

Traffic Impact Study

Cloverleaf

El Paso County, CO

Prepared for:

PT Cloverleaf, LLC
1864 Woodmoor Drive, Suite 100
Monument, CO 80132

Prepared by:



www.kellarengineering.com

970.219.1602 phone



August 26, 2020

Sean K. Kellar, PE, PTOE

PCD File No. SP202

This document, together with the concepts and recommendations presented herein, as an instrument of service, is intended only for the specific purpose and client for which it was prepared. Reuse of and improper reliance on this document without written authorization from Kellar Engineering LLC shall be without liability to Kellar Engineering LLC.



Traffic Engineer's Statement

The attached traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.

Sean K. Kellar, P.E. #38560

8/26/2020

Date

Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

*Joseph W. Desjardin
Cloverleaf LLC
1864 Woodmoor Drive, Suite 100
Monument, CO 80132*

8/26/2020

Date



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 Introduction	5
2.0 Existing Conditions and Roadway Network	5
2.1 Recent Traffic Volumes	6
3.0 Proposed Development	9
3.1 Trip Generation	9
3.2 Trip Distribution	18
3.3 Traffic Assignment	18
3.4 Short Range Total Peak Hour Traffic	18
3.5 Long Range Total Peak Hour Traffic	18
4.0 Traffic Operation Analysis	19
4.1 Capacity Analysis	19
4.2 Intersection Operational Analysis	20
4.3 Auxiliary Lane Analysis	20
4.4 Transportation Impact Fees	21
4.5 Sight Distance	21
4.6 Pedestrian Routes to Schools	21
4.7 ECM Deviations	22
5.0 Findings	33

List of Figures:

Figure 1: Vicinity Map	7
Figure 2: Site Plan	8
Figure 3: Recent Peak Hour Traffic	11
Figure 4: 2025 Background Traffic	12
Figure 5: 2040 Background Traffic	13
Figure 6: Trip Distribution	14
Figure 7: Site Generated Peak Hour Traffic	15
Figure 8: 2025 Short Range Total Traffic	16
Figure 9: 2040 Long Range Total Traffic	17



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables:	
Table 1: Trip Generation	10
Table 2: Recent Peak Hour Operation	23
Table 3: 2025 Background Peak Hour Operation	25
Table 4: 2040 Background Peak Hour Operation	27
Table 5: 2025 Short Range Total Peak Hour Operation	29
Table 6: 2040 Long Range Total Peak Hour Operation	31
Appendices:	
Appendix A: Traffic Counts	36
Appendix B: Level of Service (LOS) Table	42
Appendix C: Aerial Image and School Pedestrian Routing	43
Appendix D: 2040 Roadway Plan	47
Appendix E: Sight Distance	48
Appendix F: HCM 2010 Calculations (Synchro)	51



1.0 Introduction

The purpose of this Traffic Impact Study (TIS) is to identify project traffic generation characteristics, to identify potential traffic related impacts on the adjacent street system, and to develop mitigation measures required for identified traffic impacts. This TIS is for the proposed Cloverleaf project located at the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Higby Road and Bowstring Road in El Paso County, CO. See Figure 1: Vicinity Map.

Kellar Engineering LLC (KE) has prepared the TIS to document the results of the project's anticipated traffic conditions in accordance with the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) and to identify projected impacts to the transportation system.

2.0 Existing Conditions and Roadway Network

The project site is located at the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Higby Road and Bowstring Road in El Paso County, CO. The existing perimeter roads (Bowstring Road and Cloverleaf Road) have already been constructed with previous development. Higby Road is an existing east-west street collector with a posted speed of 45 mph adjacent to the project site. Higby Road is classified as a collector in the 2040 Roadway Plan. Jackson Creek Parkway is an existing north-south collector with a posted speed of 40 mph near the project site. Bowstring Road is an existing north-south local street that borders the west side of the project site. Additionally, Cloverleaf Road is an existing north-south local street that borders the east side of the project site. The intersection of Higby Road/Jackson Creek Parkway is signalized with a four-section signal head facing the southbound left-turn lane and three-section signal heads facing westbound and northbound traffic. The Higby Road/Jackson Creek Parkway intersection currently has a southbound left-turn lane, a northbound right-turn lane, westbound left-turn lane, and a westbound right-turn lane. The Higby Road/Bowstring Road intersection currently has an eastbound left-turn lane, westbound right-turn lane, and a westbound acceleration lane. Additionally, the Higby Road/Cloverleaf Road intersection currently has an eastbound left-turn lane and a westbound acceleration lane.



2.1 Recent Traffic Volumes

Recent peak hour traffic volume counts were conducted using data collection cameras on Thursday, March 5, 2020 when Lewis-Palmer High School was still in session. The traffic counts were conducted during the peak hours of adjacent street traffic in 15-minute intervals from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. These turning movement counts are shown in Figure 3 with the count sheets provided in Appendix A. ADT volumes are referenced in the appropriate figures for Higby Road and Jackson Creek Parkway. Since ADT volumes for these roads are not provided in the Major Transportation Corridors (MTC) Plan Update, 10 times the highest peak hour was used (rounded up to be conservative). Per the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology, ADT volumes are not used for peak hour intersection level of service (LOS) analysis but are provided as a reference in this study.



Figure 1: Vicinity Map





Figure 2: Site Plan





3.0 Proposed Development

The Cloverleaf project consists of a total of 150 single-family homes. Access to the development is from two existing full-movement intersections to Higby Road (Higby Road/Bowstring Road and Higby Road/Cloverleaf Road). See Table 1: Trip Generation and Figure 2: Site Plan. Figure 7 also shows the peak hour site generated traffic for the project.

3.1 Trip Generation

Site generated traffic estimates are determined through a process known as trip generation. Rates and equations are applied to the proposed land use to estimate traffic generated by the development during a specific time interval. The acknowledged source for trip generation rates is the *Trip Generation Report* published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). ITE has established trip generation rates in nationwide studies of similar land uses. For this study, KE used the ITE 10th Edition Trip Generation Report average trip rates for the traffic associated with this proposed development. Per the ITE, full project build-out of the Cloverleaf project is anticipated to generate approximately: 1,416 daily weekday trips, 111 AM total peak hour trips, and 149 PM total peak hour trips. Table 1 summarizes the ITE Trip Generation for the proposed development.




Table 1: Trip Generation

ITE Code	Land Use	Size	Average Daily Trips		AM Peak Hour Trips						PM Peak Hour Trips					
			Rate	Total	Rate	% In	In	% Out	Out	Total	Rate	% In	In	% Out	Out	Total
210	Single Family	150 DU	9.44	1,416	0.74	25%	28	75%	83	111	0.99	63%	94	37%	55	149
Total				1,416			28		83	111			94		55	149

DU = Dwelling Units


Figure 3: Recent Peak Hour Traffic



Higby Rd. ~ 8,200 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
 Jackson Creek Pkwy. ~ 15,700 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
 *See Section 4.1 for ADT on Bowstring Rd, Cloverleaf Rd, and Leggins Way




Figure 4: 2025 Background Traffic



Higby Rd. ~ 9,100 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
Jackson Creek Pkwy. ~ 17,300 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
*See Section 4.1 for ADT on Bowstring Rd, Cloverleaf Rd, and Leggins Way

Figure 5: 2040 Background Traffic



Higby Rd. ~ 12,200 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
 Jackson Creek Pkwy. ~ 23,200 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
 *See Section 4.1 for ADT on Bowstring Rd, Cloverleaf Rd, and Leggins Way



Figure 6: Trip Distribution






Figure 7: Site Generated Peak Hour Traffic







Figure 8: 2025 Short Range Total Traffic




Provide the ADT on Bowstring, Leggins & Cloverleaf in the 2025 and 2040 total traffic.
Update the narrative to discuss whether or not the project results in the existing roads exceeding the design capacity (ECM Table 2-5) for rural local roads. Provide mitigation improvement recommendations.
Unresolved.



KELLAR ENGINEERING

Figure 9: 2040 Long Range Total Traffic



Higby Rd. ~ 13,700 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
 Jackson Creek Pkwy. ~ 24,700 ADT (10 times the highest peak hour used, rounded up to be conservative)
 *See Section 4.1 for ADT on Bowstring Rd, Cloverleaf Rd, and Leggins Way



3.2 Trip Distribution

Distribution of site traffic on the street system was based on the area street system characteristics, existing traffic patterns and volumes, anticipated surrounding development areas, and the proposed access system for the project. The directional distribution of traffic is a means to quantify the percentage of site generated traffic that approaches the site from a given direction and departs the site back to the original source. The trip distributions were obtained from looking at the traffic patterns associated with the traffic counts and the origins/destinations. This study reviews the typical weekday peak hour traffic associated with the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Figure 6 illustrates the trip distribution used for the project's analysis.

3.3 Traffic Assignment

Traffic assignment was obtained by applying the trip distributions to the estimated trip generation of the development. Figures 7 shows the site generated traffic assignment for the project.

3.4 Short Range Total Peak Hour Traffic

Site generated peak hour traffic volumes were added to the background traffic volumes to represent the estimated traffic conditions for the short range 2025 horizon. These background (2025) and short range (2025) total traffic volumes are shown in Figures 4 and 8. The short range analysis year 2025 includes the proposed development for this project plus an increase in background traffic. Background traffic growth rate (2%) obtained from the CDOT Online Transportation Information Systems (OTIS).

3.5 Long Range Total Peak Hour Traffic

Site generated peak hour traffic volumes were added to the background traffic volumes to represent the estimated traffic conditions for the long range 2040 horizon. These background (2040) and long range (2040) total traffic volumes are shown in Figures 5 and 9. The long range analysis year 2040 includes the proposed development for the project plus an increase in background traffic. Background traffic growth rate (2%) obtained from the most recent available



information in the CDOT Online Transportation Information Systems (OTIS).

4.0 Traffic Operation Analysis

KE's analysis of traffic operations in the site vicinity was conducted to determine the capacity at the identified intersections. The acknowledged source for determining overall capacity is the 2010 Edition of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

4.1 Capacity Analysis

Capacity analysis results are listed in terms of level of service (LOS). LOS is a qualitative term describing operating conditions a driver will experience while traveling on a particular street or highway during a specific time interval. LOS ranges from an A (very little delay) to an F (long delays). A description of the level of service (LOS) for signalized and unsignalized intersections from the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) are provided in Appendix B.

Below are the roadway classifications for the streets adjacent to and within the Cloverleaf project. The below roadways have levels of service (LOS) capacity to adequately accommodate the projected traffic volumes. While Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes are a useful tool in estimating roadway capacity, it is not a detailed level of service analysis tool. As such, the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) recommends peak hour LOS service analysis for determining roadway capacity. Therefore, the detailed LOS service analysis per the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) are provided in Appendix F.

- a) Higby Road – Rural Collector; ~10,100 ADT (2025 Short Range Total), ~13,700 ADT (2040 Long Range Total). ECM Table 2-5 shows design ADT of 3,000 for rural major collectors. Mitigation is not recommended since detailed analysis shows acceptable levels of service and the roadway meets the intent of the criteria. See Appendix F.
- b) Bowstring Road – Rural Local; ~ 1,000 ADT (2025 Short Range Total and 2040 Long Range Total traffic scenarios). ECM Table 2-5 shows design ADT of 750 for rural local. Mitigation is not recommended since detailed analysis shows acceptable levels of service and the roadway meets the intent of the criteria. See Appendix F.

- c) Cloverleaf Way – Rural Local; ~800 ADT (2025 Short Range Total and 2040 Long Range Total traffic scenarios). ECM Table 2-5 shows design ADT of 750 for rural local. Mitigation is not recommended since detailed analysis shows acceptable levels of service and the roadway meets the intent of the criteria. See Appendix F.
- d) Leggins Way – Rural Local; ~150 ADT (2025 Short Range Total and 2040 Long Range Total traffic scenarios). ECM Table 2-5 shows design ADT of 750 for rural local. The roadway meets the intent of the criteria.
- e) Walters Point – Existing Rural Local private road. Proposed Urban (Low Volume) Local private road with approximately 437 ADT (2025 Short Range Total and 2040 Long Range Total traffic scenarios). The Cloverleaf project is adding approximately 57 ADT to Walters Point. The existing ADT on Walters Point is approximately 380 ADT. Therefore, the total ADT on the portion of Walters Point that the Cloverleaf project is connecting into is approximately 437 ADT. Based upon the findings in this TIS and characteristics of Walters Point, the urban (low volume) local road classification is acceptable and the proposed design meets the intent of the criteria.

How was this determined? The appendix does not include traffic count data at Walters Point

4.2 Intersection Operational Analysis

Operational analysis was performed for the short range 2025 horizon and the long range 2040 horizon. The calculations for this analysis are provided in Appendix F. Using the short range and long range total traffic volumes, the project's study intersections are projected to operate acceptably. See Table 5 and Table 6 for the 2025 Short Range Total and 2040 Long Range Total Peak Hour Operation.

4.3 Auxiliary Lane Analysis

The auxiliary lane analysis for the study intersections were conducted using the criteria in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM). Based upon this criteria, a left-turn deceleration lane is required in minor arterial roadways at intersections with a projected peak hour ingress turning volume greater than 25 vph. Additionally, a right-turn deceleration lanes

are required in minor arterial roadways at intersections with a projected peak hour ingress turning volume greater than 50 vph.

Therefore, based upon the ECM criteria, additional auxiliary lanes are not required and the existing auxiliary lanes at the study intersections are sufficient to accommodate the project's traffic.

4.4 Transportation Impact Fees

The developer is aware of the Transportation Impact Fees and will decide upon the option for the method of payment at a later date.

Add a section for sight distance analysis/recommendation at the proposed access locations. Include an exhibit showing the sight distance.

Unresolved.

- Include analysis/exhibit & conclusion/recommendations for Leggins Way/White Clover Dr. The line of sight appears to encroach into the adjacent lot to the west which is not a part of this development. A deviation request may be required to identify proposed alternative if the proposed mitigation does not meet criteria.
- Include analysis/exhibit & conclusion/recommendations for Walters Point/Cloverleaf Road intersection. The line of sight appears to encroach into the lots to the north.
- Include analysis/exhibit & recommendations for Crimson Clover Dr & Clover Leaf Road. With Blue Mist Grove at the top of the hill the intersection sight distance looking south does not appear to meet the required SSD.
- Include analysis/exhibit & conclusion/recommendations for the southern lots along Walters Point regarding driveway access sight distance. In the vicinity of lot 145 is the top of the hill with the following posted sign "Hill Blocks View". See the deviation request for private road for the original roadway P&P for Walters Point. Driveway access sight distance criteria is in ECM Chapter 2 Section 2.4

Pedestrian routes to schools within two miles of the project site were reviewed. Lewis Palmer High School is approximately 0.2 miles (4-minute walk) from the project site. Lewis Palmer Middle School is approximately 2.3 miles (44-minute walk) from the project site. Additionally, Bear Creek Elementary School is approximately 2 miles (40-minute walk) from the project site. The project site is located north of Higby Road, east of Bowstring Road, and west of Cloverleaf Road. The existing perimeter roads (Bowstring Road and Cloverleaf Road) have already been constructed with previous development. The project site is surrounded by existing residential development that already uses these schools. The intersection of Jackson Creek Parkway/Higby Road is signalized. Lewis Palmer Middle School and Bear Creek Elementary



Schools are over two miles walking distance from the project site which is approximately a 40-minute walk. It is anticipated that most students, as well as students from the existing residences adjacent to the project site, are not walking to Lewis Palmer Middle School and Bear Creek Elementary School based upon the walking distance being greater than two miles. However, a pedestrian routing exhibit for these schools has been provided in Appendix C. Additionally, Lewis Palmer High School is approximately 0.2 miles (4-minute walk) from the project site. The recommended route for students to walk from the project site to Lewis Palmer High School is via Leggins Way to the school entrance on Bowstring Road. See Appendix C. As the project site is a proposed residential development surrounded by existing residential development, the **existing roadway improvements are acceptable for providing adequate pedestrian routing to these existing schools**. See Appendix C for exhibits.

4.7 ECM Deviations

- Entrance – where Crimson Clover connects to Cloverleaf Road, the existing road grade exceeds slope criteria.
- Walter's Point – private local road, design should meet the intent of the public road criteria.

See separate Deviation Request Forms for more information.

There is no pedestrian path on Leggins Way or crossing at the intersection of Leggins Way/Bowstring Rd and Leggins Way does not appear to have adequate shoulder to be used by pedestrian. Staff does not see how the existing improvements support the conclusion that adequate pedestrian routing to Lewis Palmer HS exist between this development and the school. Include proposed recommendation for improvements that need to be done to provide adequate pedestrian routing.

Table 2: Recent Peak Hour Operation

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Jackson Creek Pkwy.	WB Left	C	C
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	B	C
	NB Thru	B	B
	NB Right	A	A
	NB Approach	A	B
	SB Left	A	A
	SB Thru	A	A
	SB Approach	A	A
	Overall	B	B

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Bowstring Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru	A	A
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	A



Table 2: Recent Peak Hour Operation (Continued...)

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Cloverleaf Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru/Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	A

Table 3: 2025 Background Peak Hour Operation

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Jackson Creek Pkwy.	WB Left	C	C
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	B	C
	NB Thru	B	B
	NB Right	A	A
	NB Approach	B	B
	SB Left	A	A
	SB Thru	A	A
	SB Approach	A	A
	Overall	B	B

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Bowstring Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru	A	A
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	B



Table 3: 2025 Background Peak Hour Operation (Continued...)

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Cloverleaf Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru/Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	A

Table 4: 2040 Background Peak Hour Operation

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Jackson Creek Pkwy.	WB Left	C	D
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	C	C
	NB Thru	C	C
	NB Right	A	A
	NB Approach	B	B
	SB Left	B	A
	SB Thru	B	B
	SB Approach	B	B
	Overall	B	B

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Bowstring Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru	A	A
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	B



Table 4: 2040 Background Peak Hour Operation (Continued...)

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Cloverleaf Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru/Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	A

Table 5: 2025 Short Range Total Peak Hour Operation

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Jackson Creek Pkwy.	WB Left	C	C
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	C	C
	NB Thru	B	B
	NB Right	A	A
	NB Approach	B	B
	SB Left	A	A
	SB Thru	A	A
	SB Approach	A	A
	Overall	B	A

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Bowstring Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru	A	A
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	C
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	B



Table 5: 2025 Short Range Total Peak Hour Operation (Continued...)

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Cloverleaf Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru/Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	A

Table 6: 2040 Long Range Total Peak Hour Operation

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Jackson Creek Pkwy.	WB Left	C	D
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	C	C
	NB Thru	C	C
	NB Right	A	A
	NB Approach	B	B
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Thru	B	B
	SB Approach	B	B
	Overall	B	B

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Bowstring Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru	A	A
	WB Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	C	C
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	B



Table 6: 2040 Long Range Peak Hour Operation (Continued...)

Intersection	Movement	Level of Service (LOS)	
		AM	PM
		LOS	LOS
Higby Rd./Cloverleaf Rd.	EB Left	A	A
	EB Thru	A	A
	EB Approach	A	A
	WB Thru/Right	A	A
	WB Approach	A	A
	SB Left	B	B
	SB Right	B	A
	SB Approach	B	A

5.0 Findings:

Based upon the analysis presented in this Traffic Impact Study (TIS), the Cloverleaf project will be able to successfully meet El Paso County's requirements. All study intersections are projected to operate acceptably upon full development of the Cloverleaf project.

- Total build-out (150 single family dwelling units) of the Cloverleaf project is anticipated to generate approximately 1,416 daily weekday trips, 111 AM total peak hour trips, and 149 PM total peak hour trips.
- The study intersections will operate acceptably with the development of the Cloverleaf project and background traffic in the 2025 Short Range and 2040 Long Range future.
- The existing perimeter roads (Bowstring Road and Cloverleaf Road) have already been constructed with previous development.
- The existing auxiliary lanes at the study intersections are sufficient to accommodate the Cloverleaf project's traffic. See Section 2.0.
- Traffic signal warrants are not anticipated to be warranted at the unsignalized study intersections with the full development of the Cloverleaf project and background traffic in the 2025 Short Range future and the 2040 Long Range future.
- The Cloverleaf project's access, layout, proposed land use, and size is appropriate from a traffic engineering perspective.
- The following are the year 2040 roadway classifications for the streets adjacent to and within the Cloverleaf project. The below roadways have levels of service (LOS) capacity to adequately accommodate the projected traffic volumes. See Appendix F.
 - f) Higby Road – Rural Collector ← Rural Major Collector
 - g) Bowstring Road – Rural Local
 - h) Cloverleaf Way – Rural Local
 - i) Leggins Way – Rural Local
 - j) Walters Point – Existing Rural Local private road. Proposed Urban (Low Volume) Local private road
 - k) Access #1 (Western Access) – Urban Local
 - l) Access #2 (Eastern Access) – Urban Local



- Table 4: 2040 Roadway Improvements Projects in the MTCP does not call for the construction of improvements adjacent to the project site; along Higby Road or Jackson Creek Parkway.
- The proposed project is consistent with the El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan Update and the 2040 Roadway Plan.



APPENDICES:

Appendix A: Traffic Counts



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 1 JACKSON CREEK PKWY & HIGBY RD AM

Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020


Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:15 AM - 07:30 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	HIGBY RD Eastbound				HIGBY RD Westbound				JACKSON CREEK PKWY Northbound				JACKSON CREEK PKWY Southbound				Rolling Hour Total	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	West	East	South	North	
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	34	0	0	60	55	0	32	41	0	284	1,394	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	54	0	0	67	91	0	54	78	0	443	1,297	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	90	0	38	0	0	67	78	0	32	107	0	412	1,055	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	33	0	0	65	16	0	10	91	0	255	851	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	12	0	0	43	20	0	4	70	0	187	825	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	26	0	0	66	15	0	9	56	0	201	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	19	0	0	55	17	0	6	77	0	208	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	18	0	0	69	24	0	12	66	0	229	0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	432	0	234	0	0	492	316	0	159	586	0	2,219	0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	291	0	159	0	0	259	240	0	128	317	0	1,394	0	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 2 BOWSTRING RD & HIGBY RD AM

Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020


Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:15 AM - 07:30 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	HIGBY RD Eastbound				HIGBY RD Westbound				Northbound				BOWSTRING RD Southbound				Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	West	East	South	North				
7:00 AM	0	4	9	0	0	0	66	1					0	1	0	23	104	517	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	5	16	0	0	0	118	1					0	2	0	28	170	480	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	6	34	0	0	0	103	0					0	1	0	21	165	378	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	5	10	0	0	0	48	0					0	1	0	14	78	285	1	0	0
8:00 AM	1	6	12	0	0	0	29	0					0	0	0	19	67	288	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	9	10	0	0	0	32	0					0	0	0	17	68	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	4	12	0	0	0	41	1					0	1	0	13	72	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	8	18	0	0	0	41	1					0	0	0	13	81	0	0	0	0
Count Total	1	47	121	0	0	0	478	4					0	6	0	148	805	1	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	20	69	0	0	0	335	2					0	5	0	86	517	1	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 3 CLOVERLEAF RD & HIGBY RD AM

Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020


Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:15 AM - 07:30 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	HIGBY RD Eastbound				HIGBY RD Westbound				Northbound			CLOVERLEAF RD Southbound				Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	West	East	South	North		
7:00 AM	0	3	7	0	0	0	47	0		0	0	0	77	419	0	0	0		
7:15 AM	0	6	11	0	0	0	90	2		0	2	0	144	383	0	0	0		
7:30 AM	0	8	25	0	0	0	67	0		0	2	0	132	282	0	0	0		
7:45 AM	0	5	9	0	0	0	36	0		0	2	0	66	207	0	0	0		
8:00 AM	0	4	8	0	0	0	12	1		0	1	0	41	203	0	0	0		
8:15 AM	0	2	8	0	0	0	20	0		0	0	0	43	0	0	0	0		
8:30 AM	0	3	10	0	0	0	31	0		0	2	0	57	0	0	0	0		
8:45 AM	0	5	13	0	0	0	30	1		0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0		
Count Total	0	36	91	0	0	0	333	4		0	9	0	622	0	0	0	0		
Peak Hour	0	22	52	0	0	0	240	2		0	6	0	419	0	0	0	0		



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 1 JACKSON CREEK PKWY & HIGBY RD PM

Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020


Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:45 PM - 05:00 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	HIGBY RD Eastbound				HIGBY RD Westbound				JACKSON CREEK PKWY Northbound				JACKSON CREEK PKWY Southbound				Rolling Hour Total	Pedestrian Crossings				
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right		West	East	South	North	
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	11	0	0	157	56	0	17	123	0	395	1,534	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	16	0	0	133	59	0	12	129	0	376	1,524	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	10	0	0	125	55	0	21	128	0	364	1,543	0	1	0
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	11	0	0	118	91	0	9	129	0	399	1,561	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	22	0	0	135	55	0	22	127	0	385	1,517	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	0	18	0	0	119	61	0	20	122	0	395	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	13	0	0	116	38	0	19	136	0	382	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	16	0	0	128	36	0	20	118	0	355	0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	300	0	117	0	0	1,031	451	0	140	1,012	0	3,051	0	1	0	0
Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	0	180	0	64	0	0	488	245	0	70	514	0	1,561	0	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 2 BOWSTRING RD & HIGBY RD PM

Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020


Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 05:15 PM - 05:30 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	HIGBY RD Eastbound				HIGBY RD Westbound				Northbound			BOWSTRING RD Southbound				Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings				
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	15	53	0	0	0	0	27	1				0	2	0	8	106	431	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	17	44	0	0	0	0	28	3				0	2	0	6	100	428	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	18	49	0	0	0	0	28	1				0	1	0	6	103	451	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	18	54	0	0	0	0	39	1				0	1	0	9	122	452	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	14	42	0	0	0	0	34	0				0	2	0	11	103	424	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	30	51	0	0	0	0	30	1				0	2	0	9	123	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	15	51	0	0	0	0	27	0				0	1	0	10	104	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	16	40	0	0	0	0	29	0				0	0	0	9	94	0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	143	384	0	0	0	0	242	7				0	11	0	68	855	0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	77	198	0	0	0	0	130	2				0	6	0	39	452	0	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 3 CLOVERLEAF RD & HIGBY RD PM

Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020


Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:45 PM - 05:00 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	HIGBY RD Eastbound				HIGBY RD Westbound				Northbound				CLOVERLEAF RD Southbound				Rolling Hour Total	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right		West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	19	37	0	0	0	0	16	1				0	5	0	12	90	345	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	13	34	0	0	0	0	18	3				0	0	0	10	78	338	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	20	29	0	0	0	0	20	0				0	2	0	9	80	347	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	18	37	0	0	0	0	27	2				0	0	0	13	97	346	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	17	27	0	0	0	0	20	4				0	2	0	13	83	320	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	24	29	0	0	0	0	17	1				0	2	0	14	87	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	13	38	0	0	0	0	18	1				0	0	0	9	79	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	12	28	0	0	0	0	18	2				0	0	0	11	71	0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	136	259	0	0	0	0	154	14				0	11	0	91	665	0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	79	122	0	0	0	0	84	7				0	6	0	49	347	0	0	0	0


Appendix B: Level of Service (LOS) Table

Level of Service Definitions

Level of Service (LOS)	Signalized Intersection Average Total Delay (sec/veh)	Unsignalized Intersection Average Total Delay (sec/veh)
A	≤ 10	≤ 10
B	$> 10 \text{ and } \leq 20$	$> 10 \text{ and } \leq 15$
C	$> 20 \text{ and } \leq 35$	$> 15 \text{ and } \leq 25$
D	$> 35 \text{ and } \leq 55$	$> 25 \text{ and } \leq 35$
E	$> 55 \text{ and } \leq 80$	$> 35 \text{ and } \leq 50$
F	> 80	> 50



Appendix C: Aerial Image and School Pedestrian Routing



Google



School Pedestrian Routing to Lewis Palmer High School (0.2 miles)






School Pedestrian Routing to Lewis Palmer Middle School (2.3 miles)






School Pedestrian Routing to Bear Creek Elementary School (2 miles)



Map 14: 2040 Functional Classification




Appendix E: Sight Distance

Higby Road and Bowstring Road (555' Sight Distance):



Higby Road and Cloverleaf Road (555' Sight Distance):



Chapter 2 Transportation Facilities
 Adopted: 12/23/2004
 Revised: 12/13/2016
 REVISION 6
 Section 2.3.6-2.3.6

Figure 2-23. Sight Distance Triangle (Stop Controlled)




Table 2-21. Intersection sight distance

Higher Functional Classification Roadway Design Speed (MPH)	Intersection sight distance (feet) ^{1,3}
50	555
40	445
30	335 ²
25	280 ²

¹ Intersection sight distance measured from a point on the minor road at 13 feet back from the edge of the major road pavement ("D") and measured from a height of eye at 3.5 feet on the minor road to a height of object at 3.5 feet on the major road.
² At local/local road intersections only, "D" shall be 10 feet and the sight distance shall be measured to the centerline of the road.
³ These values only apply to two-lane roads with stop control, all other situations require special design considerations.



Appendix F: HCM 2010 Calculations (Synchro)



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	291	159	259	240	128	317
Future Volume (vph)	291	159	259	240	128	317
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.425		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	792	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		187		282		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Adj. Flow (vph)	342	187	305	282	151	373
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	342	187	305	282	151	373
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	23.0	23.0	9.5	32.5
Total Split (%)	40.9%	40.9%	41.8%	41.8%	17.3%	59.1%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	18.5	18.5	5.0	28.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	14.9	14.9	22.6	22.6	31.1	31.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.27	0.27	0.41	0.41	0.57	0.57
v/c Ratio	0.71	0.33	0.40	0.35	0.27	0.35
Control Delay	26.4	4.5	15.5	3.5	8.0	8.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	26.4	4.5	15.5	3.5	8.0	8.5
LOS	C	A	B	A	A	A
Approach Delay	18.6		9.7		8.4	
Approach LOS	B		A		A	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 55

Actuated Cycle Length: 55

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 55

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.2


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 48.1%

ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 2.3

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	69	335	2	5	86
Future Vol, veh/h	20	69	335	2	5	86
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	24	81	394	2	6	101

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	396	0	-	0	523	394
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	394	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	129	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1163	-	-	-	514	655
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	681	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	897	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1163	-	-	-	503	655
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	503	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	667	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	897	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	1.8	0	11.5
HCM LOS		B	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1163	-	-	-	503	655
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.02	-	-	-	0.012	0.154
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.2	-	-	-	12.2	11.5
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0	0.5

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↗	↖	↑	↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	52	240	2	6	97
Future Vol, veh/h	22	52	240	2	6	97
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	26	61	282	2	7	114
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	284	0	-	0	396	283
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	283	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	113	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1278	-	-	-	609	756
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	765	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	912	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1278	-	-	-	597	756
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	597	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	750	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	912	-
Approach	EB	WB	SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	2.3	0	10.6			
HCM LOS			B			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1278	-	-	-	597	756
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.02	-	-	-	0.012	0.151
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.9	-	-	-	11.1	10.6
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0	0.5



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	180	64	488	245	70	514
Future Volume (vph)	180	64	488	245	70	514
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.304		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	566	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		75		263		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94
Adj. Flow (vph)	212	75	525	263	74	547
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	212	75	525	263	74	547
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	28.0	28.0	9.5	37.5
Total Split (%)	37.5%	37.5%	46.7%	46.7%	15.8%	62.5%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	23.5	23.5	5.0	33.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	12.3	12.3	32.1	32.1	38.7	38.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.20	0.54	0.54	0.64	0.64
v/c Ratio	0.58	0.19	0.53	0.27	0.15	0.46
Control Delay	27.5	6.4	14.6	2.8	5.7	7.7
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	27.5	6.4	14.6	2.8	5.7	7.7
LOS	C	A	B	A	A	A
Approach Delay	21.9		10.6		7.5	
Approach LOS	C		B		A	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 60

Actuated Cycle Length: 60

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 60

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.58

Intersection Signal Delay: 11.4


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.1%

ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 2.3

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations	↖	↑	↑	↗	↖	↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	77	198	130	2	6	39
Future Vol, veh/h	77	198	130	2	6	39
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	91	233	153	2	7	45

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	155	0	-	0	568	153
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	153	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	415	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1425	-	-	-	484	893
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	875	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	666	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1425	-	-	-	453	893
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	453	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	819	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	666	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	2.2	0	9.7
HCM LOS		A	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1425	-	-	-	453	893
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.064	-	-	-	0.015	0.05
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	-	-	-	13.1	9.2
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	-	-	0	0.2

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3.2

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	79	122	84	7	6	49
Future Vol, veh/h	79	122	84	7	6	49
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	85	85	86	86
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	86	133	99	8	7	57

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	107	0	-	0	408	103
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	103	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	305	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1484	-	-	-	599	952
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	921	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	748	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1484	-	-	-	564	952
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	564	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	868	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	748	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	3	0	9.3
HCM LOS			A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1484	-	-	-	564	952
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.058	-	-	-	0.012	0.06
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.6	-	-	-	11.5	9
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	-	-	0	0.2



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	321	176	286	265	141	350
Future Volume (vph)	321	176	286	265	141	350
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25				25	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.391		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	728	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		207		312		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Adj. Flow (vph)	378	207	336	312	166	412
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	378	207	336	312	166	412
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	23.0	23.0	9.5	32.5
Total Split (%)	40.9%	40.9%	41.8%	41.8%	17.3%	59.1%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	18.5	18.5	5.0	28.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	15.5	15.5	22.2	22.2	30.5	30.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.28	0.28	0.40	0.40	0.55	0.55
v/c Ratio	0.76	0.35	0.45	0.38	0.32	0.40
Control Delay	28.1	4.4	16.3	3.6	8.7	9.2
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	28.1	4.4	16.3	3.6	8.7	9.2
LOS	C	A	B	A	A	A
Approach Delay	19.7		10.2		9.1	
Approach LOS	B		B		A	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 55

Actuated Cycle Length: 55

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 55

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.9


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.9%

ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	76	370	2	6	95
Future Vol, veh/h	22	76	370	2	6	95
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	26	89	435	2	7	112
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	437	0	-	0	576	435
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	435	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	141	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1123	-	-	-	479	621
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	653	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	886	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1123	-	-	-	468	621
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	468	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	638	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	886	-
Approach	EB	WB	SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	1.9	0	12.1			
HCM LOS			B			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1123	-	-	-	468	621
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.023	-	-	-	0.015	0.18
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.3	-	-	-	12.8	12.1
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0	0.7

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.1					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↗	↖	↑	↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	57	265	2	7	107
Future Vol, veh/h	24	57	265	2	7	107
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	28	67	312	2	8	126
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	314	0	-	0	436	313
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	313	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	123	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1246	-	-	-	578	727
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	741	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	902	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1246	-	-	-	565	727
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	565	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	725	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	902	-
Approach	EB	WB	SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	2.4	0	11			
HCM LOS			B			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1246	-	-	-	565	727
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.023	-	-	-	0.015	0.173
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	-	-	-	11.5	11
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0	0.6



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	199	71	539	271	77	567
Future Volume (vph)	199	71	539	271	77	567
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.257		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	479	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		84		291		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94
Adj. Flow (vph)	234	84	580	291	82	603
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	234	84	580	291	82	603
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	28.0	28.0	9.5	37.5
Total Split (%)	37.5%	37.5%	46.7%	46.7%	15.8%	62.5%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	23.5	23.5	5.0	33.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	13.1	13.1	31.5	31.5	37.9	37.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22	0.52	0.52	0.63	0.63
v/c Ratio	0.61	0.20	0.59	0.30	0.19	0.51
Control Delay	27.4	6.0	16.8	2.8	6.4	8.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	27.4	6.0	16.8	2.8	6.4	8.8
LOS	C	A	B	A	A	A
Approach Delay	21.8		12.1		8.5	
Approach LOS	C		B		A	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 60

Actuated Cycle Length: 60

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 60

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.4


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.9%

ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.3					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	85	219	144	2	7	43
Future Vol, veh/h	85	219	144	2	7	43
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	100	258	169	2	8	49
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	171	0	-	0	627	169
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	169	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	458	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1406	-	-	-	447	875
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	861	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	637	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1406	-	-	-	415	875
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	415	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	800	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	637	-
Approach	EB	WB	SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	2.2	0	10			
HCM LOS			B			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1406	-	-	-	415	875
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.071	-	-	-	0.019	0.056
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.8	-	-	-	13.8	9.4
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	-	-	0.1	0.2

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↖	↑	↗	↖	↖	↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	87	135	93	8	7	54
Future Vol, veh/h	87	135	93	8	7	54
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	85	85	86	86
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	95	147	109	9	8	63
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	118	0	-	0	451	114
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	114	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	337	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1470	-	-	-	566	939
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	911	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	723	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1470	-	-	-	529	939
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	529	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	852	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	723	-
Approach	EB	WB	SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	3	0	9.4			
HCM LOS			A			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1470	-	-	-	529	939
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.064	-	-	-	0.015	0.067
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.6	-	-	-	11.9	9.1
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	-	-	0	0.2



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	367	210	286	280	152	350
Future Volume (vph)	367	210	286	280	152	350
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.383		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	713	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		247		329		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Adj. Flow (vph)	432	247	336	329	179	412
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	432	247	336	329	179	412
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	23.0	23.0	9.5	32.5
Total Split (%)	40.9%	40.9%	41.8%	41.8%	17.3%	59.1%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	18.5	18.5	5.0	28.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	16.5	16.5	21.5	21.5	29.5	29.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.30	0.30	0.39	0.39	0.54	0.54
v/c Ratio	0.81	0.38	0.46	0.40	0.37	0.41
Control Delay	31.8	4.3	16.7	3.7	9.5	9.7
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	31.8	4.3	16.7	3.7	9.5	9.7
LOS	C	A	B	A	A	A
Approach Delay	21.8		10.3		9.7	
Approach LOS	C		B		A	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 55

Actuated Cycle Length: 55

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 55

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.1


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.1%

ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3.4

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	86	406	2	6	139
Future Vol, veh/h	38	86	406	2	6	139
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	45	101	478	2	7	164

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	480	0	-	0	669	478
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	478	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	191	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1082	-	-	-	423	587
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	624	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	841	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1082	-	-	-	405	587
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	405	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	598	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	841	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	2.6	0	13.5
HCM LOS		B	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1082	-	-	-	405	587
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.041	-	-	-	0.017	0.279
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.5	-	-	-	14	13.5
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0.1	1.1

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 4

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↖	↑	↗	↖	↖	↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	34	57	265	4	11	143
Future Vol, veh/h	34	57	265	4	11	143
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	40	67	312	5	13	168

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
Conflicting Flow All	317	0	-
Stage 1	-	-	315
Stage 2	-	-	147
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	5.42
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	5.42
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1243	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	740
Stage 2	-	-	880
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1243	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	716
Stage 2	-	-	880

Approach	EB	WB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	3	0	11.5
HCM LOS		B	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1243	-	-	-	540	725
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.032	-	-	-	0.024	0.232
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	-	-	-	11.8	11.5
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0.1	0.9



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	230	93	539	323	115	567
Future Volume (vph)	230	93	539	323	115	567
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.231		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	430	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		109		347		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94
Adj. Flow (vph)	271	109	580	347	122	603
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	271	109	580	347	122	603
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	28.0	28.0	9.5	37.5
Total Split (%)	37.5%	37.5%	46.7%	46.7%	15.8%	62.5%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	23.5	23.5	5.0	33.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	14.0	14.0	28.4	28.4	37.0	37.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.23	0.23	0.47	0.47	0.62	0.62
v/c Ratio	0.66	0.24	0.66	0.37	0.30	0.52
Control Delay	28.3	5.5	19.6	3.0	7.7	9.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	28.3	5.5	19.6	3.0	7.7	9.4
LOS	C	A	B	A	A	A
Approach Delay	21.8		13.4		9.1	
Approach LOS	C		B		A	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 60

Actuated Cycle Length: 60

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 60

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66

Intersection Signal Delay: 13.5


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.7%

ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	142	252	167	2	7	73
Future Vol, veh/h	142	252	167	2	7	73
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	167	296	196	2	8	84

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
Conflicting Flow All	198	0	-
Stage 1	-	-	196
Stage 2	-	-	630
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	5.42
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	5.42
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1375	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	837
Stage 2	-	-	531
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1375	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	736
Stage 2	-	-	531

Approach	EB	WB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	2.9	0	10.4
HCM LOS		B	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1375	-	-	-	301	845
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.121	-	-	-	0.027	0.099
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	-	-	-	17.3	9.7
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	C	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	-	-	-	0.1	0.3

2025 Short Range Total PM Traffic
7: Higby Rd & Cloverleaf Rd

Kellar Engineering LLC
03/24/2020

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3.9

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	120	135	93	13	10	77
Future Vol, veh/h	120	135	93	13	10	77
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	85	85	86	86
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	130	147	109	15	12	90

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	124	0	-	0	524	117
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	117	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	407	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1463	-	-	-	514	935
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	908	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	672	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1463	-	-	-	468	935
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	468	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	827	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	672	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	3.6	0	9.7
HCM LOS			A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1463	-	-	-	468	935
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.089	-	-	-	0.025	0.096
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	-	-	-	12.9	9.3
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	-	0.1	0.3



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	432	236	385	357	190	471
Future Volume (vph)	432	236	385	357	190	471
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.291		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	542	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		248		376		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	455	248	405	376	200	496
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	455	248	405	376	200	496
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.8	22.8	22.7	22.7	9.5	32.2
Total Split (%)	41.5%	41.5%	41.3%	41.3%	17.3%	58.5%
Maximum Green (s)	18.3	18.3	18.2	18.2	5.0	27.7
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	17.0	17.0	19.0	19.0	29.0	29.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.31	0.35	0.35	0.53	0.53
v/c Ratio	0.83	0.38	0.63	0.47	0.49	0.50
Control Delay	33.1	4.2	20.8	4.2	12.1	11.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	33.1	4.2	20.8	4.2	12.1	11.1
LOS	C	A	C	A	B	B
Approach Delay	22.9		12.8		11.4	
Approach LOS	C		B		B	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 55

Actuated Cycle Length: 55

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 55

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83

Intersection Signal Delay: 15.6


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.0%

ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 2.1

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	103	498	3	6	95
Future Vol, veh/h	22	103	498	3	6	95
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	23	108	524	3	6	100

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	527	0	-	0	678	524
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	524	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	154	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1040	-	-	-	418	553
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	594	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	874	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1040	-	-	-	409	553
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	409	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	581	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	874	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	1.5	0	13
HCM LOS		B	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1040	-	-	-	409	553
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.022	-	-	-	0.015	0.181
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.5	-	-	-	13.9	12.9
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0	0.7

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.6					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↗ ↘	↖ ↗	↖ ↗	↖ ↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	77	357	3	7	107
Future Vol, veh/h	24	77	357	3	7	107
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	25	81	376	3	7	113
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	379	0	-	0	509	378
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	378	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	131	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1179	-	-	-	524	669
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	693	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	895	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1179	-	-	-	513	669
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	513	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	678	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	895	-
Approach	EB	WB	SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	1.9	0	11.5			
HCM LOS			B			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1179	-	-	-	513	669
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.021	-	-	-	0.014	0.168
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.1	-	-	-	12.1	11.5
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0	0.6



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	267	95	725	364	104	764
Future Volume (vph)	267	95	725	364	104	764
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.151		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	281	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		100		383		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	281	100	763	383	109	804
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	281	100	763	383	109	804
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	38.0	38.0	9.5	47.5
Total Split (%)	32.1%	32.1%	54.3%	54.3%	13.6%	67.9%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	33.5	33.5	5.0	43.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	15.3	15.3	37.5	37.5	45.7	45.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22	0.54	0.54	0.65	0.65
v/c Ratio	0.73	0.24	0.76	0.37	0.36	0.66
Control Delay	36.7	6.6	21.7	2.5	8.5	11.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	36.7	6.6	21.7	2.5	8.5	11.5
LOS	D	A	C	A	A	B
Approach Delay	28.8		15.3		11.1	
Approach LOS	C		B		B	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 70

Actuated Cycle Length: 70

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 70

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76

Intersection Signal Delay: 15.8

Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.0%

ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.9					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	85	294	193	3	7	43
Future Vol, veh/h	85	294	193	3	7	43
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	89	309	203	3	7	45
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	206	0	-	0	690	203
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	203	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	487	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1365	-	-	-	411	838
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	831	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	618	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1365	-	-	-	384	838
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	384	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	777	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	618	-
Approach	EB	WB	SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	1.8	0	10.2			
HCM LOS			B			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	1365	-	-	-	384	838
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.066	-	-	-	0.019	0.054
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.8	-	-	-	14.6	9.5
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	-	-	0.1	0.2

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 2.7

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	87	181	125	10	7	54
Future Vol, veh/h	87	181	125	10	7	54
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	92	191	132	11	7	57

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	143	0	-	0	513	138
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	138	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	375	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1440	-	-	-	521	910
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	889	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	695	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1440	-	-	-	488	910
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	488	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	832	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	695	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	2.5	0	9.6
HCM LOS			A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1440	-	-	-	488	910
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.064	-	-	-	0.015	0.062
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	-	-	-	12.5	9.2
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	-	-	0	0.2



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	478	270	385	372	201	471
Future Volume (vph)	478	270	385	372	201	471
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.274		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	510	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		284		392		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	503	284	405	392	212	496
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	503	284	405	392	212	496
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	

2040 Long Range Total AM Traffic
3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd

Kellar Engineering LLC
03/24/2020



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	26.0	26.0	23.2	23.2	10.8	34.0
Total Split (%)	43.3%	43.3%	38.7%	38.7%	18.0%	56.7%
Maximum Green (s)	21.5	21.5	18.7	18.7	6.3	29.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	31.1	31.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.52	0.52
v/c Ratio	0.86	0.40	0.66	0.50	0.52	0.51
Control Delay	34.7	3.9	24.2	4.6	13.8	12.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	34.7	3.9	24.2	4.6	13.8	12.5
LOS	C	A	C	A	B	B
Approach Delay	23.6		14.6		12.9	
Approach LOS	C		B		B	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 60

Actuated Cycle Length: 60

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 60

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86

Intersection Signal Delay: 17.1

Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.1%

ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 2.9

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗	↑ ↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	113	534	3	6	139
Future Vol, veh/h	38	113	534	3	6	139
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	40	119	562	3	6	146

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	565	0	-	0	761	562
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	562	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	199	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1007	-	-	-	373	526
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	571	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	835	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1007	-	-	-	358	526
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	358	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	548	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	835	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	2.2	0	14.5
HCM LOS		B	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1007	-	-	-	358	526
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.04	-	-	-	0.018	0.278
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.7	-	-	-	15.2	14.5
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	C	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0.1	1.1

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3.4

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	34	77	357	5	11	143
Future Vol, veh/h	34	77	357	5	11	143
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	36	81	376	5	12	151

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	381	0	-	0	532	379
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	379	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	153	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1177	-	-	-	508	668
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	692	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	875	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1177	-	-	-	492	668
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	492	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	671	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	875	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	2.5	0	12
HCM LOS			B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1177	-	-	-	492	668
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.03	-	-	-	0.024	0.225
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.2	-	-	-	12.5	12
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0.1	0.9

2040 Long Range Total PM Traffic
3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd

Kellar Engineering LLC
03/24/2020



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	298	117	725	416	142	764
Future Volume (vph)	298	117	725	416	142	764
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	190	190		180	610	
Storage Lanes	1	0		1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	1863	1583	1770	1863
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.128		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	1863	1583	238	1863
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		123		438		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	1876		1114		1443	
Travel Time (s)	42.6		25.3		32.8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	314	123	763	438	149	804
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	314	123	763	438	149	804
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		12		12	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	
Number of Detectors	1	1	2	1	1	2
Detector Template	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Leading Detector (ft)	20	20	100	20	20	100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	20	6	20	20	6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel						
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)			94		94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)			6		6	
Detector 2 Type			Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel						
Detector 2 Extend (s)			0.0		0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases			2		1	6
Permitted Phases	8	8		2	6	

2040 Long Range Total PM Traffic
3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd

Kellar Engineering LLC
03/24/2020



Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	9.5	22.5
Total Split (s)	22.5	22.5	38.0	38.0	9.5	47.5
Total Split (%)	32.1%	32.1%	54.3%	54.3%	13.6%	67.9%
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	18.0	33.5	33.5	5.0	43.0
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0	0		0
Act Effect Green (s)	16.0	16.0	34.7	34.7	45.0	45.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.23	0.23	0.50	0.50	0.64	0.64
v/c Ratio	0.78	0.27	0.83	0.44	0.54	0.67
Control Delay	39.2	6.2	25.5	2.7	13.8	12.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	39.2	6.2	25.5	2.7	13.8	12.0
LOS	D	A	C	A	B	B
Approach Delay	29.9		17.2		12.3	
Approach LOS	C		B		B	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 70

Actuated Cycle Length: 70

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 70

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83

Intersection Signal Delay: 17.6

Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 73.8%

ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Jackson Creek Pkwy & Higby Rd



Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 2.6

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations	↖	↑	↑	↗	↖	↗
Traffic Vol, veh/h	142	327	216	3	7	73
Future Vol, veh/h	142	327	216	3	7	73
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	210	-	-	380	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	149	344	227	3	7	77

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	230	0	-	0	869	227
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	227	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	642	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1338	-	-	-	322	812
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	811	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	524	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1338	-	-	-	286	812
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	286	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	721	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	524	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	2.4	0	10.6
HCM LOS			B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1338	-	-	-	286	812
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.112	-	-	-	0.026	0.095
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	-	-	-	17.9	9.9
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	C	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	-	-	-	0.1	0.3

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3.4

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	120	181	125	15	10	77
Future Vol, veh/h	120	181	125	15	10	77
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	234	-	-	-	50	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	126	191	132	16	11	81

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor2
-------------	--------	--------	--------

Conflicting Flow All	148	0	-	0	583	140
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	140	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	443	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1434	-	-	-	475	908
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	887	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	647	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1434	-	-	-	433	908
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	433	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	809	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	647	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
----------	----	----	----

HCM Control Delay, s	3.1	0	9.9
HCM LOS			A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

Capacity (veh/h)	1434	-	-	-	433	908
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.088	-	-	-	0.024	0.089
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.8	-	-	-	13.5	9.4
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	B	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	-	0.1	0.3

TIS_v2_redlines.pdf Markup Summary

Callout (4)

access, layout, proposed land use, and size is appropriate.
ar 2040 roadway classifications for the streets adjacent
to the proposed traffic count areas. See Appendix F
Collector ← Rural Major Collector
ral Local
al Local
Local
av Rural I rural private road - Proposed Urban if no Union


Subject: Callout
Page Label: 33
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 3:03:32 PM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

Rural Major Collector



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 20
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 3:45:13 PM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

How was this determined? The appendix does not include traffic count data at Walters Point



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 16
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 7:50:51 AM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

Provide the ADT on Bowstring, Leggins & Cloverleaf in the 2025 and 2040 total traffic. Update the narrative to discuss whether or not the project results in the existing roads exceeding the design capacity (ECM Table 2-5) for rural local roads. Provide mitigation improvement recommendations.
Unresolved.



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 22
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/8/2020 7:12:17 AM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

There is no pedestrian path on Leggins Way or crossing at the intersection of Leggins Way/Bowstring Rd and Leggins Way does not appear to have adequate shoulder to be used by pedestrian. Staff does not see how the existing improvements support the conclusion that adequate pedestrian routing to Lewis Palmer HS exist between this development and the school. Include proposed recommendation for improvements that need to be done to provide adequate pedestrian routing.

Highlight (2)

It is anticipated that most students, as well as residents from the existing area adjacent to the project site, are not walking to Lewis Palmer High School and Bowstring Road. A walkable route is available for those who do walk. This route consists of three segments which have been provided in Appendix C: 1. A walkable route from the proposed development to the school entrance. 2. A walkable route from the school entrance to the proposed development. 3. A walkable route from the proposed development to the school entrance. The recommended route for students to walk to the school is via Leggins Way as the school entrance is accessible from the proposed development. The recommended route for residents to walk to the school is via Bowstring Road as the school entrance is accessible from Bowstring Road.

Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 22
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 11:54:59 AM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

Additionally, Lewis Palmer High School is approximately 0.5 miles from the proposed development. The recommended route for students to High School is via Leggins Way to the school entrance. As the school is located near the proposed development, the existing roadway infrastructure provides an alternative route to three existing schools. See Ap

Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 22
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 11:55:02 AM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

4.7 ECM Deviations
• Entrance – where Crimson Clover connects s excess scope criteria
• Crimson Clover: Service road under deviation other

Text Box (3)

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 11
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 3:42:10 PM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:



Add walter's point in the TIS analysis. The applicant submitted a deviation request classifying Walter's point as Urban Local Low Volume with approximately 450 ADT with no supporting traffic analysis for the value stated.
Unresolved. Update Figures 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, obtain traffic count

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 21
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 3:59:32 PM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:




Add a section for sight distance analysis/recommendation at the proposed access locations. Include an exhibit showing the sight distance.

Unresolved.

- Include analysis/exhibit & conclusion/recommendations for Leggins Way/White Clover Dr. The line of sight appears to encroach into the adjacent lot to the west which is not a part of this development. A deviation request may be required to identify proposed alternative if the proposed mitigation does not meet criteria.
- Include analysis/exhibit & conclusion/recommendations for Walters Point/Cloverleaf Road intersection. The line of sight appears to encroach into the lots to the north.
- Include analysis/exhibit & recommendations for Crimson Clover Dr & Clover Leaf Road. With Blue Mist Grove at the top of the hill the intersection sight distance looking south does not appear to meet the required SSD.
- Include analysis/exhibit & conclusion/recommendations for the southern lots along Walters Point regarding driveway access sight distance. In the vicinity of lot 145 is the top of the hill with the following posted sign "Hill Blocks View". See the deviation request for private road for the original roadway P&P for Walters Point. Driveway access sight distance criteria is in ECM Chapter 2 Section 2.4

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 16
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 10/7/2020 7:50:25 AM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:



Add walters point and include the estimated ADT. Unresolved. Update Fig 8 & 9