

September 27, 2023

El Paso County Engineering Division 3275 Akers Dr Colorado Springs, CO 80922

Dear El Paso County Engineering Staff:

### RE: Storm Drainage Calculations for Latigo Well Site #2

This letter presents the storm drainage calculations and culvert sizing for the access road to Latigo Well Site #2 (well site). Figure 1 in Attachment A shows the proposed project site which is located on the west side of Eastonville Road, approximately 4,300 feet south of Latigo Boulevard. Attachment A also includes the proposed site plan drawing for the well site. The drainage calculations were based on the methodology outlined in the Drainage Criteria Manual County of El Paso<sup>1</sup> (Drainage Criteria). The 10-year and 100-year rainfall events were analyzed for the purposes of sizing the access road culvert.

## **HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS**

### **BASIN AND SUB-BASIN CHARACTERISTICS**

According to the El Paso County Drainage Basins Map,<sup>2</sup> the proposed well site is located near the northern portion of the Geick Ranch CHMS0400 drainage basin. Figure 2 in Attachment A shows the sub-basin (Basin 1) for the area draining to the upstream end of the proposed culvert which is approximately 10.83 acres in size.

Reports containing the hydrologic soil conditions within the vicinity of Basin 1 were downloaded from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey<sup>3</sup> and are presented in Attachment B. The entire Basin 1 area consists of Stapleton sandy loam with 3 to 8 percent slopes, which is classified as Hydrologic Soil Group B.

Runoff from Basin 1 drains to the east and south at an average slope of 4.3%. The proposed well site is approximately 0.89 acres in size. The remainder of Basin 1 consists of undeveloped land with short grassland vegetation. Table 1 shows the basin characteristics including a breakdown of the percent impervious with the corresponding runoff coefficients for the 10-year and 100-year rainfall events for Hydrologic Soil Group B soils. The runoff

5540 TECH CENTER DRIVE SUITE 100 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80919 719.227.0072

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> El Paso County, Colorado, 2018. "Drainage Criteria Manual County of El Paso, Colorado, October 31, 2018," accessed July 11, 2023, from https://library.municode.com/co/el\_paso\_county/codes/drainage\_criteria\_manual?nodeld=DRCRMAV 01ELPACO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Board of County Commissioners, El Paso County, Colorado, 2005. "Drainage Basins," accessed July 11, 2023, from *https://assets-publicworks.elpasoco.com/wp-content/uploads/Stormwater/Current\_Website/Drainage-Basins.pdf* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. "Web Soil Survey," accessed July 12, 2023, from *http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/* 



coefficients correspond to the values presented in Table 5-1 of the Drainage Criteria, a copy of which is included in Attachment C.

Table 1. Drainage Basin Characterist	ics
--------------------------------------	-----

Sub-Basin ID	Area (sq-ft)	Area (ac)	Surface Description	Percent Impervious	C10 <sup>(a)</sup>	C100 <sup>(b)</sup>
	2,024.0	0.05	Well Site: Gravel	80.0%	0.80	0.85
Basin 1	353.8	0.01	Well Site: Buildings & Concrete	100.0%	0.90	0.95
	469,377.5	10.78	Pasture/Meadow	0.0%	0.25	0.35
Totals =	471,755.2	10.83	Composite Values =	0.4%	0.25	0.35

sq-ft = square feet

ac = acres

(a)  $C_{10}$  = Runoff coefficient for the 10-year rainfall event

(b)  $C_{100}$  = Runoff coefficient for the 100-year rainfall event

### **RUNOFF CALCULATIONS**

The total runoff for the 100-year rainfall event was calculated using the Rational Method because the total area of Basin 1 is under 100 acres. The rational method uses the following equation to calculate the total runoff from a drainage basin.

Where:

Q = Peak runoff rate for a storm event in cubic feet per second (cfs)

C = Composite runoff coefficient (unitless)

i = Average rainfall intensity in inches per hour (in/hr)

A = Drainage basin area in acres (ac)

The rainfall intensity was determined from the *Storm Rainfall Time Intensity – Frequency Curves* from the Drainage Criteria, a copy of which is presented in Attachment C. The rainfall intensity depends on the time of concentration in the drainage basin, which is calculated based on the longest travel path from the upstream end to the downstream end of the basin. The total travel time includes overland flow, storm sewer and/or road gutter flow and channelized flow. For Basin 1, the length of overland flow is assumed to be the maximum length for undeveloped basins of 1000 feet. The overland flow portion of the time of concentration is calculated based on the following equation.

$$T_o = 1.87(1.1-C_{100})L^{0.5}S^{-0.33}$$

Where:

 $T_0$  = Overland flow travel time in minutes (min)  $C_{100}$  = Composite runoff coefficient for the 100-year event (unitless) L = Length of overland flow in feet (ft) S = Slope of flow path in percent

The longest flow path for Basin 1 is 1,819 feet. Assuming the maximum 1000 feet is calculated as overland flow, the overland flow time of concentration is 27.4 minutes. The remaining 819-foot flow



path length is assumed to be channelized flow. The travel time for channelized flow is based on the following velocity equation.

$$V = 1.49/n r^{2/3} s^{1/2}$$

Where:

V = Velocity of channelized flow in feet per second (ft/s) n = Manning's coefficient (unitless) r = Hydraulic radius of channel r = A/P Where: A = Cross-sectional area P = Wetted perimeter of channel flow in feet (ft) s = Slope in feet per foot

The velocity of channelized flow is 3.53 ft/s, which applied over 818 feet is 232 seconds or 3.9 minutes. Therefore, the total time of concentration is 31.3 minutes. The corresponding rainfall intensities, and peak runoff rates are summarized in Table 2. The full calculations for time of concentration is presented in Attachment D.

Table 2.	Peak Runoff Rates
----------	-------------------

Basin Area (ac)	C <sub>10</sub>	l <sub>10</sub> <sup>(a)</sup> (in/hr)	Q <sub>10</sub> <sup>(b)</sup> (cfs)	C <sub>100</sub>	l <sub>100</sub> <sup>(c)</sup> (in/hr)	Q <sub>100</sub> <sup>(d)</sup> (cfs)
10.83	0.25	2.70	7.31	0.35	4.05	15.35

(a)  $I_{10}$  = Rainfall intensity for the 10-year rainfall event in inches per hour (in/hr)

(b)  $Q_{10} = Peak runoff rate for the 10-year rainfall event in cubic feet per second (cfs)$ 

(c) I<sub>100</sub> = Rainfall intensity for the 100-year rainfall event in inches per hour (in/hr)

(d)  $Q_{100}$  = Peak runoff rate for the 10-year rainfall event in cubic feet per second (cfs)

### **CULVERT SIZING**

The proposed culvert under the access road to the proposed well site was sized based on standards from the Drainage Criteria as well as the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual<sup>4</sup> (Engineering Criteria). The hydraulic calculations for the culvert were performed using HY-8 version 7.70.1.0 software developed by the Federal Highway Administration, Aquaveo LLC and Environmental Modeling Research Laboratory.

A 24-inch diameter culvert is required to convey the peak runoff rate for the 100-year event. However, two 14-inch by 23-inch elliptical reinforced concrete pipes (ERCPs) were selected instead to minimize grading impacts up and downstream of the site. The HY-8 calculations provided in Attachment D show that the proposed double ERCP culvert can convey the 100-year peak runoff rate without overtopping the access road. Rip-rap is recommended both on the upstream and downstream ends of the culvert because due to the sandy soils and the outlet velocity of 5.14 ft/s 10-year event.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> El Paso County, Colorado, 2020. "Engineering Criteria Manual County of El Paso, Colorado, October 14, 2020," accessed July 25, 2023, from

https://library.municode.com/co/el\_paso\_county/codes/engineering\_criteria\_manual\_?nodeld=ENCRMACOELP ACO



### CONCLUSION

The hydrologic analysis shows that approximately 15.35 cfs will drain toward the proposed culvert under the well site access road in the 100-year event. A proposed double ERCP culvert is designed to convey the entire 100-year event without overtopping Eastonville Road or the proposed well site access road. The proposed design meets the criteria outlined in the Drainage Criteria and the Engineering Criteria.

Sincerely,

Rebecca E. Norton, P.E. #40293 Project Manager

REN: ren Attachments cc: Project Central File W0151.22058

### **Design Engineer's Statement:**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said **coord** in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility of any cased by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this post.

Rebecca E. Norton, P.E. #40293 Project Manger

### **Owner/Developer's Statement:**

I, the owner, have read and will comply with all of the **Pappene** specified in this drainage report and plan.

Jim Nikkel, District Manager Meridian Service Metropolitan District 11886 Stapelton Dr, Peyton, CO 80831

## 09/28/2023

9/27/23

Date

#### **El Paso County:**

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

	Ap	proved		
(	By:	Gilbert LaForce, P.E. Engineering Manager		ator
	Date El Pas	c 09/29/2023 8:57:51 AM	orks	

Date

Condition of Approval:

Access to Eastonville Road is temporary and shall be removed once internal access via future Latigo Trails road depicted on the overall site plan is constructed.

EL PASO COUNTY ENGINERING DIVISION // A-1 September 27, 2023 Attachment A



## **ATTACHMENT A** MAPS AND CIVIL SITE PLANS







N:\Projects\151 Meridian Service Metropolitan District\W0151.22058-MSMD-Latigo Well Site 2 & 3\Drawings\GIS\DrainageExhibits\DrainageExhibits.aprx — By Rebecca.Norton





N:\Projects\151 Meridian Service Metropolitan District\W0151.22058-MSMD-Latigo Well Site 2 & 3\Drawings\GIS\DrainageExhibits\DrainageExhibits\DrainageExhibits.aprx — By Rebecca.Norton

EL PASO COUNTY ENGINERING DIVISION // B-1 September 27, 2023 Attachment B



## **ATTACHMENT B** NRCS WEB SOIL SURVEY REPORTS







USDA Natural Resources

Conservation Service

	MAP L	EGEND	)	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Int Soils Area of Int Soils Soils Area of Int Soils Soils Special	MAP L erest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points Point Features Blowout Borrow Pit	EGEND a a b a a b a a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a a b	Spoil Area Stony Spot Very Stony Spot Wet Spot Other Special Line Features	MAP INFORMATION         The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.         Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.         Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.         Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
	Clay Spot Closed Depression Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot Landfill Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water Perennial Water Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole Slide or Slip Sodic Spot	Transport +++ ~ ~ Backgrou	Rails Rails Interstate Highways US Routes Major Roads Local Roads Aerial Photography	<ul> <li>Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)</li> <li>Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.</li> <li>This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.</li> <li>Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022</li> <li>Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.</li> <li>Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 9, 2021—Jun 12, 2021</li> <li>The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.</li> </ul>



## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
83	Stapleton sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	192.0	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		192.0	100.0%

## **Physical Soil Properties**

This table shows estimates of some physical characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the layers of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Particle size is the effective diameter of a soil particle as measured by sedimentation, sieving, or micrometric methods. Particle sizes are expressed as classes with specific effective diameter class limits. The broad classes are sand, silt, and clay, ranging from the larger to the smaller.

*Sand* as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.05 millimeter to 2 millimeters in diameter. In this table, the estimated sand content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

*Silt* as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.002 to 0.05 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated silt content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

*Clay* as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated clay content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of sand, silt, and clay affects the physical behavior of a soil. Particle size is important for engineering and agronomic interpretations, for determination of soil hydrologic qualities, and for soil classification.

The amount and kind of clay affect the fertility and physical condition of the soil and the ability of the soil to adsorb cations and to retain moisture. They influence shrink-swell potential, saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat), plasticity, the ease of soil dispersion, and other soil properties. The amount and kind of clay in a soil also affect tillage and earthmoving operations.

*Moist bulk density* is the weight of soil (ovendry) per unit volume. Volume is measured when the soil is at field moisture capacity, that is, the moisture content at 1/3- or 1/10-bar (33kPa or 10kPa) moisture tension. Weight is determined after the soil is dried at 105 degrees C. In the table, the estimated moist bulk density of each soil horizon is expressed in grams per cubic centimeter of soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. Bulk density data are used to compute linear extensibility, shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, total pore space, and other soil properties. The moist bulk density of a soil indicates the pore space available for water and roots. Depending on soil texture, a bulk density of more than 1.4 can restrict water storage and root penetration. Moist bulk density is influenced by texture, kind of clay, content of organic matter, and soil structure.

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) refers to the ease with which pores in a saturated soil transmit water. The estimates in the table are expressed in terms of micrometers per second. They are based on soil characteristics observed in the field, particularly structure, porosity, and texture. Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) is considered in the design of soil drainage systems and septic tank absorption fields.

Available water capacity refers to the quantity of water that the soil is capable of storing for use by plants. The capacity for water storage is given in inches of water per inch of soil for each soil layer. The capacity varies, depending on soil properties that affect retention of water. The most important properties are the content of organic matter, soil texture, bulk density, and soil structure. Available water capacity is an important factor in the choice of plants or crops to be grown and in the design and management of irrigation systems. Available water capacity is not an estimate of the quantity of water actually available to plants at any given time.

*Linear extensibility* refers to the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state. It is an expression of the volume change between the water content of the clod at 1/3- or 1/10-bar tension (33kPa or 10kPa tension) and oven dryness. The volume change is reported in the table as percent change for the whole soil. The amount and type of clay minerals in the soil influence volume change.

Linear extensibility is used to determine the shrink-swell potential of soils. The shrink-swell potential is low if the soil has a linear extensibility of less than 3 percent; moderate if 3 to 6 percent; high if 6 to 9 percent; and very high if more than 9 percent. If the linear extensibility is more than 3, shrinking and swelling can cause damage to buildings, roads, and other structures and to plant roots. Special design commonly is needed.

*Organic matter* is the plant and animal residue in the soil at various stages of decomposition. In this table, the estimated content of organic matter is expressed as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. The content of organic matter in a soil can be maintained by returning crop residue to the soil.

Organic matter has a positive effect on available water capacity, water infiltration, soil organism activity, and tilth. It is a source of nitrogen and other nutrients for crops and soil organisms.

*Erosion factors* are shown in the table as the K factor (Kw and Kf) and the T factor. Erosion factor K indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and Ksat. Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water.

*Erosion factor Kw* indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments.

*Erosion factor Kf* indicates the erodibility of the fine-earth fraction, or the material less than 2 millimeters in size.

*Erosion factor T* is an estimate of the maximum average annual rate of soil erosion by wind and/or water that can occur without affecting crop productivity over a sustained period. The rate is in tons per acre per year.

*Wind erodibility groups* are made up of soils that have similar properties affecting their susceptibility to wind erosion in cultivated areas. The soils assigned to group 1 are the most susceptible to wind erosion, and those assigned to group 8 are the least susceptible. The groups are described in the "National Soil Survey Handbook."

*Wind erodibility index* is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to wind erosion, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to wind erosion. There is a close correlation between wind erosion and the texture of the surface layer, the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence wind erosion.

### Reference:

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. (http://soils.usda.gov)

## **Report—Physical Soil Properties**

Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

	Physical Soil Properties-El Paso County Area, Colorado															
Map symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk	Saturated hydraulic	Available water	Linear Organ extensibility matte	Organic matter	E	Erosion factors		Erosion Wi factors erodi		Wind erodibility	Wind erodibility
					density	conductivity	сараситу			Kw	Kf	т	group	Index		
	In	Pct	Pct	Pct	g/cc	micro m/sec	In/In	Pct	Pct							
83—Stapleton sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes																
Stapleton	0-11	-66-	-19-	10-15- 20	1.35-1.43 -1.50	14.11-28.00-42. 33	0.09-0.11-0. 13	0.0- 1.5- 2.9	2.0- 3.0- 4.0	.20	.20	2	3	86		
	11-17	-67-	-19-	10-14- 18	1.35-1.43 -1.50	14.11-28.00-42. 33	0.07-0.08-0. 09	0.0- 1.5- 2.9	0.5- 1.3- 2.0	.15	.28					
	17-60	-84-	- 9-	5- 8- 10	1.35-1.48 -1.60	42.00-92.00-14 1.00	0.05-0.07-0. 09	0.0- 1.5- 2.9	0.0- 0.3- 0.5	.15	.28					
Fluvaquentic haplaquolls	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—							
Other soils	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_							
Pleasant	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	_							

## **Data Source Information**

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022





USDA Natural Resources

**Conservation Service** 





## Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
83	Stapleton sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В	192.0	100.0%
Totals for Area of Intere	st	192.0	100.0%	

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

USDA

Tie-break Rule: Higher



# **ATTACHMENT C** El paso county drainage criteria manual excerpts





	PERCENT	"C" FREQUENCY					
CHARACTERISTICS	IMPERVIOUS	10		100			
		A&B*	C&D*	A&B*	C&D*		
Business							
Commercial Areas	95	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90		
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.75	0.75	0.80	0.80		
Residential							
¼ Acre or less	65	0.60	0.70	0.70	0.80		
¼ Acre	40	0.50	0.60	0.60	0.70		
⅓ Acre	30	0.40	0.50	0.55	0.60		
1⁄2 Acre	25	0.35	0.45	0.45	0.55		
1 Acre	20	0.30	0.40	0.40	0.50		
Industrial							
Light Areas	80	0.70	0.70	0.80	0.80		
Heavy Areas	90	0.80	0.80	0.90	0.90		
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.30	0.35	0.55	0.60		
Playgrounds	13	0.30	0.35	0.60	0.65		
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.50	0.55	0.60	0.65		
Undeveloped Areas							

	PERCENT	"C" FREQUENCY					
CHARACTERISTICS	IMPERVIOUS	10		100			
		A&B*	C&D*	A&B*	C&D*		
Historic Flow Analysis- Greenbelts, Agricultural	2	0.15	0.25	0.20	0.30		
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.45		
Forest	0	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.20		
Exposed Rock	100	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95		
Offsite Flow Analysis (when land use not defined)	45	0.55	0.60	0.65	0.70		
Streets	•	•	•	•	•		
Paved	100	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95		
Gravel	80	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85		
Drive and Walks	100	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95		
Roofs	90	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95		
Lawns	0	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.45		
*Hydrologic Soil Group							





HDR Infrastructure, Inc A Centerra Company

Type of Channel and Description	Minimum	Normal		Maximum					
EXCAVATED OR DREDGED									
a. Earth, straight and uniform									
1. Clean, recently completed	0.016	0.018		0.020					
2. Clean, after weathering	0.018	0.022		0.025					
3. Gravel, uniform section, clean	0.022	0.025		0.030					
4. With short grass, few weeds	0.022	0.027		0.033					
b. Earth, winding and sluggish	1			I					
1. No vegetation	0.023	0.025		0.030					
2. Grass, some weeds	0.025	0.030		0.033					



## **ATTACHMENT D** Hydrologic and hydraulic calculations





## HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

### **Crossing Discharge Data**

Discharge Selection Method: Specify Minimum, Design, and Maximum Flow

Minimum Flow: 7.31 cfs

Design Flow: 15.35 cfs

Maximum Flow: 15.35 cfs

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert 1 Discharge (cfs)	Roadway Discharge (cfs)	Iterations
7053.35	7.31	7.31	0.00	1
7053.41	8.11	8.11	0.00	1
7053.46	8.92	8.92	0.00	1
7053.51	9.72	9.72	0.00	1
7053.56	10.53	10.53	0.00	1
7053.61	11.33	11.33	0.00	1
7053.66	12.13	12.13	0.00	1
7053.71	12.94	12.94	0.00	1
7053.76	13.74	13.74	0.00	1
7053.86	14.55	14.55	0.00	1
7053.91	15.35	15.35	0.00	1
7055.00	25.57	25.57	0.00	Overtopping

### Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Well Site 2

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Well Site 2



## **Culvert Data: Culvert 1**

Total Disch arge (cfs)	Culve rt Disch arge (cfs)	Head water Elevat ion (ft)	Inle t Con trol Dep th (ft)	Outl et Con trol Dep th (ft)	Fl ow Ty pe	Nor mal Dep th (ft)	Crit ical Dep th (ft)	Out let De pth (ft)	Tailw ater Dept h (ft)	Outl et Velo city (ft/s )	Tailw ater Veloc ity (ft/s)
7.31 cfs	7.31 cfs	7053.3 5	0.85	0.67 9	1- JS1 t	0.55	0.60	0.7 6	0.76	3.01	1.61
8.11 cfs	8.11 cfs	7053.4 1	0.91	0.73 7	1- JS1 t	0.59	0.63	0.7 9	0.79	3.20	1.65
8.92 cfs	8.92 cfs	7053.4 6	0.96	0.79 7	1- JS1 t	0.63	0.66	0.8 2	0.82	3.38	1.69
9.72 cfs	9.72 cfs	7053.5 1	1.01	0.85 8	1- JS1 t	0.66	0.70	0.8 4	0.84	3.56	1.72

Table 2 -	Culvert	<b>Summary</b>	Table:	Culvert
-----------	---------	----------------	--------	---------

10.53 cfs	10.53 cfs	7053.5 6	1.06	0.92 1	1- JS1 t	0.70	0.73	0.8 7	0.87	3.74	1.76
11.33 cfs	11.33 cfs	7053.6 1	1.11	0.98 5	1- JS1 t	0.73	0.76	0.8 9	0.89	3.91	1.79
12.13 cfs	12.13 cfs	7053.6 6	1.16	1.05 1	1- JS1 t	0.76	0.79	0.9 2	0.92	4.08	1.82
12.94 cfs	12.94 cfs	7053.7 1	1.21	1.11 9	5- S2 n	0.80	0.81	0.8 0	0.94	5.03	1.85
13.74 cfs	13.74 cfs	7053.7 6	1.26	1.19 0	5- S2 n	0.83	0.84	0.8 3	0.96	5.09	1.88
14.55 cfs	14.55 cfs	7053.8 6	1.31	1.36 5	7- M1 t	0.87	0.87	0.9 8	0.98	4.58	1.91
15.35 cfs	15.35 cfs	7053.9 1	1.37	1.41 2	7- M1 t	0.91	0.89	1.0 0	1.00	4.75	1.93

### **Culvert Barrel Data**

Culvert Barrel Type Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 7052.50 ft,

Outlet Elevation (invert): 7052.30 ft

Culvert Length: 40.00 ft,

Culvert Slope: 0.0050

### **Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Culvert 1**



#### Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1



### Site Data - Culvert 1

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data

Inlet Station: 0.00 ft

Inlet Elevation: 7052.50 ft

Outlet Station: 40.00 ft

Outlet Elevation: 7052.30 ft

Number of Barrels: 2

### **Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1**

Barrel Shape: Elliptical

Barrel Span: 23.00 in

Barrel Rise: 14.00 in

Barrel Material: Concrete

Embedment: 0.00 in

### Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120

Culvert Type: Straight

Inlet Configuration: Grooved Edge Projecting (Ke=0.2)

Inlet Depression: None

### **Tailwater Data for Crossing: Well Site 2**

#### Flow (cfs) Velocity Depth (ft) Shear (psf) Froude Water Number Surface (ft/s) Elev (ft) 7.31 7053.06 0.76 1.61 0.18 0.46 8.11 7053.09 0.79 1.65 0.19 0.46 8.92 7053.12 0.82 1.69 0.20 0.47 9.72 7053.14 0.84 1.72 0.21 0.47 10.53 7053.17 0.87 1.76 0.21 0.47 11.33 7053.19 0.89 1.79 0.22 0.47 12.13 7053.22 0.92 1.82 0.22 0.47 12.94 7053.24 0.94 1.85 0.23 0.48 13.74 7053.26 0.96 1.88 0.23 0.48 14.55 7053.28 0.98 1.91 0.24 0.48 15.35 7053.30 1.93 0.24 1.00 0.48

### Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Well Site 2)

### **Tailwater Channel Data - Well Site 2**

Tailwater Channel Option: Irregular Channel

### Channel Slope: Irregular Channel

### **User Defined Channel Cross-Section**

Coord No.	Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Manning's n
1	0.00	7054.00	0.0300
2	22.00	7052.30	0.0300
3	27.00	7054.00	0.0000

### **Roadway Data for Crossing: Well Site 2**

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation

Crest Length: 50.00 ft

Crest Elevation: 7055.00 ft

Roadway Surface: Gravel

Roadway Top Width: 15.00 ft