

This should be attached to the As-Built Constrution Drawing PDF, not submitted separately as its own document. It's an as-built detail.


Include the "Engineering Record Drawings" label with your stamp on it. We need this to be certified as an as-built condition.

For reference, below is my Review 1 comment:

As a general comment, why was this the solution proposed? In our punchlist all we asked for was the gap at the top (in the horizontal plane) by covered up. The new plate/cover in the vertical plane (the yellow area below) was definitely not requested or necessary and will mess with the intended hydraulic functionality of the pond.

For future reference: this type of modification should have been sent to the County for review before the contractor was instructed to install it, to avoid misinterpretations of our punchlist items.

Review 2 update: please call or email me to discuss this. We need this certified that it won't impact the desired hydraulics. In reality, it is really unacceptable and needs to be removed. But I am open to discussing it and/or meeting in the field if you want.



Revise all verbiage on this sheet to be past-tense since that is how as-builts are. This is written like the work still needs to be done. We need as-builts to be documenting how something was installed, not how it was requested to be built.

Review 1 comment:

Since this drawing is not to scale, can you please check if any of the orifice holes are behind the yellow plate above.

Review 2 update: in the field it appeared that maybe an orifice hole or two were being blocked, so we really need this to be checked and notated on here.

