low-flow, trickle, and main channel zones) (cfs/ft)

y = depth at analysis point (ft)

g = acceleration of gravity = 32.2 ft/sec²

The depth, y , for downstream specific energy determination is the tailwater water surface elevation minus the ground elevation at the point of interest, which is typically the main basin elevation or the trickle channel invert (if the jump is to occur in the basin). The depth, for the upstream specific energy (supercritical flow), is the supercritical flow depth at the point in question.




FIG. 15-4. Length in terms of sequent depth y_2 of jumps in horizontal channels. (Based on data and recommendations of U.S. Bureau of Reclamation [34].)

Figure 15-4 (Chow), Used to determine the length of the hydraulic jump

**Briargate Bridge at Sand Creek
Seepage Analysis and Cutoff Wall Calculations**

Seepage Analysis (Lane's Weighted Creep Method Calculation)

| Location | C _w | Weep Drain System | C _w | H _s | Drop Height | | | | L _H | Required L _{v-calc} | L _{v-Struct} | L _v Difference L _{v-calc} and L _{v-Struct} | Additional Calculated Cut off Wall Depth | Additional Cut off Wall Depth |
|------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | L _a | L _f | L _s | | | | | | |
| Sta. 73+70 | 1.6 | Yes | 1.4 | 4.5 ft | 4.0 ft | 10.0ft | 30.0ft | 20.0ft | 60.0 ft | -13.5 ft | 7.0 ft | 0.0 ft | 0.0 ft | 0 ft |
| Sta. 72+65 | 1.6 | Yes | 1.4 | 3.3 ft | 4.0 ft | 10.0ft | 30.0ft | 20.0ft | 60.0 ft | -15.2 ft | 7.0 ft | 0.0 ft | 0.0 ft | 0 ft |

Equations:

$$C_w = [(L_H/3) + L_v] / H_s \text{ (USDGM Eqn 9-5)}$$

C_w = Lane's Weighted Creep Ratio

Table 9-3: Lane's Weighted Creep Recommended Ratios (USDGM)

C_w = 8.5 Very fine sand or silt

C_w = 7.0 Fine Sand

C_w = 6.0 Medium Sand

C_w = 5.0 Coarse Sand

C_w = 4.0 Fine Gravel

C_w = 3.0 Coarse gravel including cobbles or Soft Clay

C_w = 2.0 Medium Clay

C_w = 1.8 Hard Clay

C_w = 1.6 Very Hard Clay or hardpan

Weep Drain System: 10% Reduction in C_w if weep drain system is used

H_s = Head Differential between analysis points -- Taken from HEC-Ras

Drop Height = Difference between Crest and Sill

L_H = Sum of the Horizontal Creep Distances (Less than 45 degrees)

$$L_H = L_a + L_f + L_s$$

L_a = Approach Length

L_s = Length of stilling basin (Toe to Sill)

L_f = Drop Face Length (Crest to Toe)

L_v = Sum of the Vertical Creep Distances (Steeper than 45 degrees)

L_{v-Struct} = Vertical creep distances of structure w/o cut off wall

Additional Calculated Cutoff Wall Depth = Half of L_v Difference if Sheet Pile

2.3.7 Evaluate Additional Return Period Flow Rates

Evaluate the design flow and then assess additional return-period flow rates, as appropriate. For all flows, the actual downstream tailwater should be greater than the tailwater required to force a hydraulic jump to start near the toe of the drop structure face. When this condition is met for a range of events a stilling basin length of 60% of the hydraulic jump length should be adequate.

2.3.8 Rock Sizing for Drop Approach and Downstream of End Sill

Calculate the appropriate rock size for the drop approach and downstream of the end sill. The hydraulic conditions at the approach include the acceleration effects of the upstream drawdown as the water approaches the drop crest. Turbulence generated from the hydraulic jump will impact the area downstream of the end sill. Determine riprap size using the equations provided in the *Open Channels* chapter for channel lining. Because normal depth conditions do not exist upstream and downstream of the drop structure, refer to the HEC-RAS output and use the energy grade line slope (rather than channel slope) to determine the appropriate riprap size.

Riprap at the approach and downstream of the end sill should be a minimum D_{50} of 12-inches, or larger as determined using the channel lining equation in the *Open Channels* chapter. Use either void-filled or soil-filled riprap in these areas.

2.4 Seepage Control

2.4.1 Introduction

Subgrade erosion caused by seepage and structure failures caused by high seepage pressures or inadequate mass are two failure modes of critical concern.

Seepage analyses can range from hand-drawn flow nets to computerized groundwater flow modeling. Use advanced geotechnical field and laboratory testing techniques confirm permeability values where complicated seepage problems are anticipated. Several flow net analysis programs are currently available that are suitable for this purpose. Full description of flow net analysis is beyond the scope of the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (USDCM). Referred to Cedergren 1967; USBR 1987; and Taylor 1967 for more information and instruction in the use of flow net analysis techniques. See Section 2.4.3 for Lane's Weighted Creep method, a simplified approach.

2.4.2 Weep Drains

Install weep drains in all grade control structures greater than 5 feet in net height or as recommended by the geotechnical engineer. Weep drains assist in reducing the uplift pressure on a structure by providing a location for groundwater to escape safely through a filter. For concept, see Figure 9-10. Weep drains should be placed outside of the low-flow path of the structure and spaced to provide adequate relief of subsurface pressures.

2.4.3 Lane's Weighted Creep Method

As a minimum level of analysis and as a first order of estimation, Lane's Weighted Creep (Lane's) Method can be used to identify probable seepage problems, evaluate the need for control measures, and estimate rough uplift forces. It is not as definitive as the flow net analyses mentioned above. Lane's method was proposed by E.W. Lane in 1935. This method was removed from the 1987 revision of *Design of Small Dams* (USBR 1987), possibly indicating greater use of flow net and computer modeling

methods or perhaps for other reasons not documented. Although Lane's method is relatively well founded, it is a guideline, and when marginal conditions or complicated geological conditions exist, use the more sophisticated flow-net analysis.

The essential elements of Lane's method are as follows:

1. The weighted-creep distance through a cross section of a structure is the sum of the vertical creep distances, L_v (along contact surfaces steeper than 45 degrees), plus one-third of the horizontal creep distances, L_H (along contact surfaces less than 45 degrees).
2. The weighted-creep head ratio is defined as:

$$C_w = \frac{\left(\frac{L_H}{3} + L_v\right)}{H_s} \quad \text{Equation 9-5}$$

Where:

C_w = creep ratio

H_s = differential head between analysis points (ft)

3. Reverse filter drains, weep holes, and pipe drains help to reduce seepage problems, and recommended creep head ratios may be reduced as much as 10% if they are used.
4. In the case where two vertical cutoffs are used, then Equation 9-6 should be used along with Equation 9-2 to check the short path between the bottom of the vertical cutoffs.

$$C_{w2} = \frac{(L_{v-US} + 2L_{H-C} + L_{v-DS})}{H_s} \quad \text{Equation 9-6}$$

Where:

C_{w2} = creep ratio where two vertical cutoffs are used

L_{v-US} = vertical distance on the upstream side of the upstream cutoff (ft)

L_{v-DS} = vertical distance on the downstream side of the downstream cutoff (ft)

L_{H-C} = horizontal distance between the two vertical cutoffs (ft)

5. If there are seepage lengths upstream or downstream of the cutoffs, they should be treated in the numerator of Equation 9-6 similar to Equation 9-5. Seepage is controlled by increasing the total seepage length such that C_w or C_{w2} is raised to the value listed in Table 9-3. Test soils during design and again during construction.
6. Estimate the upward pressure in design by assuming that the drop in uplift pressure from headwater to tailwater along the contact line of the drop structure is proportional to the weighted-creep distance.

Table 9-3. Lane's weighted creep: Recommended minimum ratios

| Material | Ratio |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Very fine sand or silt | 8.5 |
| Fine sand | 7.0 |
| Medium sand | 6.0 |
| Coarse sand | 5.0 |
| Fine gravel | 4.0 |
| Medium gravel | 3.0 |
| Coarse gravel including cobbles | 3.0 |
| Boulders with some cobbles and gravel | 3.0 |
| Soft clay | 3.0 |
| Medium clay | 2.0 |
| Hard clay | 1.8 |
| Very hard clay or hardpan | 1.6 |

2.4.4 Foundation/Seepage Control Systems

As a general rule, groundwater flow cutoffs should not be installed at the downstream ends of drop structures. They can cause greater hydraulic uplift forces than would exist without a downstream cutoff. The design goal is to relieve the hydrostatic pressures along the structure and not to block the groundwater flow and cause higher pressures to build up.

The hydraulic engineer must calculate hydraulic loadings that can occur for a variety of conditions such as dominant low flows, flood flows, design flows and other critical loading scenarios. A geotechnical engineer should combine this information with the on-site soils information to determine foundation requirements. Both engineers should work with a structural engineer to establish final loading diagrams and to determine and size structural components.

The designer needs to be cognizant of field conditions that may affect construction of a drop structure, including site water control and foundation moisture and compaction. A common problem is destabilization of the foundation soils by rapid local dewatering of fine-grained, erosive soils or soils with limited hydraulic conductivity. Since subsurface water control during construction is so critical to the successful installation of a drop structure, the designer needs to develop ways to ensure that the contractor adequately manages subsurface water conditions.

During construction, check design assumptions in the field including the actual subgrade condition with respect to seepage control assumptions be inspected and field verified. Ideally, the engineer who established the design assumptions and calculated the required cutoffs should inspect the cutoff for each drop structure and adjust the cutoff for the actual conditions encountered. For example, if the inspection of a cutoff trench reveals a sandy substrate rather than clay, the designer may choose to extend the cutoff trench, or specify a different cutoff type. Pre-construction soil testing is an advisable precaution to minimize changes and avoid failures.

Proper dewatering in construction will also improve conditions for construction structures. See Fact Sheet SM-08, Temporary Diversion Methods, located in Volume 3 of this manual.

**Briargate Bridge at Sand Creek
Riprap and Boulder Design Calculations**

| Station | Description | Riprap or Boulder | Straight or Curved Section | Flow Velocity | Channel Slope | For Curved Sections | | | Velocity for Calc | Super-elevation dY | Rock Sizing Parameter | Calculated Riprap Type | Calculated Boulder Size | Riprap or Boulder Classification | Note |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| | | | | | | rc | T | V _a | | | | | | | |
| 73+80 | Upstream of Upper Drop Crest | Riprap | Curve | 8.1ft/sec | 1.40% | 500ft | 112ft | 12.3ft/sec | 12.3ft/sec | 0.23ft | 4.5 | M | --- | M | 1 |
| 73+10 | Downstream of Upper Drop Sill | Riprap | Curve | 5.5ft/sec | 0.20% | 500ft | 116ft | 8.5ft/sec | 8.5ft/sec | 0.11ft | 2.2 | VL | --- | M | 2 |
| 72+75 | Upstream of Lower Drop Crest | Riprap | Curve | 5.9ft/sec | 0.20% | 500ft | 113ft | 9.0ft/sec | 9.0ft/sec | 0.12ft | 2.3 | VL | --- | M | 1 |
| 72+05 | Downstream of Lower Drop Sill | Riprap | Straight | 5.9ft/sec | 0.20% | | | | 5.9ft/sec | | 1.5 | VL | --- | M | 2 |
| 69+34 | Culvert Protection | Riprap | Straight | 12.1ft/sec | 0.20% | | | | 12.1ft/sec | | 3.2 | VL | --- | M | 3 |
| 73+60 | Upper Drop Structure | Boulder | Straight | 10.1ft/sec | 20.0% | | | | 10.1ft/sec | | 5.8 | --- | B30 | B30 | |
| 72+55 | Lower Drop Structure | Boulder | Straight | 7.6ft/sec | 20.0% | | | | 7.6ft/sec | | 4.3 | --- | B24 | B24 | |

Equations:

$$\text{Rock Sizing Parameter} = VS^{0.17}/(G_s-1)^{0.66}$$

V = Mean channel flow velocity for Riprap Sizing

V = Critical Velocity for Grouted Boulder Sizing

S = Longitudinal channel slope

G_s = Specific Gravity of stone (minimum G_s = 2.50)

G_s = 2.55 (UDFCD Recommended) (2'x3' is about 1 ton, able to be moved by skid steer)

$$G_s = 2.55$$

Equations taken from UDFCD USDCM (Eqn MD-13 & HS-9) and City of Colorado Springs & El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual

$$v_a = (-0.147 r_c/T + 2.176)V \text{ (Eqn UDFCD MD-10)}$$

V_a = Adjusted channel velocity for riprap sizing along outside of channel bends

r_c = channel centerline radius

T = Top width of water during the major design flood

$$\text{Superelevation (dY)} = V^2T/2gr_c \text{ (Eqn UDFCD MD-9)}$$

V = Mean channel flow velocity

T = Top Width of the channel under design flow conditions

g = Gravitational constant = 32.2 ft/sec²

r_c = channel centerline radius

- Notes:**
1. Type M Riprap is minimum size recommended for areas immediately upstream of drop structures (water surface drawdown area).
 2. Type M Riprap is minimum size recommended for areas immediately downstream of drop structures (hydraulic jump area).
 3. Type M Riprap is minimum size recommended for channel lining through and downstream of culvert.

| Rock Sizing Parameter | Riprap Type | D50 | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| 0.00 | 3.29 | VL | 6 inches |
| 3.30 | 3.99 | L | 9 inches |
| 4.00 | 4.59 | M | 12 inches |
| 4.60 | 5.59 | H | 18 inches |
| 5.60 | 6.40 | VH | 24 inches |

| Rock Sizing Parameter | Grouted Boulder Classification | Grouted Boulder Min. Dimension | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 0.00 | 4.49 | B18 | 18 inches |
| 4.50 | 4.99 | B18 | 18 inches |
| 5.00 | 5.59 | B24 | 24 inches |
| 5.60 | 6.39 | B30 | 30 inches |
| 6.40 | 6.99 | B36 | 36 inches |
| 7.00 | 7.49 | B42 | 42 inches |
| 7.50 | 8.00 | B48 | 48 inches |

8.1 Riprap Sizing

Procedures for sizing rock to be used in soil riprap, void-filled riprap, and riprap over bedding are the same.

8.1.1 Mild Slope Conditions

When subcritical flow conditions occur and/or slopes are mild (less than 2 percent), UDFCD recommends the following equation (Hughes, et al, 1983):

$$d_{50} \geq \left[\frac{VS^{0.17}}{4.5(G_s - 1)^{0.66}} \right]^2 \quad \text{Equation 8-11}$$

Where:

V = mean channel velocity (ft/sec)

S = longitudinal channel slope (ft/ft)

d_{50} = mean rock size (ft)

G_s = specific gravity of stone (minimum = 2.50, typically 2.5 to 2.7), Note: In this equation ($G_s - 1$) considers the buoyancy of the water, in that the specific gravity of water is subtracted from the specific gravity of the rock.

Note that Equation 8-11 is applicable for sizing riprap for channel lining with a longitudinal slope of no more than 2%. This equation is not intended for use in sizing riprap for steep slopes (typically in excess of 2 percent), rundowns, or protection downstream of culverts. Information on rundowns is provided in Section 7.0 of the *Hydraulic Structures* chapter of the USDCM, and protection downstream of culverts is discussed in the *Culverts and Bridges* chapter. For channel slopes greater than 2% use one of the methods presented in 8.1.2.

Rock size does not need to be increased for steeper channel side slopes, provided the side slopes are no steeper than 2.5H:1V (UDFCD 1982). Channel side slopes steeper than 2.5H:1V are not recommended because of stability, safety, and maintenance considerations. See Figure 8-34 for riprap placement specifications. At the upstream and downstream termination of a riprap lining, the thickness should be increased 50% for at least 3 feet to prevent undercutting.

8.1.2 Steep Slope Conditions

Steep slope rock sizing equations are used for applications where the slope is greater than 2 percent and/or flows are in the supercritical flow regime. The following rock sizing equations may be referred to for riprap design analysis on steep slopes:

- CSU Equation, *Development of Riprap Design Criteria by Riprap Testing in Flumes: Phase II* (prepared by S.R. Abt, et al, Colorado State University, 1988). This method was developed for steep slopes from 2 to 20 percent.
- USDA- Agricultural Research Service Equations, *Design of Rock Chutes* (by K.M. Robinson, et al, USDA- ARS, 1998 Transactions of ASAE) and *An Excel Program to Design Rock Chutes for Grade*

**DESIGN OF ROADSIDE CHANNELS
WITH FLEXIBLE LININGS**

Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 15

Prepared By

Simons, Li & Associates, Inc.
3555 Stanford Road
P.O. Box 1816
Fort Collins, Colorado 80522

For

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

October 25, 1985

Table 4.1. Permissible Shear Stresses for Lining Materials.

| Lining Category | Lining Type | Permissible Unit Shear Stress (lb/ft ²) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|
| Temporary | Woven Paper Net | 0.15 |
| | Jute Net | 0.45 |
| | Fiberglass Roving* | 0.75 |
| | Straw and Erosion Net | 1.45 ← OB slope |
| | Curled Wood Mat (TRM) | 1.55 ← bench |
| | Nylon Mat | 2.00 |
| Vegetative | Class A | 3.70 |
| | Class B | 2.10 ← OB slope |
| | Class C | 1.00 |
| | Class D | 0.60 |
| | Class E | 0.35 |
| Gravel Riprap | 1-inch | 0.40 |
| | 2-inch | 0.80 |
| Rock Riprap | 6-inch | 2.50 |
| | 12-inch | 5.00 |


* single and double applications

TABLE 3.1.--Classification of vegetal covers as to degree of retardance (6)

Note: Covers classified have been tested in experimental channels.
Covers were green and generally uniform.

| Retardance | Cover | Condition |
|--|---|--|
| A | Weeping lovegrass | Excellent stand, tall, (average 30") |
| | Yellow bluestem <i>Ischaemum</i> .. | Excellent stand, tall, (average 36") |
| B | Kudzu | Very dense growth, uncut |
| | Bermudagrass | Good stand, tall (average 12") |
| | Native grass mixture (little bluestem, blue grama, and other long and short mid-west grasses) | Good stand, unmowed |
| | Weeping lovegrass | Good stand, tall, (average 24") |
| | Lespedeza sericea | Good stand, not woody, tall (average 19") |
| | Alfalfa | Good stand, uncut, (average 11") |
| | Weeping lovegrass | Good stand, mowed, (average 13") |
| | Kudzu | Dense growth, uncut |
| | Blue grama | Good stand, uncut, (average 13") |
| | C | Crabgrass |
| Bermudagrass | | Good stand, mowed (average 6") |
| Common lespedeza | | Good stand, uncut (average 11") |
| Grass-legume mixture--summer (orchard grass, redbop, Italian ryegrass, and common lespedeza) | | Good stand, uncut (6 to 8 inches) |
| Centipedegrass | | Very dense cover (average 6 inches) |
| Kentucky bluegrass | | Good stand, headed (6 to 12 inches) |
| D | Bermudagrass | Good stand, cut to 2.5-inch height |
| | Common lespedeza | Excellent stand, uncut (average 4.5") |
| | Buffalograss | Good stand, uncut (3 to 6 inches) |
| | Grass-legume mixture--fall, spring (Orchardgrass, redbop, Italian ryegrass, and common lespedeza) | Good stand, uncut (4 to 5 inches) |
| E | Lespedeza sericea | After cutting to 2-inch height. Very good stand before cutting. |
| | Bermudagrass | Good stand, cut to 1.5 inches height |
| | Bermudagrass | Burned stubble. |


Appendix D: HECRAS Hydraulic Modelling











2021 Sand Creek at Briargate Pkwy Plan: Proposed Profiles 3/7/2022





Sand Creek Alignment - (1)





| Legend | |
|----------|---|
| WS 500yr | x |
| WS 100yr | ▼ |
| WS 50yr | ▲ |
| WS DP-69 | ■ |
| WS 10yr | ■ |
| Ground | ■ |

















HEC-RAS Plan: Proposed Profiles River: Sand Creek Reach: Alignment - (1) (Continued)

| Reach | River Sta | Profile | Q Total (cfs) | Min Ch El (ft) | W.S. Elev (ft) | Crit W.S. (ft) | E.G. Elev (ft) | E.G. Slope (ft/ft) | Vel Chnl (ft/s) | Flow Area (sq ft) | Top Width (ft) | Froude # Chl |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Alignment - (1) | 7175 | 100yr | 2600.00 | 7089.00 | 7097.31 | 7093.80 | 7097.86 | 0.002281 | 5.94 | 437.75 | 69.00 | 0.38 |
| Alignment - (1) | 7175 | 500yr | 3800.00 | 7089.00 | 7099.40 | 7094.94 | 7100.12 | 0.002130 | 6.77 | 561.11 | 69.00 | 0.39 |
| Alignment - (1) | 7175 | DP-69 | 1870.00 | 7089.00 | 7095.86 | 7093.04 | 7096.30 | 0.002435 | 5.31 | 352.24 | 69.00 | 0.38 |
| Alignment - (1) | 7039 | | Culvert | | | | | | | | | |
| Alignment - (1) | 6929 | 10yr | 1200.00 | 7088.70 | 7092.02 | 7092.02 | 7093.39 | 0.016698 | 9.38 | 128.00 | 54.15 | 0.99 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6929 | 50yr | 2100.00 | 7088.70 | 7093.26 | 7093.26 | 7095.25 | 0.014825 | 11.32 | 185.58 | 60.80 | 1.00 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6929 | 100yr | 2600.00 | 7088.70 | 7093.88 | 7093.88 | 7096.17 | 0.014059 | 12.13 | 214.33 | 60.80 | 0.99 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6929 | 500yr | 3800.00 | 7088.70 | 7095.23 | 7095.23 | 7098.16 | 0.012821 | 13.73 | 276.69 | 60.80 | 0.99 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6929 | DP-69 | 1870.00 | 7088.70 | 7092.97 | 7092.97 | 7094.81 | 0.015113 | 10.87 | 172.10 | 60.80 | 0.99 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6889 | 10yr | 1200.00 | 7088.62 | 7091.39 | 7091.39 | 7092.53 | 0.008292 | 8.55 | 140.31 | 62.27 | 1.00 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6889 | 50yr | 2100.00 | 7088.62 | 7092.48 | 7092.48 | 7093.99 | 0.007597 | 9.86 | 213.06 | 71.41 | 1.01 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6889 | 100yr | 2600.00 | 7088.62 | 7092.99 | 7092.99 | 7094.66 | 0.007309 | 10.36 | 250.93 | 75.74 | 1.00 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6889 | 500yr | 3800.00 | 7088.62 | 7094.01 | 7094.01 | 7096.05 | 0.006930 | 11.44 | 332.55 | 84.74 | 1.01 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6889 | DP-69 | 1870.00 | 7088.62 | 7092.23 | 7092.23 | 7093.65 | 0.007687 | 9.56 | 195.68 | 69.34 | 1.00 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6760 | 10yr | 1200.00 | 7088.36 | 7090.27 | 7090.27 | 7091.17 | 0.008948 | 7.60 | 158.00 | 88.65 | 1.00 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6760 | 50yr | 2100.00 | 7088.36 | 7091.10 | 7091.10 | 7092.33 | 0.008119 | 8.89 | 236.98 | 102.43 | 1.01 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6760 | 100yr | 2600.00 | 7088.36 | 7091.53 | 7091.53 | 7092.88 | 0.007224 | 9.33 | 284.07 | 119.86 | 0.97 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6760 | 500yr | 3800.00 | 7088.36 | 7092.42 | 7092.42 | 7093.99 | 0.005995 | 10.19 | 402.48 | 147.77 | 0.93 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6760 | DP-69 | 1870.00 | 7088.36 | 7090.93 | 7090.93 | 7092.06 | 0.008227 | 8.52 | 219.59 | 99.45 | 1.00 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6379 | 10yr | 1200.00 | 7080.17 | 7084.22 | 7084.22 | 7084.79 | 0.010759 | 6.10 | 196.76 | 177.29 | 1.02 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6379 | 50yr | 2100.00 | 7080.17 | 7084.81 | 7084.75 | 7085.54 | 0.008261 | 6.87 | 307.62 | 196.00 | 0.95 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6379 | 100yr | 2600.00 | 7080.17 | 7085.10 | 7084.99 | 7085.90 | 0.007296 | 7.21 | 365.05 | 200.02 | 0.92 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6379 | 500yr | 3800.00 | 7080.17 | 7085.71 | 7085.52 | 7086.68 | 0.006131 | 7.94 | 489.73 | 208.73 | 0.88 |
| Alignment - (1) | 6379 | DP-69 | 1870.00 | 7080.17 | 7084.64 | 7084.64 | 7085.37 | 0.009598 | 6.87 | 273.48 | 193.39 | 1.00 |

Appendix E: Contech Bridge Design Analysis

Finite Element Analysis Report by CANDE (Culvert Analysis and Design)

Sterling Ranch Colorado Springs

Merlin# 635632

Colorado Springs, Colorado

March 2, 2022

The purpose of this report is to present the study of how a BridgeCor structure is expected to behave with the site conditions including soils information. A CANDE analysis was performed assuming the soil conditions based on provided information and some assumptions, which are summarized on the following pages. This report will examine: combined thrust and moment, seam strength, wall area, global buckling, and deflection, and unfactored footing reactions. The analysis was in accordance with the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification.

Structure:

Maximum Span: 43'-0"
Bottom Span: 41'-11"
Rise: 26'-4"
Design cover: 7'-0"
Gage: 5

Summary:

- a. Load Factors: 1.75 for Live Load and 1.50 for Dead Load
- b. Modified Load Factors: 1.05 for Live Load (Multiple Presence Factor)
- c. For this structure, HL-93 design truck (32,000 pound axles spaced at 14 feet) and HL-93 tandem (25,000 pound axles spaced at 4 feet) loading were used as live load. The HL-93 design truck governed. As required by AASHTO, the combination of loads was the factored Dead Load plus the factored Live Load, which is determined as the controlling load case.
- d. Resistance Factors: Plastic Hinge Resistance Factor (ϕ_h) = 0.90, Wall Area and Buckling Resistance Factor (ϕ_w) = 0.70, Seam Strength Resistance Factor (ϕ_{SS}) = 0.67.
- e. Properties: Area of the Wall Cross-Section = 0.3003 in.²/in., Moment of Inertia = 1.1436 in.⁴/in., Section Modulus = 0.3741 in.³/in., Plastic Section Modulus = 0.5224 in.³/in.
- f. Profile of the BridgeCor deep corrugated plate (See next page for profile and data table). Profile is 15" Pitch and 5.5" Depth.
- g. Density of the backfill soil on top of the structure = 120 pcf (pounds per cubic foot)
- h. Density of the soil outside of the excavation of the arches = 120 pcf (pounds per cubic foot)
- i. Calculations of the Live loads, dead loads, etc.: See the following summary report.



Product Details and Fabrication





Table 2.14

Sectional properties of 15 x 5 1/2 in. (Annular)

| Specified Thickness | Uncoated Thickness T | Area of Section A | Tangent Length T_L | Tangent Angle Δ | Moment of Inertia I | Section Modulus S | Radius of Gyration r | Developed Width Factor |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| (in.) | (in.) | (in. ² /ft) | (in.) | (Degrees) | (in. ⁴ /in) | (in. ³ /ft) | (in.) | |
| 0.140 | 0.1345 | 2.260 | 4.361 | 49.75 | 0.7146 | 2.8406 | 1.9481 | 1.400 |
| 0.170 | 0.1644 | 2.762 | 4.323 | 49.89 | 0.8746 | 3.4602 | 1.9494 | 1.400 |
| 0.188 | 0.1838 | 3.088 | 4.299 | 49.99 | 0.9786 | 3.8599 | 1.9502 | 1.400 |
| 0.218 | 0.2145 | 3.604 | 4.259 | 50.13 | 1.1436 | 4.4888 | 1.9515 | 1.400 |
| 0.249 | 0.2451 | 4.118 | 4.220 | 50.28 | 1.3084 | 5.1114 | 1.9527 | 1.400 |
| 0.280 | 0.2758 | 4.633 | 4.179 | 50.43 | 1.4722 | 5.7317 | 1.9540 | 1.400 |
| 0.193 | 0.1875 | 3.150 | 4.293 | 50.00 | 0.9985 | 3.9359 | 1.9503 | 1.400 |
| 0.255 | 0.2500 | 4.200 | 4.213 | 50.31 | 1.3349 | 5.2107 | 1.9529 | 1.400 |
| 0.318 | 0.3125 | 5.250 | 4.131 | 50.62 | 1.6730 | 6.4678 | 1.9555 | 1.400 |
| 0.380 | 0.3750 | 6.300 | 4.047 | 50.94 | 2.0128 | 7.7076 | 1.9580 | 1.400 |

- Notes: 1. Per foot of projection about the neutral axis.
To obtain A or S per *inch* of width, divide the above values by 12.
2. Developed width factor measures the increase in profile length due to corrugating.
Dimensions are subject to manufacturing tolerances.

CANDE Generated Cross Section



Single Radius Arch Bridge Cor: 58S 41'-11" Bottom Span x 26'-4" Rise (Inside Dimensions) Gage: 5

Height of cover above crown: 7'-0"


- Red mesh: Assumed: Isotropic-linear elastic, Young's modulus = 3,000 psi, Poisson's ratio = 0.30, density = 1 pcf (Density assumed to be 1 pcf to represent existing, consolidated soil – modeled to approximate no displacement)
- Green mesh: Embankment fill (assumed): Duncan/Selig SM90 , Density = 120 pcf
- Yellow mesh: Select backfill (assumed): Backfill width = 8'-0" , Duncan/Selig SW95, Density = 120 pcf
- Orange mesh: Reinforced concrete footing (assumed): Isotropic-linear elastic, Young's modulus = 3,500,000 psi, Poisson's ratio = 0.18, Density = 150 pcf
- Green boundary point: Displacement restricted in the vertical direction
- Blue boundary point: Displacement restricted in the horizontal direction
- Red boundary point: Force above crown of arch representing 32,000 pound, HL-93 Design Truck live load

*Design Criterion Summary:

- Wall Thrust Resistance Ratio = $32.77 / 111.0 = 0.295 < 1.00$ OK
- Global Buckling Resistance Ratio = $2.73103 / 10.96 = 0.249 < 1.00$ OK
- Seam Thrust Resistance Ratio = $32.77 / 85.09 = 0.385 < 1.00$ OK
- Combined Thrust & Moment Ratio = $0.732 < 1.00$ OK

*See sections below for more on calculations

CANDE Unfactored Thrust Reactions



Base Angle: -12.95 degrees

Unfactored Vertical Footing Reaction: $R_V = \cos (-12.95) \times 2925.56 \times 12 = 34,214 \text{ lbs/ft}$

Unfactored Horizontal Footing Reaction: $R_H = \sin (-12.95) \times 2925.56 \times 12 = -7,867 \text{ lbs/ft}$

Notes:

- Each node represents a location along perimeter of cross-section
- Unfactored reactions are for each leg

AASHTO 12.8.9.5 (Combined Thrust & Moment Resistance) requires deep-corrugated metal plate structures to be analyzed using a finite element analysis. The results from the analysis are then used to compute a combined thrust and moment ratio (Combined T&M Ratio):

$$\text{Combined T\&M Ratio} = (T_f/R_t)^2 + M_u/M_n \leq 1.00$$


$$(\text{Factored thrust} / \text{Factored Thrust Resistance})^2 + (\text{Factored moment} / \text{Factored Moment Resistance}) \leq 1.00$$

The factored thrust resistance is the minimum yield point ($F_y = 44,000$ psi) multiplied by the area of wall cross-section (0.3003 in²/in for 5 gage) multiplied by the plastic hinge resistance factor (0.90). The factored moment resistance is the plastic moment capacity (23070 lbs-in/in for 5 gage) multiplied by the plastic hinge resistance factor. Refer to the NCSA Design manual (Table 2.14, pg. 37) for cross-section properties of BridgeCor.


The following graphs show the bending moments and thrust forces along the cross-section of the BridgeCor structure. The x-axis correlates to the distance along the perimeter of the cross-section of the Single Radius Arch BridgeCor structure in inches.

$$\text{Combined Thrust \& Moment Ratio} = (1763.55/(44,000 \times 0.3003 \times 0.90))^2 + (14744.5/(23070 \times 0.90)) = 0.732 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$

Bending moment(lb-in/in): Load steps 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,



Thrust force(lb/in): Load steps 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,



CANDE Controlling Node Output

| NODE | X-COORD Y-COORD | X-DISP. Y-DISP. | N-PRES. S-PRES. | MOMENT THRUST | MAX-STRESS HOOP-STRESS | SHEAR S-STRESS |
|------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 20 | 0.00 319.17 | -0.141E-01 0.805E+00 | -0.375E+01 -0.498E-01 | -0.147E+05 -0.176E+04 | -0.440E+05 -0.587E+04 | -0.338E+01 -0.113E+02 |
| 39 | 254.12 0.00 | 0.326E+00 -0.246E+01 | -0.777E+01 -0.130E+01 | -0.161E-10 -0.277E+04 | -0.923E+04 -0.923E+04 | -0.143E+03 -0.476E+03 |

AASHTO Section 12.8.9.6 (Global Buckling) requires that the factored thrust in the culvert wall under the final installed condition shall not exceed the nominal resistance to general buckling capacity of the culvert, computed as:

$$R_b, \text{ nominal axial force in culvert wall to cause general buckling} = 1.2\Phi_b C_n (E_m I_p)^{1/3} (\Phi_s M_s K_b)^{2/3} R_h$$

Φ_b , resistance factor for general buckling = 0.70

C_n , scalar calibration factor to account for some nonlinear effects = 0.55

E_m , modulus of elasticity of pipe wall material = 29000 ksi

I_p , moment of inertia of stiffened culvert wall per unit length = 1.144 in⁴/in

Φ_s , resistance factor for soil = 0.9

γ , soil density = 120 pcf

R_{sp} , rise above springline = 258 inches

$P_{sp} = 0.5\gamma R_{sp} = 8.96$ psi

M_s , constrained modulus of embedment computed = 2.92 ksi based on the free field vertical stress at a depth

halfway between the top and springline of the

structure (Table 12.12.3.5-1)

ν , Poisson's ratio of soil = 0.30

$K_b = (1-2\nu)/(1-\nu^2) = 0.44$

R_h , correction factor for backfill geometry = 11.4/(11+S/H) = 0.67

S, culvert span = 503 inches

H, depth of fill over top of culvert = 84 inches

$$R_b = 1.2 \times 0.70 \times 0.55 \times (29000 \times 1.144)^{1/3} (0.9 \times 2.92 \times 0.44)^{2/3} (0.67) = 10.96 \text{ kips/in}$$

$$R_b = 10.96 \text{ kips/in} > \text{Max Factored Buckling Thrust} = 2.73103 \text{ kips/in}$$

$$\text{Global Buckling Resistance Ratio} = 2.73103 / 10.96 = 0.249 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$

Table 12.12.3.5-1—M. Based on Soil Type and Compaction Condition

| P_{sp} Stress Level (psi) | Sn-100 (ksi) | Sn-95 (ksi) | Sn-90 (ksi) | Sn-85 (ksi) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1.0 | 2.350 | 2.000 | 1.275 | 0.470 |
| 5.0 | 3.450 | 2.600 | 1.500 | 0.520 |
| 10.0 | 4.200 | 3.000 | 1.625 | 0.570 |
| 20.0 | 5.500 | 3.450 | 1.800 | 0.650 |
| 40.0 | 7.500 | 4.250 | 2.100 | 0.825 |
| 60.0 | 9.300 | 5.000 | 2.500 | 1.000 |
| P_{sp} Stress Level (psi) | | Si-95 (ksi) | Si-90 (ksi) | Si-85 (ksi) |

AASHTO Section 12.7.2.3 (Wall Resistance) requires the wall resistance to be greater than the factored thrust.

The wall resistance is defined as:

$$R_w = \Phi_w F_y A_w$$

$$A_w = \text{wall area (in}^2\text{/ft)} = 3.604 \text{ in}^2\text{/ft}$$

$$F_y = \text{yield strength of metal} = 44 \text{ ksi}$$

$$R_w = \Phi_w F_y A_w = 0.70 \times 44 \times 3.604 = 111.0 \text{ kips/ft} > \text{Max Factored Material Thrust} = 32.77 \text{ kips/ft}$$

$$\text{Wall Thrust Resistance Ratio} = 32.77 / 111.0 = 0.295 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$

AASHTO Section 12.7.2.5 (Seam Strength) requires the factored seam strength to be greater than the factored thrust.

The factored seam strength is defined as:

$$R_s = \Phi_{ss} SS$$

$$\Phi_{ss}, \text{ Seam Strength} = 0.67 \text{ (AASHTO Table 12.5.5-1)}$$

$$SS = \text{Seam Strength} = 127 \text{ kips/ft (from Table 7.4B on page 376 of the NCSA Design Manual).}$$

$$R_s = 0.67 \times 127 = 85.09 \text{ kips/ft} > \text{Max Factored Seam Thrust} = 32.77 \text{ kips/ft}$$

$$\text{Seam Thrust Resistance Ratio} = 32.77 / 85.09 = 0.385 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$


CANDE Output Summary for controlling load step

ASSESSMENT SUMMARY STEEL-GROUP 1, LOAD-STEP 19

LRFD STRENGTH-LIMIT RATIOS AT STEP 19, FOR STEEL GROUP # 1

| DESIGN-CRITERION | CONTROL NODE | FACTORED DEMAND | FACTORED CAPACITY | RATIO VALUE |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| MATERIAL THRUST (psi) | 39 | 9233. | 30800. | 0.300 |
| BUCKLING THRUST (psi) | 39 | 9233. | 46744. | 0.198 |
| SEAM THRUST (psi) | 39 | 9233. | 23052. | 0.401 |
| PLASTIC-PENETRATE (%) | 20 | 1.68 | 90.00 | 0.019 |
| COMBINED T&M Ratio | 20 | 0.735 | 1.000 | 0.735 |

Displacement Y-Direction(in): Load steps 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,



CANDE OUTPUT FOR CONTROLLING LOAD STEP 19

STRUCTURAL RESPONSES OF STEEL-GROUP 1, LOAD STEP 19

UNITS INCH-LB SYSTEM: (FORCE = LB/IN, MOMENT = IN-LB/IN, STRESS = PSI)

| NODE | X-COORD Y-COORD | X-DISP. Y-DISP. | N-PRES. S-PRES. | MOMENT THRUST | MAX-STRESS HOOP-STRESS | SHEAR S-STRESS |
|------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | -254.12 0.00 | -0.320E+00 -0.246E+01 | -0.799E+01 0.146E+01 | 0.371E-10 -0.277E+04 | -0.922E+04 -0.922E+04 | 0.139E+03 0.462E+03 |
| 2 | -258.50 24.26 | -0.165E+00 -0.244E+01 | -0.818E+01 0.200E+01 | -0.276E+04 -0.272E+04 | -0.165E+05 -0.907E+04 | 0.824E+02 0.274E+03 |
| 3 | -260.57 48.82 | 0.329E-01 -0.243E+01 | -0.837E+01 0.254E+01 | -0.412E+04 -0.267E+04 | -0.199E+05 -0.890E+04 | 0.311E+02 0.104E+03 |
| 4 | -260.31 73.47 | 0.296E+00 -0.245E+01 | -0.796E+01 0.240E+01 | -0.430E+04 -0.261E+04 | -0.202E+05 -0.869E+04 | -0.172E+02 -0.572E+02 |
| 5 | -257.73 97.98 | 0.625E+00 -0.249E+01 | -0.765E+01 0.228E+01 | -0.321E+04 -0.255E+04 | -0.171E+05 -0.848E+04 | -0.681E+02 -0.227E+03 |
| 6 | -252.84 122.14 | 0.998E+00 -0.257E+01 | -0.780E+01 0.227E+01 | -0.831E+03 -0.248E+04 | -0.105E+05 -0.825E+04 | -0.115E+03 -0.382E+03 |
| 7 | -245.70 145.73 | 0.137E+01 -0.270E+01 | -0.865E+01 0.245E+01 | 0.259E+04 -0.241E+04 | -0.149E+05 -0.801E+04 | -0.142E+03 -0.474E+03 |
| 8 | -236.35 168.54 | 0.169E+01 -0.284E+01 | -0.102E+02 0.281E+01 | 0.634E+04 -0.233E+04 | -0.247E+05 -0.775E+04 | -0.133E+03 -0.443E+03 |
| 9 | -224.90 190.36 | 0.190E+01 -0.296E+01 | -0.105E+02 0.292E+01 | 0.929E+04 -0.224E+04 | -0.323E+05 -0.747E+04 | -0.935E+02 -0.311E+03 |
| 10 | -211.44 211.01 | 0.198E+01 -0.301E+01 | -0.111E+02 0.320E+01 | 0.111E+05 -0.216E+04 | -0.368E+05 -0.719E+04 | -0.344E+02 -0.115E+03 |
| 11 | -196.08 230.29 | 0.191E+01 -0.296E+01 | -0.874E+01 0.254E+01 | 0.111E+05 -0.209E+04 | -0.366E+05 -0.695E+04 | 0.107E+02 0.357E+02 |
| 12 | -178.98 248.04 | 0.171E+01 -0.278E+01 | -0.925E+01 0.279E+01 | 0.106E+05 -0.202E+04 | -0.350E+05 -0.673E+04 | 0.398E+02 0.133E+03 |
| 13 | -160.27 264.09 | 0.142E+01 -0.245E+01 | -0.103E+02 0.324E+01 | 0.912E+04 -0.195E+04 | -0.309E+05 -0.650E+04 | 0.950E+02 0.316E+03 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 14 | -140.14 278.31 | 0.106E+01 -0.198E+01 | -0.904E+01 0.291E+01 | 0.585E+04 -0.189E+04 | -0.219E+05 -0.628E+04 | 0.154E+03 0.513E+03 |
| 15 | -118.75 290.56 | 0.712E+00 -0.139E+01 | -0.746E+01 0.246E+01 | 0.140E+04 -0.184E+04 | -0.984E+04 -0.611E+04 | 0.183E+03 0.610E+03 |
| 16 | -96.30 300.73 | 0.407E+00 -0.752E+00 | -0.628E+01 0.159E+01 | -0.338E+04 -0.180E+04 | -0.150E+05 -0.600E+04 | 0.182E+03 0.607E+03 |
| 17 | -72.98 308.74 | 0.183E+00 -0.140E+00 | -0.542E+01 0.892E+00 | -0.783E+04 -0.179E+04 | -0.269E+05 -0.595E+04 | 0.159E+03 0.530E+03 |
| 18 | -49.02 314.51 | 0.501E-01 0.366E+00 | -0.489E+01 0.785E+00 | -0.115E+05 -0.178E+04 | -0.365E+05 -0.592E+04 | 0.120E+03 0.398E+03 |
| 19 | -24.62 318.00 | -0.419E-02 0.697E+00 | -0.410E+01 0.688E+00 | -0.139E+05 -0.177E+04 | -0.431E+05 -0.589E+04 | 0.648E+02 0.216E+03 |
| 20 | 0.00 319.17 | -0.141E-01 0.805E+00 | -0.375E+01 -0.498E-01 | -0.147E+05 -0.176E+04 | -0.440E+05 -0.587E+04 | -0.338E+01 -0.113E+02 |
| 21 | 24.62 318.00 | -0.253E-01 0.673E+00 | -0.413E+01 -0.696E+00 | -0.137E+05 -0.177E+04 | -0.426E+05 -0.589E+04 | -0.713E+02 -0.237E+03 |
| 22 | 49.02 314.51 | -0.830E-01 0.320E+00 | -0.490E+01 -0.782E+00 | -0.111E+05 -0.178E+04 | -0.357E+05 -0.592E+04 | -0.126E+03 -0.418E+03 |
| 23 | 72.98 308.74 | -0.220E+00 -0.203E+00 | -0.548E+01 -0.921E+00 | -0.735E+04 -0.179E+04 | -0.256E+05 -0.595E+04 | -0.164E+03 -0.547E+03 |
| 24 | 96.30 300.73 | -0.447E+00 -0.823E+00 | -0.642E+01 -0.170E+01 | -0.279E+04 -0.180E+04 | -0.135E+05 -0.600E+04 | -0.185E+03 -0.617E+03 |
| 25 | 118.75 290.56 | -0.752E+00 -0.146E+01 | -0.765E+01 -0.252E+01 | 0.201E+04 -0.184E+04 | -0.115E+05 -0.612E+04 | -0.182E+03 -0.606E+03 |
| 26 | 140.14 278.31 | -0.110E+01 -0.204E+01 | -0.919E+01 -0.295E+01 | 0.637E+04 -0.189E+04 | -0.233E+05 -0.630E+04 | -0.149E+03 -0.496E+03 |
| 27 | 160.27 264.09 | -0.144E+01 -0.250E+01 | -0.107E+02 -0.334E+01 | 0.947E+04 -0.196E+04 | -0.318E+05 -0.652E+04 | -0.841E+02 -0.280E+03 |
| 28 | 178.98 248.04 | -0.172E+01 -0.281E+01 | -0.928E+01 -0.278E+01 | 0.106E+05 -0.203E+04 | -0.350E+05 -0.676E+04 | -0.250E+02 -0.831E+02 |
| 29 | 196.08 230.29 | -0.190E+01 -0.297E+01 | -0.844E+01 -0.244E+01 | 0.107E+05 -0.210E+04 | -0.356E+05 -0.698E+04 | -0.104E+00 -0.347E+00 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 30 | 211.44 211.01 | -0.195E+01 -0.301E+01 | -0.107E+02 -0.308E+01 | 0.105E+05 -0.217E+04 | -0.353E+05 -0.722E+04 | 0.356E+02 0.119E+03 |
| 31 | 224.90 190.36 | -0.187E+01 -0.295E+01 | -0.104E+02 -0.290E+01 | 0.884E+04 -0.225E+04 | -0.311E+05 -0.749E+04 | 0.880E+02 0.293E+03 |
| 32 | 236.35 168.54 | -0.165E+01 -0.283E+01 | -0.102E+02 -0.283E+01 | 0.606E+04 -0.233E+04 | -0.240E+05 -0.776E+04 | 0.126E+03 0.421E+03 |
| 33 | 245.70 145.73 | -0.134E+01 -0.269E+01 | -0.876E+01 -0.249E+01 | 0.246E+04 -0.241E+04 | -0.146E+05 -0.803E+04 | 0.137E+03 0.457E+03 |
| 34 | 252.84 122.14 | -0.967E+00 -0.257E+01 | -0.790E+01 -0.230E+01 | -0.861E+03 -0.248E+04 | -0.106E+05 -0.827E+04 | 0.112E+03 0.372E+03 |
| 35 | 257.73 97.98 | -0.599E+00 -0.248E+01 | -0.777E+01 -0.231E+01 | -0.319E+04 -0.255E+04 | -0.170E+05 -0.850E+04 | 0.673E+02 0.224E+03 |
| 36 | 260.31 73.47 | -0.275E+00 -0.244E+01 | -0.806E+01 -0.243E+01 | -0.429E+04 -0.262E+04 | -0.202E+05 -0.871E+04 | 0.183E+02 0.611E+02 |
| 37 | 260.57 48.82 | -0.157E-01 -0.243E+01 | -0.836E+01 -0.254E+01 | -0.415E+04 -0.268E+04 | -0.200E+05 -0.892E+04 | -0.296E+02 -0.987E+02 |
| 38 | 258.50 24.26 | 0.177E+00 -0.244E+01 | -0.807E+01 -0.192E+01 | -0.282E+04 -0.273E+04 | -0.166E+05 -0.909E+04 | -0.831E+02 -0.277E+03 |
| 39 | 254.12 0.00 | 0.326E+00 -0.246E+01 | -0.777E+01 -0.130E+01 | -0.161E-10 -0.277E+04 | -0.923E+04 -0.923E+04 | -0.143E+03 -0.476E+03 |

Finite Element Analysis Report by CANDE (Culvert Analysis and Design)

Sterling Ranch Colorado Springs

Merlin# 635632

Colorado Springs, Colorado

March 2, 2022

The purpose of this report is to present the study of how a BridgeCor structure is expected to behave with the site conditions including soils information. A CANDE analysis was performed assuming the soil conditions based on provided information and some assumptions, which are summarized on the following pages. This report will examine: combined thrust and moment, seam strength, wall area, global buckling, and deflection, and unfactored footing reactions. The analysis was in accordance with the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification.

Structure:

Maximum Span: 43'-0"

Bottom Span: 41'-11"

Rise: 26'-4"

Design cover: 5'-0"

Gage: 5

Summary:

- a. Load Factors: 1.75 for Live Load and 1.50 for Dead Load
- b. Modified Load Factors: 1.05 for Live Load (Multiple Presence Factor)
- c. For this structure, HL-93 design truck (32,000 pound axles spaced at 14 feet) and HL-93 tandem (25,000 pound axles spaced at 4 feet) loading were used as live load. The HL-93 design truck governed. As required by AASHTO, the combination of loads was the factored Dead Load plus the factored Live Load, which is determined as the controlling load case.
- d. Resistance Factors: Plastic Hinge Resistance Factor (ϕ_h) = 0.90, Wall Area and Buckling Resistance Factor (ϕ_w) = 0.70, Seam Strength Resistance Factor (ϕ_{SS}) = 0.67.
- e. Properties: Area of the Wall Cross-Section = 0.3003 in.²/in., Moment of Inertia = 1.1436 in.⁴/in., Section Modulus = 0.3741 in.³/in., Plastic Section Modulus = 0.5224 in.³/in.
- f. Profile of the BridgeCor deep corrugated plate (See next page for profile and data table). Profile is 15" Pitch and 5.5" Depth.
- g. Density of the backfill soil on top of the structure = 120 pcf (pounds per cubic foot)
- h. Density of the soil outside of the excavation of the arches = 120 pcf (pounds per cubic foot)
- i. Calculations of the Live loads, dead loads, etc.: See the following summary report.

Product Details and Fabrication




Table 2.14

Sectional properties of 15 x 5 1/2 in. (Annular)


| Specified Thickness | Uncoated Thickness T | Area of Section A | Tangent Length T_L | Tangent Angle Δ | Moment of Inertia I | Section Modulus S | Radius of Gyration r | Developed Width Factor |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| (in.) | (in.) | (in. ² /ft) | (in.) | (Degrees) | (in. ⁴ /in) | (in. ³ /ft) | (in.) | |
| 0.140 | 0.1345 | 2.260 | 4.361 | 49.75 | 0.7146 | 2.8406 | 1.9481 | 1.400 |
| 0.170 | 0.1644 | 2.762 | 4.323 | 49.89 | 0.8746 | 3.4602 | 1.9494 | 1.400 |
| 0.188 | 0.1838 | 3.088 | 4.299 | 49.99 | 0.9786 | 3.8599 | 1.9502 | 1.400 |
| 0.218 | 0.2145 | 3.604 | 4.259 | 50.13 | 1.1436 | 4.4888 | 1.9515 | 1.400 |
| 0.249 | 0.2451 | 4.118 | 4.220 | 50.28 | 1.3084 | 5.1114 | 1.9527 | 1.400 |
| 0.280 | 0.2758 | 4.633 | 4.179 | 50.43 | 1.4722 | 5.7317 | 1.9540 | 1.400 |
| 0.193 | 0.1875 | 3.150 | 4.293 | 50.00 | 0.9985 | 3.9359 | 1.9503 | 1.400 |
| 0.255 | 0.2500 | 4.200 | 4.213 | 50.31 | 1.3349 | 5.2107 | 1.9529 | 1.400 |
| 0.318 | 0.3125 | 5.250 | 4.131 | 50.62 | 1.6730 | 6.4678 | 1.9555 | 1.400 |
| 0.380 | 0.3750 | 6.300 | 4.047 | 50.94 | 2.0128 | 7.7076 | 1.9580 | 1.400 |

Notes: 1. Per foot of projection about the neutral axis.

To obtain A or S per *inch* of width, divide the above values by 12.

2. Developed width factor measures the increase in profile length due to corrugating. Dimensions are subject to manufacturing tolerances.

CANDE Generated Cross Section



Single Radius Arch Bridge Cor: 58S 41'-11" Bottom Span x 26'-4" Rise (Inside Dimensions) Gage: 5

Height of cover above crown: 5'-0"


- Red mesh: Assumed: Isotropic-linear elastic, Young's modulus = 3,000 psi, Poisson's ratio = 0.30, density = 1 pcf (Density assumed to be 1 pcf to represent existing, consolidated soil – modeled to approximate no displacement)
- Green mesh: Embankment fill (assumed): Duncan/Selig SM90 , Density = 120 pcf
- Yellow mesh: Select backfill (assumed): Backfill width = 8'-0", Duncan/Selig SW95, Density = 120 pcf
- Orange mesh: Reinforced concrete footing (assumed): Isotropic-linear elastic, Young's modulus = 3,500,000 psi, Poisson's ratio = 0.18, Density = 150 pcf
- Green boundary point: Displacement restricted in the vertical direction
- Blue boundary point: Displacement restricted in the horizontal direction
- Red boundary point: Force above crown of arch representing 32,000 pound, HL-93 Design Truck live load

***Design Criterion Summary:**

- Wall Thrust Resistance Ratio = $32.79 / 111.0 = 0.295 < 1.00$ OK
- Global Buckling Resistance Ratio = $2.73216 / 9.60 = 0.284 < 1.00$ OK
- Seam Thrust Resistance Ratio = $32.79 / 85.09 = 0.385 < 1.00$ OK
- Combined Thrust & Moment Ratio = $0.727 < 1.00$ OK

*See sections below for more on calculations

CANDE Unfactored Thrust Reactions



Base Angle: -12.95 degrees

Unfactored Vertical Footing Reaction: $R_v = \cos (-12.95) \times 2457.62 \times 12 = 28,741 \text{ lbs/ft}$

Unfactored Horizontal Footing Reaction: $R_H = \sin (-12.95) \times 2457.62 \times 12 = -6,609 \text{ lbs/ft}$

Notes:

- Each node represents a location along perimeter of cross-section
- Unfactored reactions are for each leg

AASHTO 12.8.9.5 (Combined Thrust & Moment Resistance) requires deep-corrugated metal plate structures to be analyzed using a finite element analysis. The results from the analysis are then used to compute a combined thrust and moment ratio (Combined T&M Ratio):


$$\text{Combined T\&M Ratio} = (T_f/R_t)^2 + M_u/M_n \leq 1.00$$

$$(\text{Factored thrust} / \text{Factored Thrust Resistance})^2 + (\text{Factored moment} / \text{Factored Moment Resistance}) \leq 1.00$$


The factored thrust resistance is the minimum yield point ($F_y = 44,000$ psi) multiplied by the area of wall cross-section ($0.3003 \text{ in}^2/\text{in}$ for 5 gage) multiplied by the plastic hinge resistance factor (0.90). The factored moment resistance is the plastic moment capacity (23070 lbs-in/in for 5 gage) multiplied by the plastic hinge resistance factor. Refer to the NCSA Design manual (Table 2.14, pg. 37) for cross-section properties of BridgeCor.

The following graphs show the bending moments and thrust forces along the cross-section of the BridgeCor structure. The x-axis correlates to the distance along the perimeter of the cross-section of the Two Radius Arch BridgeCor structure in inches.

$$\text{Combined Thrust \& Moment Ratio} = (1759.78/(44,000 \times 0.3003 \times 0.90))^2 + (14634.7/(23070 \times 0.90)) = 0.727 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$



Thrust force(lb/in): Load steps 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,



CANDE Controlling Node Output

| NODE | X-COORD Y-COORD | X-DISP. Y-DISP. | N-PRES. S-PRES. | MOMENT THRUST | MAX-STRESS HOOP-STRESS | SHEAR S-STRESS |
|------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 20 | 0.00 319.17 | -0.140E-01 0.742E+00 | -0.374E+01 -0.477E-01 | -0.146E+05 -0.176E+04 | -0.440E+05 -0.586E+04 | -0.338E+01 -0.113E+02 |
| 39 | 254.12 0.00 | 0.355E+00 -0.247E+01 | -0.781E+01 -0.127E+01 | -0.290E-10 -0.277E+04 | -0.923E+04 -0.923E+04 | -0.144E+03 -0.479E+03 |

AASHTO Section 12.8.9.6 (Global Buckling) requires that the factored thrust in the culvert wall under the final installed condition shall not exceed the nominal resistance to general buckling capacity of the culvert, computed as:

$$R_b, \text{ nominal axial force in culvert wall to cause general buckling} = 1.2\Phi_b C_n (E_m I_p)^{1/3} (\Phi_s M_s K_b)^{2/3} R_h$$

Φ_b , resistance factor for general buckling = 0.70

C_n , scalar calibration factor to account for some nonlinear effects = 0.55

E_m , modulus of elasticity of pipe wall material = 29000 ksi

I_p , moment of inertia of stiffened culvert wall per unit length = 1.144 in⁴/in

Φ_s , resistance factor for soil = 0.9

γ , soil density = 120 pcf

R_{sp} , rise above springline = 258 inches

$P_{sp} = 0.5\gamma R_{sp} = 8.96$ psi

M_s , constrained modulus of embedment computed = 2.92 ksi

based on the free field vertical stress at a depth

halfway between the top and springline of the

structure (Table 12.12.3.5-1)

ν , Poisson's ratio of soil = 0.30

$K_b = (1 - 2\nu)/(1 - \nu^2) = 0.44$

R_h , correction factor for backfill geometry = 11.4/(11+S/H) = 0.59

S, culvert span = 503 inches

H, depth of fill over top of culvert = 60 inches

$$R_b = 1.2 \times 0.70 \times 0.55 \times (29000 \times 1.144)^{1/3} (0.9 \times 2.92 \times 0.44)^{2/3} (0.59) = 9.60 \text{ kips/in}$$

$$R_b = 9.60 \text{ kips/in} > \text{Max Factored Buckling Thrust} = 2.73216 \text{ kips/in}$$

$$\text{Global Buckling Resistance Ratio} = 2.73216 / 9.60 = 0.284 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$

Table 12.12.3.5-1—M. Based on Soil Type and Compaction Condition

| P_{sp} Stress Level (psi) | Sn-100 (ksi) | Sn-95 (ksi) | Sn-90 (ksi) | Sn-85 (ksi) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1.0 | 2.350 | 2.000 | 1.275 | 0.470 |
| 5.0 | 3.450 | 2.600 | 1.500 | 0.520 |
| 10.0 | 4.200 | 3.000 | 1.625 | 0.570 |
| 20.0 | 5.500 | 3.450 | 1.800 | 0.650 |
| 40.0 | 7.500 | 4.250 | 2.100 | 0.825 |
| 60.0 | 9.300 | 5.000 | 2.500 | 1.000 |
| P_{sp} Stress Level (psi) | | Si-95 (ksi) | Si-90 (ksi) | Si-85 (ksi) |

AASHTO Section 12.7.2.3 (Wall Resistance) requires the wall resistance to be greater than the factored thrust.

The wall resistance is defined as:

$$R_w = \Phi_w F_y A_w$$

$$A_w = \text{wall area (in}^2\text{/ft)} = 3.604 \text{ in}^2\text{/ft}$$

$$F_y = \text{yield strength of metal} = 44 \text{ ksi}$$

$$R_w = \Phi_w F_y A_w = 0.70 \times 44 \times 3.604 = 111.0 \text{ kips/ft} > \text{Max Factored Material Thrust} = 32.79 \text{ kips/ft}$$

$$\text{Wall Thrust Resistance Ratio} = 32.79 / 111.0 = 0.295 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$

AASHTO Section 12.7.2.5 (Seam Strength) requires the factored seam strength to be greater than the factored thrust.

The factored seam strength is defined as:

$$R_s = \Phi_{ss} SS$$

$$\Phi_{ss}, \text{ Seam Strength} = 0.67 \text{ (AASHTO Table 12.5.5-1)}$$

$$SS = \text{Seam Strength} = 127 \text{ kips/ft (from Table 7.4B on page 376 of the NCSA Design Manual).}$$

$$R_s = 0.67 \times 127 = 85.09 \text{ kips/ft} > \text{Max Factored Seam Thrust} = 32.79 \text{ kips/ft}$$

$$\text{Seam Thrust Resistance Ratio} = 32.79 / 85.09 = 0.385 < 1.00 \text{ OK}$$


CANDE Output Summary for controlling load step

ASSESSMENT SUMMARY STEEL-GROUP 1, LOAD-STEP 19

LRFD STRENGTH-LIMIT RATIOS AT STEP 19, FOR STEEL GROUP # 1

| DESIGN-CRITERION | CONTROL NODE | FACTORED DEMAND | FACTORED CAPACITY | RATIO VALUE |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| MATERIAL THRUST (psi) | 39 | 9235. | 30800. | 0.300 |
| BUCKLING THRUST (psi) | 39 | 9235. | 40838. | 0.226 |
| SEAM THRUST (psi) | 39 | 9235. | 23052. | 0.401 |
| PLASTIC-PENETRATE (%) | 20 | 1.28 | 90.00 | 0.014 |
| COMBINED T&M Ratio | 20 | 0.729 | 1.000 | 0.729 |

Displacement Y-Direction(in): Load steps 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,



CANDE OUTPUT FOR CONTROLLING LOAD STEP 19

STRUCTURAL RESPONSES OF STEEL-GROUP 1, LOAD STEP 19

UNITS INCH-LB SYSTEM: (FORCE = LB/IN, MOMENT = IN-LB/IN, STRESS = PSI)

| NODE | X-COORD Y-COORD | X-DISP. Y-DISP. | N-PRES. S-PRES. | MOMENT THRUST | MAX-STRESS HOOP-STRESS | SHEAR S-STRESS |
|------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | -254.12 0.00 | -0.350E+00 -0.248E+01 | -0.803E+01 0.142E+01 | 0.986E-10 -0.277E+04 | -0.922E+04 -0.922E+04 | 0.140E+03 0.466E+03 |
| 2 | -258.50 24.26 | -0.198E+00 -0.246E+01 | -0.819E+01 0.198E+01 | -0.279E+04 -0.273E+04 | -0.165E+05 -0.908E+04 | 0.835E+02 0.278E+03 |
| 3 | -260.57 48.82 | -0.263E-02 -0.245E+01 | -0.834E+01 0.254E+01 | -0.418E+04 -0.267E+04 | -0.201E+05 -0.890E+04 | 0.319E+02 0.106E+03 |
| 4 | -260.31 73.47 | 0.259E+00 -0.246E+01 | -0.797E+01 0.240E+01 | -0.437E+04 -0.261E+04 | -0.204E+05 -0.869E+04 | -0.169E+02 -0.563E+02 |
| 5 | -257.73 97.98 | 0.588E+00 -0.250E+01 | -0.764E+01 0.228E+01 | -0.329E+04 -0.255E+04 | -0.173E+05 -0.848E+04 | -0.681E+02 -0.227E+03 |
| 6 | -252.84 122.14 | 0.961E+00 -0.259E+01 | -0.780E+01 0.227E+01 | -0.899E+03 -0.248E+04 | -0.107E+05 -0.826E+04 | -0.115E+03 -0.383E+03 |
| 7 | -245.70 145.73 | 0.134E+01 -0.271E+01 | -0.866E+01 0.245E+01 | 0.253E+04 -0.241E+04 | -0.148E+05 -0.802E+04 | -0.143E+03 -0.475E+03 |
| 8 | -236.35 168.54 | 0.166E+01 -0.285E+01 | -0.102E+02 0.282E+01 | 0.629E+04 -0.233E+04 | -0.246E+05 -0.775E+04 | -0.133E+03 -0.444E+03 |
| 9 | -224.90 190.36 | 0.187E+01 -0.298E+01 | -0.105E+02 0.293E+01 | 0.925E+04 -0.224E+04 | -0.322E+05 -0.747E+04 | -0.935E+02 -0.311E+03 |
| 10 | -211.44 211.01 | 0.195E+01 -0.303E+01 | -0.111E+02 0.320E+01 | 0.110E+05 -0.216E+04 | -0.367E+05 -0.720E+04 | -0.345E+02 -0.115E+03 |
| 11 | -196.08 230.29 | 0.189E+01 -0.299E+01 | -0.875E+01 0.254E+01 | 0.110E+05 -0.209E+04 | -0.365E+05 -0.695E+04 | 0.106E+02 0.354E+02 |
| 12 | -178.98 248.04 | 0.169E+01 -0.281E+01 | -0.925E+01 0.278E+01 | 0.106E+05 -0.202E+04 | -0.349E+05 -0.674E+04 | 0.396E+02 0.132E+03 |
| 13 | -160.27 264.09 | 0.140E+01 -0.249E+01 | -0.103E+02 0.323E+01 | 0.909E+04 -0.195E+04 | -0.308E+05 -0.650E+04 | 0.944E+02 0.314E+03 |
| 14 | -140.14 278.31 | 0.105E+01 -0.202E+01 | -0.903E+01 0.291E+01 | 0.584E+04 -0.189E+04 | -0.219E+05 -0.629E+04 | 0.153E+03 0.510E+03 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 15 | -118.75 290.56 | 0.706E+00 -0.143E+01 | -0.746E+01 0.247E+01 | 0.142E+04 -0.184E+04 | -0.991E+04 -0.612E+04 | 0.182E+03 0.606E+03 |
| 16 | -96.30 300.73 | 0.403E+00 -0.802E+00 | -0.628E+01 0.167E+01 | -0.333E+04 -0.180E+04 | -0.149E+05 -0.600E+04 | 0.181E+03 0.604E+03 |
| 17 | -72.98 308.74 | 0.181E+00 -0.195E+00 | -0.543E+01 0.937E+00 | -0.775E+04 -0.179E+04 | -0.267E+05 -0.594E+04 | 0.158E+03 0.527E+03 |
| 18 | -49.02 314.51 | 0.496E-01 0.307E+00 | -0.489E+01 0.816E+00 | -0.114E+05 -0.178E+04 | -0.363E+05 -0.591E+04 | 0.119E+03 0.396E+03 |
| 19 | -24.62 318.00 | -0.420E-02 0.635E+00 | -0.410E+01 0.729E+00 | -0.138E+05 -0.177E+04 | -0.428E+05 -0.588E+04 | 0.646E+02 0.215E+03 |
| 20 | 0.00 319.17 | -0.140E-01 0.742E+00 | -0.374E+01 -0.477E-01 | -0.146E+05 -0.176E+04 | -0.440E+05 -0.586E+04 | -0.338E+01 -0.113E+02 |
| 21 | 24.62 318.00 | -0.252E-01 0.610E+00 | -0.413E+01 -0.736E+00 | -0.136E+05 -0.177E+04 | -0.423E+05 -0.588E+04 | -0.710E+02 -0.237E+03 |
| 22 | 49.02 314.51 | -0.824E-01 0.260E+00 | -0.490E+01 -0.813E+00 | -0.110E+05 -0.178E+04 | -0.354E+05 -0.591E+04 | -0.125E+03 -0.417E+03 |
| 23 | 72.98 308.74 | -0.218E+00 -0.258E+00 | -0.549E+01 -0.967E+00 | -0.727E+04 -0.178E+04 | -0.254E+05 -0.594E+04 | -0.163E+03 -0.544E+03 |
| 24 | 96.30 300.73 | -0.443E+00 -0.873E+00 | -0.643E+01 -0.177E+01 | -0.274E+04 -0.180E+04 | -0.133E+05 -0.600E+04 | -0.184E+03 -0.613E+03 |
| 25 | 118.75 290.56 | -0.745E+00 -0.150E+01 | -0.765E+01 -0.252E+01 | 0.203E+04 -0.184E+04 | -0.116E+05 -0.613E+04 | -0.181E+03 -0.602E+03 |
| 26 | 140.14 278.31 | -0.109E+01 -0.208E+01 | -0.919E+01 -0.295E+01 | 0.637E+04 -0.189E+04 | -0.233E+05 -0.630E+04 | -0.148E+03 -0.493E+03 |
| 27 | 160.27 264.09 | -0.142E+01 -0.253E+01 | -0.107E+02 -0.333E+01 | 0.945E+04 -0.196E+04 | -0.318E+05 -0.653E+04 | -0.835E+02 -0.278E+03 |
| 28 | 178.98 248.04 | -0.170E+01 -0.284E+01 | -0.928E+01 -0.278E+01 | 0.105E+05 -0.203E+04 | -0.349E+05 -0.677E+04 | -0.248E+02 -0.825E+02 |
| 29 | 196.08 230.29 | -0.187E+01 -0.300E+01 | -0.845E+01 -0.244E+01 | 0.107E+05 -0.210E+04 | -0.355E+05 -0.698E+04 | 0.106E-01 0.352E-01 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 30 | 211.44 211.01 | -0.193E+01 -0.303E+01 | -0.107E+02 -0.308E+01 | 0.105E+05 -0.217E+04 | -0.352E+05 -0.722E+04 | 0.356E+02 0.119E+03 |
| 31 | 224.90 190.36 | -0.184E+01 -0.297E+01 | -0.104E+02 -0.290E+01 | 0.879E+04 -0.225E+04 | -0.310E+05 -0.749E+04 | 0.879E+02 0.293E+03 |
| 32 | 236.35 168.54 | -0.162E+01 -0.285E+01 | -0.102E+02 -0.284E+01 | 0.601E+04 -0.233E+04 | -0.238E+05 -0.777E+04 | 0.127E+03 0.422E+03 |
| 33 | 245.70 145.73 | -0.130E+01 -0.271E+01 | -0.877E+01 -0.249E+01 | 0.240E+04 -0.241E+04 | -0.145E+05 -0.803E+04 | 0.137E+03 0.458E+03 |
| 34 | 252.84 122.14 | -0.929E+00 -0.258E+01 | -0.790E+01 -0.231E+01 | -0.929E+03 -0.249E+04 | -0.108E+05 -0.828E+04 | 0.112E+03 0.373E+03 |
| 35 | 257.73 97.98 | -0.561E+00 -0.250E+01 | -0.777E+01 -0.231E+01 | -0.327E+04 -0.255E+04 | -0.172E+05 -0.850E+04 | 0.674E+02 0.224E+03 |
| 36 | 260.31 73.47 | -0.237E+00 -0.246E+01 | -0.805E+01 -0.243E+01 | -0.436E+04 -0.262E+04 | -0.204E+05 -0.872E+04 | 0.181E+02 0.601E+02 |
| 37 | 260.57 48.82 | 0.196E-01 -0.245E+01 | -0.834E+01 -0.253E+01 | -0.421E+04 -0.268E+04 | -0.202E+05 -0.893E+04 | -0.305E+02 -0.101E+03 |
| 38 | 258.50 24.26 | 0.210E+00 -0.245E+01 | -0.807E+01 -0.190E+01 | -0.285E+04 -0.273E+04 | -0.167E+05 -0.910E+04 | -0.842E+02 -0.280E+03 |
| 39 | 254.12 0.00 | 0.355E+00 -0.247E+01 | -0.781E+01 -0.127E+01 | -0.290E-10 -0.277E+04 | -0.923E+04 -0.923E+04 | -0.144E+03 -0.479E+03 |